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## Set A. "Original" questions

Solve the following cubic equation: $x^{\wedge} 3-13 * x^{\wedge} 2+50 * x-56=0$
Correct answer: $\underline{x}_{1}=2, x_{2}=4, x_{3}=7$.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A01.1

To solve the cubic equation $x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=0$, we can use the rational roots theorem and try to find the rational roots of the equation
The rational roots theorem states that if a polynomial with integer coefficients has a rational root $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$ (where p and q are integers with no common factors and q is not zero), then p must divide the constant term of the polynomial and q must divide the leading coefficient
In this case, the constant term is -56 and the leading coefficient is 1 , so the possible rational roots are:
$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 14, \pm 28, \pm 56$
divided by
$\pm 1$
This gives us the possible rational roots of:
$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 14, \pm 28, \pm 56$.
We can then test each of these roots by plugging them into the equation to see if they make the equation equal to zero.
By testing these possible rational roots, we find that $x=2$ is a root of the equation. This means that $(x-2)$ is a factor of the equation.
We can then use polynomial long division or synthetic division to divide $x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56$ by ( $x-2$ ) to get the other two roots.
Performing the polynomial long division or synthetic division, we get:
$x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=(x-2)\left(x^{\wedge} 2-11 * x+28\right)$
So the other two roots are the solutions to the quadratic equation $x^{\wedge} 2-11 * x+28=0$.
We can solve this quadratic equation using the quadratic formula:
$\mathrm{x}=\left(-(-11) \pm \operatorname{sqrt}\left((-11)^{\wedge} 2-4128\right)\right) /(2 * 1)$
Simplifying this expression, we get:
$\mathrm{x}=(11 \pm 3) / 2$
So the other two roots are $x=7$ and $x=4$.
Therefore, the solutions to the cubic equation $x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=0$ are $x=2, x=4$, and $x=7$

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A01.2

To solve the cubic equation $x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=0$, we can use the Rational Root Theorem to find any rational roots of the equation. The Rational Root Theorem states that if a polynomial equation with integer coefficients has a rational root $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$ (in lowest terms), then p must divide the constant term of the polynomial, and q must divide the leading coefficient.
In this case, the constant term is -56 , which has factors of $-1,1,-2,2,-4,4,-7,7,-8,8,-14,14,-28$, and 28 . The leading coefficient is 1 , which has factors of -1 and 1 . Therefore, any rational roots of the equation must be of the form $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 14, \pm 28, \pm 56$.
We can check each of these possible roots by plugging them into the equation and seeing if they make the equation equal to zero. We find that $x=2$ is a root of the equation, which means that we can factor the equation as $(x-2)\left(x^{\wedge} 2-11 x+28\right)=0$.
The quadratic factor $x^{\wedge} 2-11 x+28$ can be factored as $(x-4)(x-7)$, so the complete factorization of the equation is $(x-2)(x-4)(x-7)=0$. Therefore, the solutions to the cubic equation are $x=2, x=4$, and $x=7$.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A01.3

To solve the cubic equation $x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=0$, we can use the following steps:
Step 1: Use the rational root theorem to find a possible rational root. A rational root is a factor of the constant term (-56) divided by a factor of the leading coefficient (1). The factors of -56 are $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 14, \pm 28$, and $\pm 56$, and the factors of 1 are $\pm 1$. Therefore, the possible rational roots are $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 7$, $\pm 8, \pm 14, \pm 28$, and $\pm 56$.
Step 2: Use synthetic division to test each possible rational root. We find that $x=4$ is a root.

```
4 | 1 1 -13 50 -56
    | 4
    |----------------
    1 -9 14 0
Therefore, }\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}3-13*\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}2+50*x-56=(x-4)(\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}2-9x + 14
```

Step 3: Solve the quadratic equation $x^{\wedge} 2-9 x+14=0$ using the quadratic formula or factoring. The solutions are $x=2$ and $x=7$.
Therefore, the solutions of the cubic equation $x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=0$ are $x=4, x=2$, and $x=7$.

## Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A01.1

To solve the cubic equation $x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=0$, we can first attempt to find a rational root using the Rational Root Theorem. The Rational Root Theorem states that if a polynomial has a rational root, it must be in the form of $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$, where p is a factor of the constant term and q is a factor of the leading coefficient.
In this case, the constant term is -56 and the leading coefficient is 1 . So, the potential rational roots are the factors of -56 , which include $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 14$, $\pm 28$, and $\pm 56$.
We can test each of these potential roots by plugging them into the equation and checking if the result is 0 :
1: 1^3-131^2 + 501-56 =-18 (not a root)
$-1:(-1)^{\wedge} 3-13 *(-1)^{\wedge} 2+50 *(-1)-56=-38$ (not a root)
2: $2^{\wedge} 3-132^{\wedge} 2+502-56=-8$ (not a root)
$-2:(-2)^{\wedge} 3-13 *(-2)^{\wedge} 2+50 *(-2)-56=0$ (a root)
We found a rational root: $\mathrm{x}=-2$. Since $\mathrm{x}=-2$ is a root, we can factor the polynomial using synthetic division or long division:
$x^{\wedge} 2-11 x+28$
$-2 \mid x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56$
$-\left(x^{\wedge} 3+2 x^{\wedge} 2\right)$
$\qquad$
$-15 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x$
$--\left(15 x^{\wedge} 2+30 x\right)$

20x - 56
$--(20 x+40)$
-----------
-96
So, the factored form of the polynomial is $(x+2)\left(x^{\wedge} 2-11 x+28\right)$. Now we have to solve the quadratic equation $x^{\wedge} 2-11 x+28=0$. We can use the quadratic formula:
$x=\left(-b \pm \sqrt{ }\left(b^{\wedge} 2-4 a c\right)\right) / 2 a$
In this case, $\mathrm{a}=1, \mathrm{~b}=-11$, and $\mathrm{c}=28$ :
$x=\left(11 \pm \sqrt{ }\left((-11)^{\wedge} 2-4128\right)\right) /(2 * 1)$
$x=(11 \pm \sqrt{ }(121-112)) / 2$
$x=(11 \pm \sqrt{ } 9) / 2$
$\mathrm{x}=(11 \pm 3) / 2$
So, we have two more roots:
$\mathrm{x}=(11+3) / 2=14 / 2=7$
$x=(11-3) / 2=8 / 2=4$
Thus, the roots of the cubic equation $x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=0$ are $x=-2, x=4$, and $x=7$.
NOT Correct

## ChatChatGPT-4 - Response

To solve the cubic equation $x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=0$, we can first try to find an integer root using the Rational Root Theorem. The Rational Root Theorem states that if there is a rational root $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$, then p must be a factor of the constant term, and q must be a factor of the leading coefficient

In this case, the constant term is -56 , and the leading coefficient is 1 . Therefore, any potential rational root must be a factor of -56 . The factors of -56 are: $\pm 1, \pm 2$, $\pm 4, \pm 7, \pm 8, \pm 14, \pm 28$, and $\pm 56$.

We can now test these factors to see if any of them are roots of the cubic equation:
$(1)^{\wedge} 3-13(1)^{\wedge} 2+50(1)-56=-18$ (not a root)
$(2)^{\wedge} 3-13(2)^{\wedge} 2+50(2)-56=-24$ (not a root)
$(4)^{\wedge} 3-13(4)^{\wedge} 2+50(4)-56=0$ (is a root)
Now that we have found a root $(x=4)$, we can perform polynomial division to find the remaining quadratic equation:
$x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=(x-4)\left(x^{\wedge} 2-9 x+14\right)$
Now we can solve the quadratic equation using the quadratic formula
$\mathrm{x}=\left[-\mathrm{b} \pm \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{b}^{\wedge} 2-4 \mathrm{ac}\right)\right] / 2 \mathrm{a}$
In this case, $\mathrm{a}=1, \mathrm{~b}=-9$, and $\mathrm{c}=14$
$x=\left[9 \pm \sqrt{ }\left((-9)^{\wedge} 2-4(1)(14)\right)\right] / 2(1)$
$x=[9 \pm \sqrt{ }(81-56)] / 2$
$\mathrm{x}=[9 \pm \sqrt{25}] / 2$
$x=[9 \pm 5] / 2$
There are two possible solutions for x :
$\mathrm{x}=(9+5) / 2=14 / 2=7$
$\mathrm{x}=(9-5) / 2=4 / 2=2$
So the solutions for the given cubic equation $x^{\wedge} 3-13 x^{\wedge} 2+50 x-56=0$ are $x=2, x=4$, and $x=7$.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A01.3

To solve the given cubic equation, we can try to find a rational root using the Rational Root Theorem. The Rational Root Theorem states that if a polynomial has a rational root $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$, then p is a factor of the constant term and q is a factor of the leading coefficient.

## Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

```
The given cubic equation is }\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}3-13\mp@subsup{x}{}{\wedge}2+50x-56=
The constant term is -56 and the leading coefficient is 1. The possible factors of -56 are }\pm1,\pm2,\pm4,\pm7,\pm8,\pm14, \pm28, and \pm56
We can test these values to see if any of them are roots:
1^3-13(1)^2 + 50(1)-56 = -18 (not a root)
-1^3-13(-1)^2+50(-1)-56=-120 (not a root)
2^3-13(2)^2 + 50(2)-56 = -32 (not a root)
-2^3-13(-2)^2+50(-2)-56 = -152 (not a root)
4^3-13(4)^2 + 50(4)-56 = 0 (root found, x = 4)
```

Now that we have found a root, $x=4$, we can use synthetic division to divide the polynomial by $(x-4)$ to find the remaining quadratic factor:
$\mathrm{x}-4 \mid 1$-13 50-56
+4 36-144
1-9 86-200
So, the quotient is $x^{\wedge} 2-9 x+86$. Now, we can solve the quadratic equation $x^{\wedge} 2-9 x+86=0$ using the quadratic formula:
$\mathrm{x}=\left(-\mathrm{b} \pm \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{b}^{\wedge} 2-4 \mathrm{ac}\right)\right) / 2 \mathrm{a}$
Here, $\mathrm{a}=1, \mathrm{~b}=-9$, and $\mathrm{c}=86$ :
$x=\left(9 \pm \sqrt{ }\left((-9)^{\wedge} 2-4(1)(86)\right)\right) / 2(1)$
$x=(9 \pm \sqrt{ }(81-344)) / 2$
$x=(9 \pm \sqrt{ }(-263)) / 2$
Since the discriminant is negative, the quadratic equation has two complex roots. Therefore, the roots of the given cubic equation are $x=4, x=(9+\sqrt{ }(-263)) / 2$,
and $x=(9-\sqrt{ }(-263)) / 2$.
NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A01.1

Sure, here are the steps on how to solve the cubic equation:
First, we need to find the factors of the constant term, -56 . The factors of -56 are $1,2,4,7,8,14,28$, and 56 .
Next, we need to find the sum of the factors of -56 . The sum of the factors of -56 is 25 .
We need to find three numbers that add up to 25 and multiply to -56 . The three numbers are $-8,7$, and 4 .
We can now factor the cubic equation as follows:
Code snippet
$x^{\wedge} 3-13 * x^{\wedge} 2+50^{*} x-56=0$
$(x-8)(x-7)(x-4)=0$
Therefore, the solutions of the cubic equation are $x=8, x=7$, and $x=4$
I hope this helps! Let me know if you have other requests or questions.
Correct

## Bard - Response A01.2

Sure. Here are the steps on how to solve the cubic equation:

1. First, we need to find the possible rational roots of the equation. We can do this by factoring the constant term, -56 , and the linear term, 50 . The factors of -56 are $1,2,4,7,8,14,28$, and 56 . The factors of 50 are $1,2,5,10,25$, and 50 . The possible rational roots are the products of all the factors of -56 and 50 , excluding 0 . This gives us the following possible rational roots:
o $\quad 1,2,4,7,8,14,28,56,-1,-2,-4,-7,-8,-14,-28,-56$.
2. Next, we need to test each of the possible rational roots to see if it is a root of the equation. We can do this by plugging in each possible root into the equation and seeing if we get 0 . The following possible roots are roots of the equation:
o $\quad 2: 2^{3}-13\left(2^{2}\right)+50(2)-56=0$
o $\quad 4: 4^{3}-13\left(4^{2}\right)+50(4)-56=0$
o $\quad 7: 7^{3}-13\left(7^{2}\right)+50(7)-56=0$
3. Once we have found the roots of the equation, we can use the Factor Theorem to factor the equation. The Factor Theorem states that if $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{a}$ is a factor of the polynomial $p(x)$, then $p(a)=0$. In this case, we have:
o $\quad x-2$ is a factor of the equation, so $p(2)=0$. This gives us the following equation: $(x-2)\left(x^{2}-5 x+28\right)=0$.
o $\quad x-4$ is a factor of the equation, so $p(4)=0$. This gives us the following equation: $(x-4)\left(x^{2}-5 x+28\right)=0$.
o $\quad x-7$ is a factor of the equation, so $p(7)=0$. This gives us the following equation: $(x-7)\left(x^{2}-5 x+28\right)=0$.
4. Finally, we need to solve the quadratic equation $x^{2}-5 x+28=0$. We can do this by using the quadratic formula:

Code snippet
$x=\left(5 \pm \sqrt{\left.\left(5^{\wedge} 2-4(1)(28)\right)\right)} / 2(1)\right.$
$x=(5 \pm \sqrt{ }(25-112)) / 2$
$\mathrm{x}=(5 \pm \sqrt{(-87)}) / 2$
$\mathrm{x}=(5 \pm \mathrm{i} \sqrt{ } 87) / 2$
The solutions to the quadratic equation are $x=(5 \pm i \sqrt{ } 87) / 2$.
Therefore, the solutions to the cubic equation $x^{3}-13 x^{2}+50 x-56=0$ are $x=2, x=4$, and $x=(5 \pm i \sqrt{ } 87) / 2$.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A01.3

Sure. Here are the steps on how to solve the cubic equation:
First, we need to find the possible rational roots of the equation. This can be done by dividing the constant term ( -56 ) by each of the factors of the leading coefficient (1). The possible rational roots are $2,4,7,8,14,28$, and 56.
Next, we need to test each of the possible rational roots to see if it is actually a root of the equation. We can do this by plugging each possible root into the equation and seeing if we get 0 . The only possible root that is also a root of the equation is 2 .
Once we know that 2 is a root of the equation, we can use the factor theorem to factor the equation. The factor theorem states that if a is a root of the equation ax^n $+b x^{\wedge}(n-1)+\ldots+d x+c=0$, then the equation can be factored as $(x-a)\left(b x^{\wedge}(n-1)+\ldots+d x+c\right)=0$. In our case, the factor theorem tells us that the equation can be factored as $(x-2)\left(x^{\wedge} 2-11 x+28\right)=0$.
We can now factor the quadratic equation $\left(x^{\wedge} 2-11 x+28\right)=0$. This can be done by using the quadratic formula. The quadratic formula is:
Code snippet
$\left.x=\left(-b \pm \sqrt{( } b^{\wedge} 2-4 a c\right)\right) / 2 a$
In our case, $\mathrm{a}=1, \mathrm{~b}=-11$, and $\mathrm{c}=28$. Plugging these values into the quadratic formula, we get:
Code snippet
$\mathrm{x}=\left(11 \pm \sqrt{ }\left(11^{\wedge} 2-4 * 1^{*} 28\right)\right) / 2 * 1$
$x=(11 \pm \sqrt{ }(121-112)) / 2$
$x=(11 \pm \sqrt{9}) / 2$
$\mathrm{x}=(11 \pm 3) / 2$
$\mathrm{x}=8$ or 4
Therefore, the solutions of the cubic equation are 2,4 , and 8 .
NOT Correct

Solve the following cubic equation: $100^{*} \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3-1340 * \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2+5389^{*} \mathrm{x}-6660=0$
Correct answer: $\underline{x}_{1}=5 / 2=2.5, \underline{x}_{2}=37 / 10=3.7, x_{3}=36 / 5=7.2$.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A02.1

To solve this cubic equation, we can use the Rational Root Theorem to try to find a rational root. According to the theorem, any rational root of a polynomial with integer coefficients must have a numerator that divides the constant term and a denominator that divides the leading coefficient.
In this case, the leading coefficient is 100 and the constant term is -6660 , so any rational root must have the form:
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$
where p is a factor of -6660 and q is a factor of 100 .
We can find the factors of -6660 by prime factorizing it as:
$-6660=-2^{\wedge} 2 * 3 \wedge 2 * 5 * 37$
So the possible values of p are $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 10, \pm 15, \pm 30, \pm 37, \pm 74, \pm 111, \pm 185, \pm 222, \pm 370, \pm 555$, and $\pm 666$.
The possible values of q are $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 10, \pm 20, \pm 25, \pm 50$, and $\pm 100$.
We can now try each of these possible values of $x$ to see if any of them satisfy the equation. However, this would be very time-consuming, and there is no guarantee that a rational root exists.

Instead, we can use a numerical method to approximate the roots. One popular method is the Newton-Raphson method, which involves iteratively improving an initial guess of the root until the function evaluates to zero
Let's start by making an initial guess of $x=1$. We can then use the following iterative formula:
$x[n+1]=x[n]-f(x[n]) / f^{\prime}(x[n])$
where $f(x)$ is the cubic equation we want to solve, and $f^{\prime}(x)$ is its derivative.
Applying this formula to our equation, we get:
$\mathrm{x}[1]=1$
$x[2]=x[1]-f(x[1]) / f^{\prime}(x[1])$
$x[3]=x[2]-f(x[2]) / f^{\prime}(x[2])$
$x[4]=x[3]-f(x[3]) / f^{\prime}(x[3])$

We can stop the iterations when $\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}+1]$ and $\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}]$ are close enough, say within 0.0001 .
To use this method, we need to calculate the derivative of our equation:
$f(x)=100 x^{\wedge} 3-1340 x^{\wedge} 2+5389 x-6660$
$\mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})=300 \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2-2680 * \mathrm{x}+5389$
Now we can start the iterations:
$\mathrm{x}[1]=1$
$\mathrm{x}[2]=\mathrm{x}[1]-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}[1]) / \mathrm{f}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}[1])=1-(-3151) / 27299 \approx 1.115$
$\mathrm{x}[3]=\mathrm{x}[2]-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}[2]) / \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}[2]) \approx 1.122$
$x[4] \approx 1.122$
So we get an approximation of the root $x \approx 1.122$. We can check this by plugging it into the equation and seeing if it evaluates to zero:
$\mathrm{f}(1.122) \approx 0.00004$
This is very close to zero, so we can be reasonably confident that $x \approx 1.122$ is a root of the equation.
We can repeat this process starting with a different initial guess to find any other roots of the equation. However, since this is a cubic equation, there may be at most three roots.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A02.2

To solve a cubic equation of the form $\mathrm{ax}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{bx}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{cx}+\mathrm{d}=0$, we can use a method called the "Cardano's formula"
First, we need to find the value of $a, b, c$, and $d$ from the given equation:
$\mathrm{a}=100$
$\mathrm{b}=-1340$
$\mathrm{c}=5389$
$d=-6660$
Now, we can substitute these values into Cardano's formula:
Let $x=y-b / 3 a$, then the equation becomes:
$a(y-b / 3 a)^{\wedge} 3+b(y-b / 3 a)^{\wedge} 2+c(y-b / 3 a)+d=0$
Expanding and simplifying the expression yields:
$a y^{\wedge} 3+\left(-a b / 3+b^{\wedge} 2 / 9 a+a c / a\right) y+\left(2 b^{\wedge} 3 / 27 a^{\wedge} 2-b c / 3 a+d\right)=0$
Let $p=-a b / 3 a+b^{\wedge} 2 / 9 a^{\wedge} 2$ and $q=2 b^{\wedge} 3 / 27 a^{\wedge} 3-b c / 3 a^{\wedge} 2+d / a$, then the equation becomes:
$y^{\wedge} 3+p y+q=0$
Next, we need to find the values of p and q from the given equation:
$\mathrm{p}=(-\mathrm{b} / 3 \mathrm{a})^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{c} / 3 \mathrm{a}=(1340 / 300)^{\wedge} 2-(5389 / 100) / 3=-123 / 300$

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

```
q = (-b/3a)^3+(bc/3\mp@subsup{a}{}{\wedge}2-d/a)=(1340/300)^3-(5389/100)(1340/300)/3+6660/100 =-37/100
Now we can substitute p and q into the following formula to find the three roots of the equation:
y1 =2* sqrt(-p/3)*\operatorname{cos}(1/3*\operatorname{acos(3q/p * sqrt(-3/p)))}
y2 = 2 * sqrt(-p/3) * cos(1/3 * acos(3q/p * sqrt(-3/p)) + 2/3 * pi)
```



```
Therefore, the roots of the equation 100x^3-1340x^2 +5389*x-6660=0 are:
x1 = y1 - b/3a = (2 * sqrt(123) * cos(1/3* acos(-37/123 * sqrt(3/123))) - 1340/300
x2 = y2 - b/3a=(2* sqrt(123) * cos(1/3* acos(-37/123 * sqrt(3/123))+2/3 *pi) - 1340/300
x3 = y 3 - b/3a = (2* sqrt(123) * cos(1/3* acos(-37/123 * sqrt(3/123)) +4/3*pi) - 1340/300
We can use a calculator or a computer program to evaluate the values of these roots, which are approximately:
x1\approx6
x2\approx6.6
x3\approx1.1
Therefore, the solutions to the cubic equation 100x^3-1340x^2+5389*x-6660=0 are x=6,x=6.6, and x=1
```

NOT Correct
ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A02.3

There are several methods to solve cubic equations, including the Rational Root Theorem, Cardano's formula, and numerical methods such as Newton-Raphson. Here, we will use the Rational Root Theorem and synthetic division to find one real root, and then use the quadratic formula to find the remaining complex roots. First, we need to determine the possible rational roots of the equation using the Rational Root Theorem. The theorem states that any rational root of the equation with integer coefficients must be of the form $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$, where p is a factor of the constant term (6660) and q is a factor of the leading coefficient (100). Therefore, the possible rational roots are:
$\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 10, \pm 15, \pm 20, \pm 30, \pm 60, \pm 83, \pm 166, \pm 249, \pm 332, \pm 415, \pm 498, \pm 830, \pm 1660$
We can test these roots using synthetic division. For example, if we try $\mathrm{x}=1$ :

Since the remainder is not zero, $\mathrm{x}=1$ is not a root. We can repeat this process for each of the possible rational roots until we find one that works.
In this case, we find that $x=3$ is a root:
3 | $100-1340 \begin{array}{llll}5389 & -6660\end{array}$


| $\quad 300$-1020 11853 |
| :-- |

$\begin{array}{llll}100 & -1040 & 4369 & 5193\end{array}$
Therefore, we can factor the equation as:
$100^{*} x^{\wedge} 3-1340^{*} x^{\wedge} 2+5389 * x-6660=(x-3) *\left(100^{*} x^{\wedge} 2-1040 * x+4369\right)=0$
Now, we can use the quadratic formula to solve the quadratic factor:
$100^{*} x^{\wedge} 2-1040^{*} x+4369=0$
$\mathrm{a}=100, \mathrm{~b}=-1040, \mathrm{c}=4369$
$x=\left(-b \pm \operatorname{sqrt}\left(b^{\wedge} 2-4 a c\right)\right) / 2 a$
$=(1040 \pm \operatorname{sqrt}(1040 \wedge 2-4 * 100 * 4369)) / 200$
$=(1040 \pm \operatorname{sqrt}(270400)) / 200$
The square root of 270400 is 520 , so:
$x=(1040 \pm 520) / 200$
Therefore, the remaining two roots are:
$x=(1040+520) / 200=8$
$x=(1040-520) / 200=2.345$
Therefore, the three roots of the equation are:
$\mathrm{x}=3$
$\mathrm{x}=8$
$\mathrm{x}=2.345$
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A02.1

To solve the cubic equation $100 x^{\wedge} 3-1340 x^{\wedge} 2+5389 x-6660=0$, we can use numerical methods such as the Newton-Raphson method or approximate the roots graphically. Unfortunately, as an AI language model, I cannot plot graphs or execute iterative numerical methods. However, I can help you understand how to do this manually or with a calculator or software.
For the Newton-Raphson method:

1. Start with an initial guess for the root, say $x 0$.
2. Calculate the function value at $\mathrm{x} 0(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x} 0))$ and its derivative $(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x} 0))$.
3. Update the guess using the formula: $\mathrm{x} 1=\mathrm{x} 0-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x} 0) / \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x} 0)$.
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4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 until the desired accuracy is achieved, or a maximum number of iterations is reached

Alternatively, you can use calculators, spreadsheet software, or computer programs such as Wolfram Alpha, MATLAB, or Python (with libraries like NumPy or SciPy) to find the roots of the cubic equation numerically or symbolically.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A02.2

To solve the cubic equation $100 x^{\wedge} 3-1340 x^{\wedge} 2+5389 x-6660=0$, we can use a numerical method, such as the Newton-Raphson method. However, this process can be quite complex to do by hand. It is more efficient to use a calculator or software like WolframAlpha or a programming language like Python.
Here's an example of using Python with the numpy library to find the roots of the equation:
import numpy as np
coefficients $=[100,-1340,5389,-6660]$
roots $=n p$. roots(coefficients)
print(roots)
Running this code will output the roots of the cubic equation. Remember that a cubic equation can have one, two, or three real roots, and it may also have complex roots.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A02.3

To solve the cubic equation $100 x^{3}-1340 x^{2}+5389 x-6660=0$, we can try to find its roots using different techniques. One common method is to search for rational roots using the Rational Root Theorem, which states that any potential rational root of the polynomial can be expressed as $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$, where p is a factor of the constant term and q is a factor of the leading coefficient. In this case, the constant term is -6660 and the leading coefficient is 100 .
Factors of $-6660: \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 10, \pm 11, \pm 15, \pm 22, \pm 30, \pm 33, \pm 55, \pm 66, \pm 110, \pm 165, \pm 330, \pm 370, \pm 666, \pm 1110, \pm 2220, \pm 3330, \pm 6660$
Factors of $100: \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 10, \pm 20, \pm 25, \pm 50, \pm 100$
Now we need to check all possible combinations of $p / q$ to find any potential rational roots. After testing these combinations, we find that $x=6$ is a root of the given equation. So, $(x-6)$ is a factor of the cubic polynomial.
We can perform polynomial long division or synthetic division to find the other factor, which will be a quadratic factor. Using synthetic division with the root $\mathrm{x}=$ 6 , we get the quadratic factor:
$100\left(x^{2}-20 x+15\right)$
Now, we can solve the quadratic equation $100 x^{2}-2000 x+1500=0$ using the quadratic formula:
$x=\left[-b \pm \sqrt{ }\left(b^{2}-4 a c\right)\right] / 2 a$
In this case, $a=100, b=-2000$, and $c=1500$.
$x=\left[2000 \pm \sqrt{ }\left((-2000)^{2}-4(100)(1500)\right)\right] / 2(100)$
$x=[2000 \pm \sqrt{ }(4000000-600000)] / 200$
$x=[2000 \pm \sqrt{ }(3400000)] / 200$
$x=[2000 \pm 200 \sqrt{ }(17)] / 200$
$x=10 \pm \sqrt{ }(17)$
So, the three roots of the given cubic equation are $x=6, x=10+\sqrt{ }(17)$, and $x=10-\sqrt{ }(17)$.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A02.1

Sure. The cubic equation $100 x^{\wedge} 3-1340 x^{\wedge} 2+5389 x-6660=0$ has no real solutions. This can be seen by using the Rational Root Theorem, which states that if a polynomial with integer coefficients has a rational root, then the root must be of the form $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{q}$, where p is a factor of the constant term and q is a factor of the leading coefficient. In this case, the constant term is -6660 and the leading coefficient is 100 . The only possible rational roots of the equation are therefore $1,2,3$, $4,5,6,10,12,15,20,25,30,31,50,60,62,75,100,150,156,250,312,500,780$, and 1250 . However, if we plug any of these possible roots into the equation, we get a non-zero result. Therefore, the equation has no real solutions.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A02.2

Sure. Here are the steps on how to solve the cubic equation:

1. First, we need to find the possible rational roots of the equation. This can be done by dividing the constant term (-6660) by each of the factors of the leading coefficient (100). The possible rational roots are $-6660,-3330,-2220,-1665,-1110,-832,-555,-416,-333,-222,-166,-111,-83,-55,-41,-33$, $-22,-11,-8,-5,-4,-3,-2,-1$.
2. Next, we need to test each of the possible rational roots to see if it is actually a root of the equation. We can do this by plugging each possible root into the equation and seeing if we get 0 . The only possible root that is also a root of the equation is -41 .
3. Once we know that -41 is a root of the equation, we can use the factor theorem to factor the equation. The factor theorem states that if a is a root of the equation $a x^{\wedge} n+b x^{\wedge}(n-1)+\ldots+d x+c=0$, then the equation can be factored as $(x-a)\left(b x^{\wedge}(n-1)+\ldots+d x+c\right)=0$. In our case, the factor theorem tells us that the equation can be factored as $(x+41)\left(100 x^{\wedge} 2-579 x+160\right)=0$.
4. We can now factor the quadratic equation $\left(100 x^{\wedge} 2-579 x+160\right)=0$. This can be done by using the quadratic formula. The quadratic formula is:

## Code snippe

$\mathrm{x}=\left(-\mathrm{b} \pm \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{b}^{\wedge} 2-4 \mathrm{ac}\right)\right) / 2 \mathrm{a}$
In our case, $a=100, b=-579$, and $c=160$. Plugging these values into the quadratic formula, we get:
Code snippet
$\mathrm{x}=\left(579 \pm \sqrt{ }\left(579^{\wedge} 2-4^{*} 100^{*} 160\right)\right) / 2 * 100$
$\mathrm{x}=(579 \pm \sqrt{ }(33881)) / 200$
$\mathrm{x}=(579 \pm 183.78) / 200$
$\mathrm{x}=3.39$ or 19.61
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## Therefore, the solutions of the cubic equation are -41, 3.39, and 19.61.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A02.3

Sure. Here are the steps on how to solve the cubic equation:

1. First, we need to find the possible rational roots of the equation. This can be done by dividing the constant term (-6660) by each of the factors of the leading coefficient (100). The possible rational roots are $-6.66,-11.11,6.66,11.11,66.6,111.1,-666$, and -1111 .
2. Next, we need to test each of the possible rational roots to see if it is actually a root of the equation. We can do this by plugging each possible root into the equation and seeing if we get 0 . The only possible root that is also a root of the equation is 6.66 .
3. Once we know that 6.66 is a root of the equation, we can use the factor theorem to factor the equation. The factor theorem states that if a is a root of the equation $a x^{\wedge} n+b x^{\wedge}(n-1)+\ldots+d x+c=0$, then the equation can be factored as $(x-a)\left(b x^{\wedge}(n-1)+\ldots+d x+c\right)=0$. In our case, the factor theorem tells us that the equation can be factored as $(x-6.66)\left(100 x^{\wedge} 2-770 x+1001\right)=0$.
4. We can now factor the quadratic equation $\left(100 x^{\wedge} 2-770 x+1001\right)=0$. This can be done by using the quadratic formula. The quadratic formula is:

## Code snippet

$\mathrm{x}=\left(-\mathrm{b} \pm \sqrt{ }\left(\mathrm{b}^{\wedge} 2-4 \mathrm{ac}\right)\right) / 2 \mathrm{a}$
In our case, $a=100, b=-770$, and $c=1001$. Plugging these values into the quadratic formula, we get:
Code snippet
$\mathrm{x}=\left(770 \pm \sqrt{ }\left(770^{\wedge} 2-4 * 100^{*} 1001\right)\right) / 2 * 100$
$x=(770 \pm \sqrt{ }(592900-400400)) / 200$
$x=(770 \pm \sqrt{ } 192500) / 200$
$x=(770 \pm 440 \sqrt{ } 10) / 200$
$\mathrm{x}=38.5 \pm 22 \sqrt{ } 10$
Therefore, the solutions of the cubic equation are $6.66,38.5+22 \sqrt{ } 10$, and $38.5-22 \sqrt{ } 10$.

## NOT Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios
A closed club of professional engineers has 500 members. Some members are "old members" while the others are "new members" (subscribed within one year from now). An event was organized where old members had to pay $\$ 200$ each for their participation while new members had to pay $\$ 140$ each. The event was successful and while all new members came, only $70 \%$ of the old members attended. What is the amount of money (in \$) that was collected from all members for this event?

Correct answer: $\mathbf{7 0 , 0 0 0}$. The problem is that we do not know the number of the old members and the number of the new members. We only know that the sum is 500 . But this is not a problem in this case: Let $x$ be the old members and (500-x) be the new members. The amount collected is (500$x) * 140+0.7 x * 200=70000-140 x+140 x=\$ 70000$.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A03.1

Let's calculate the amount of money collected from old members and new members separately, and then sum them up to find the total amount collected. Number of old members $=500-($ number of new members $)$

The number of old members attending the event $=70 \%$ of the total old members
Number of old members attending $=0.7 *$ (500 - number of new members)
Amount collected from old members $=$ Number of old members attending * $\$ 200$
Amount collected from old members $=0.7 *(500-$ number of new members $) * \$ 200$
Amount collected from new members $=$ Number of new members $* \$ 140$
Amount collected from new members $=$ number of new members * $\$ 140$
Total amount collected $=$ Amount collected from old members + Amount collected from new members
Total amount collected $=0.7 *(500-$ number of new members $) * \$ 200+$ number of new members $* \$ 140$
Now we can substitute the value of "number of new members" and calculate the total amount collected.
However, the number of new members is not given in the question. If you provide the number of new members, I can calculate the total amount collected for the event.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A03.2

Let's calculate the amount of money collected from the old members and new members separately, and then sum them up to find the total amount collected.
Number of old members $=500$ (given)
Number of new members $=500-$ Number of old members
Amount collected from old members $=0.7 *$ Number of old members * \$200
Amount collected from old members $=0.7 * 500 * \$ 200$
Amount collected from new members $=$ Number of new members * \$140
Amount collected from new members $=(500-$ Number of old members $) * \$ 140$
Total amount collected $=$ Amount collected from old members + Amount collected from new members
Total amount collected $=0.7 * 500 * \$ 200+(500-$ Number of old members $) * \$ 140$
Now, let's substitute the value of Number of old members
Total amount collected $=0.7 * 500 * \$ 200+(500-500) * \$ 140$
Total amount collected $=0.7 * 500 * \$ 200+0 * \$ 140$
Total amount collected $=0.7 * 500 * \$ 200$
Total amount collected $=70 \% * 500 * \$ 200$
Total amount collected $=0.7 * 500 * \$ 200$
Total amount collected $=0.7 * \$ 100,000$
Total amount collected $=\$ 70,000$
Therefore, the total amount of money collected from all members for this event is $\$ 70,000$.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A03.3

Let's calculate the amount of money collected from the old members and new members separately.
Number of old members $=500-(500 * 0.70)=500-350=150$
Number of new members $=500 * 0.70=350$
Amount collected from old members $=$ Number of old members $*$ Cost per old member
Amount collected from old members $=150 * \$ 200=\$ 30,000$
Amount collected from new members $=$ Number of new members $*$ Cost per new member
Amount collected from new members $=350 * \$ 140=\$ 49,000$
Total amount collected $=$ Amount collected from old members + Amount collected from new members
Total amount collected $=\$ 30,000+\$ 49,000=\$ 79,000$
Therefore, the total amount of money collected from all members for this event is $\$ 79,000$.

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A03.1

Let's denote the number of new members as N and the number of old members as O . Given that the total number of members is 500 , we have:
$\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{O}=500$
We know that all new members attended the event and that $70 \%$ of the old members attended. Let's denote the total amount of money collected as M. The total amount of money collected from the new members is $\mathrm{N} * \$ 140$ and from the old members is $0.7 * \mathrm{O} * \$ 200$. Therefore, we can write:
$\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N} * \$ 140+0.7 * \mathrm{O} * \$ 200$
Since we don't know the exact numbers of new and old members, we can't solve this problem without additional information. If, for example, we know the total amount of money collected, we can solve the system of equations for N and O , and then calculate the amount of money collected from all members for the event

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A03.2

Let's denote the number of old members as O and the number of new members as N . We know that the total number of members is 500 , so we have:
$\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{N}=500$
We also know that only $70 \%$ of the old members attended the event, so the number of old members attending is 0.7 O .
The amount of money collected from the old members is $200 * 0.7 \mathrm{O}=140 \mathrm{O}$.
Since all new members attended the event, the amount of money collected from the new members is $140 * \mathrm{~N}$.
The total amount of money collected from both old and new members is:
$140 \mathrm{O}+140 \mathrm{~N}$
We know that $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{N}=500$, so we can express N in terms of O :
$\mathrm{N}=500-\mathrm{O}$
Now, we can substitute this expression for N back into the equation for the total amount of money collected:
$1400+140(500-O)$
Simplifying the equation, we get:
$140 \mathrm{O}+70000-140 \mathrm{O}$
The terms with O cancel out, and we are left with:
70000
So, the total amount of money collected from all members for the event is $\$ 70,000$.
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A03.3

The problem does not provide the exact number of old and new members. However, we can set it up as an equation to find the amount of money collected
Let's denote:
$\mathrm{N}=$ the number of new members,
$\mathrm{O}=$ the number of old members.
We know from the problem that $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{O}=500$ (since the total number of members is 500 ).
The amount of money collected from old members is $0.7 * \mathrm{O} * \$ 200$ (since only $70 \%$ of old members attended and they each paid $\$ 200$ ).
The amount of money collected from new members is $\mathrm{N} * \$ 140$ (since all new members attended and they each paid \$140).
Therefore, the total amount of money collected from all members for this event is $0.7 * \mathrm{O} * \$ 200+\mathrm{N} * \$ 140$.
Without knowing the exact number of old and new members, we can't determine the exact amount of money collected. However, if we know either the number of old members or the number of new members, we can substitute that value into the equation and solve for the total amount of money collected.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A03.1

There are 500 members in the club, and all new members attended the event. This means that $500-500 * 70 \%=150$ old members attended the event.
The total amount of money collected from the old members is $150 * 200=\$ 30,000$.
The total amount of money collected from the new members is $500-150=350 * 140=\$ 49,000$.
Therefore, the total amount of money collected from all members for this event is $30,000+49,000=\$ 79,000$.
So the answer is 79000

## NOT Correct

Bard - Response A03.2
$70 \%$ of 500 is 350 , so there are 350 old members.
The old members paid $\$ 200$ each, so the total amount paid by old members is $\$ 200 * 350=\$ 70,000$.
There are $500-350=150$ new members.
The new members paid $\$ 140$ each, so the total amount paid by new members is $\$ 140 * 150=\$ 21,000$
The total amount of money collected from all members is $\$ 70,000+\$ 21,000=\$ 91,000$.
So the answer is 91000
NOT Correct
Bard - Response A03.3

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

```
There are 500 members of the club, so there are 500* 0.7 = 350 old members.
The old members paid $200 each, so the total amount paid by old members is 350*200=$70,000.
There are 500-350=150 new members.
The new members paid $140 each, so the total amount paid by new members is 150*140=$21,000.
The total amount of money collected from all members is 70,000 + 21,000 = $91,000.
So the answer is 91000
```

NOT Correct

The sum of three adults' ages is 60 . The oldest of them is 6 years older than the youngest. What is the age of each one of them? Assume that an adult is at least 18 years old.

## Correct answer: 18, 18, 24.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A04.1

Let's call the youngest person's age " x "
According to the problem, the oldest person's age is 6 years older than the youngest, so their age is $x+6$
We also know that the sum of all three ages is 60 , so we can set up an equation
$x+(x+6)+($ third person's age $)=60$
Simplifying the equation:
$2 x+6+($ third person's age $)=60$
Subtracting 6 from both sides
$2 \mathrm{x}+($ third person's age $)=54$
We also know that all three people are adults, so each person's age must be at least 18 years old. This means that the youngest person's age ( x ) must be at least 18 and the oldest person's age $(x+6)$ must be at least 24
We can try some values for the third person's age to see if they work in the equation. Since $2 x+($ third person's age $)=54$, we can subtract $2 x$ from both sides to get:
$($ third person's age $)=54-2 \mathrm{x}$
If we try some values for $x$, we can see if this expression gives us an age that is at least 18
If $x=18$ :
$($ third person's age $)=54-2(18)=18$
This is valid since all three ages are at least 18 . So one possible solution is
Youngest person's age $=x=18$
Oldest person's age $=x+6=24$
Third person's age $=18$
So the three adults are 18,24 , and 18 years old.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A04.2

Let's use algebra to solve this problem.
Let $x$ be the age of the youngest adult. Then, the age of the oldest adult is $x+6$, and the age of the third adult is $60-x-(x+6)=54-2 x$.
The sum of their ages is 60 , so we can write an equation:
$x+(x+6)+(54-2 x)=60$
Simplifying and solving for $x$
$x+x+6+54-2 x=60$
$2 x+60=60$
$2 \mathrm{x}=0$
$\mathrm{x}=0$
Wait a minute, that doesn't make sense! We assumed that an adult is at least 18 years old, so the youngest adult must be at least 18 .
Let's try again, but this time we'll use y to represent the age of the youngest adult. Then the age of the oldest adult is $\mathrm{y}+6$, and the age of the third adult is $54-\mathrm{y}$ -$(y+6)=48-2 y$.

Our equation is:
$y+(y+6)+(48-2 y)=60$
Simplifying and solving for y :
$y+y+6+48-2 y=60$
$2 y+54=60$
$2 \mathrm{y}=6$
$y=3$
So the youngest adult is 3 years old, the oldest adult is $3+6=9$ years old, and the third adult is $48-2(3)=42$ years old.
Therefore, the ages of the three adults are 3,9 , and 42 .

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A04.3

Let's call the age of the youngest adult " $x$ ". Then, according to the problem, the age of the oldest adult is " $x+6$ ". We don't know the age of the third adult, so let's call it "y".
According to the problem, the sum of their ages is 60 , so we can write an equation:
$x+(x+6)+y=60$
Simplifying this equation, we get:
$2 x+y=54$
Now we need to use the fact that an adult is at least 18 years old. Since the youngest adult's age is "x", we know that

```
\(\mathrm{x} \geq 18\)
From this inequality, we can see that the smallest possible value for " \(x\) " is 18 . We also know that the sum of their ages is 60 , so the largest possible value for " \(y\) " is
36 (when both "x" and "x+6" are 27). Therefore, we can set up the following inequalities
\(18 \leq x \leq 27\)
\(27 \leq x+6 \leq 36\)
\(18 \leq y \leq 36\)
Now we need to find integer values for " \(x\) " and " \(y\) " that satisfy the equation \(2 x+y=54\) and the above inequalities. One way to do this is to use trial and error.
Trying \(\mathrm{x}=18\), we get:
\(2(18)+y=54\)
\(y=18\)
This solution doesn't work because the sum of their ages is only 54 , which is less than 60
Trying \(x=19\), we get:
\(2(19)+y=54\)
\(y=16\)
This solution also doesn't work because y is less than 18 , which violates the minimum age requirement
Trying \(\mathrm{x}=20\), we get:
\(2(20)+y=54\)
\(y=14\)
This solution also doesn't work because y is less than 18 .
Trying \(x=21\), we get:
\(2(21)+y=54\)
\(\mathrm{y}=12\)
This solution also doesn't work because y is less than 18 .
Trying \(\mathrm{x}=22\), we get:
\(2(22)+y=54\)
\(y=10\)
This solution doesn't work because the sum of their ages is only 54
Trying \(x=23\), we get:
\(2(23)+y=54\)
\(y=8\)
This solution also doesn't work because y is less than 18 .
Trying \(x=24\), we get:
\(2(24)+y=54\)
\(y=6\)
This solution works because the sum of their ages is \(24+30+6=60\), and all three ages are at least 18 .
Therefore, the three adults' ages are 24,30 , and \(6+24=30\).
NOT Correct
```


## ChatGPT-4 - Response A04.1

Let's denote the ages of the three adults as $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C , where A is the youngest, B is the middle-aged, and C is the oldest. We are given the following information: $A+B+C=60$ (The sum of their ages is 60 )
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}+6$ (The oldest is 6 years older than the youngest)
Let's rewrite the second equation to make A the subject:
$A=C-6$
Now, substitute this expression for A in the first equation:
(C-6) $+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}=60$
Combine like terms and simplify:
$2 C+B-6=60$
Now, since we know that an adult is at least 18 years old, the minimum possible value for A (the youngest) is 18 :
$\mathrm{A}=18$
Using the second equation, we can find the value of C (the oldest):
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}+6=18+6=24$
Now that we have the values of $A$ and $C$, we can use the first equation to find the value of $B$ (the middle-aged):
$A+B+C=60$
$18+B+24=60$
$B+42=60$
Subtract 42 from both sides:
$B=60-42=18$
So, the ages of the three adults are 18 (youngest), 18 (middle-aged), and 24 (oldest)

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A04.2

Let the ages of the three adults be $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z , with x being the youngest and z being the oldest. We can set up a system of equations to represent the given information:
$x+y+z=60$ (The sum of their ages is 60 .)
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+6$ (The oldest is 6 years older than the youngest.)
Since there are only two equations and three variables, we can't find a unique solution without more information. However, we can express the ages of y and z in terms of x :
From equation (2), we have $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+6$. Now, substitute this expression for z in equation (1):
$x+y+(x+6)=60$
Combine like terms:
$2 x+y+6=60$
Now, express $y$ in terms of $x$ :
$y=60-2 x-6$
$\mathrm{y}=54-2 \mathrm{x}$
Now we have expressions for y and z in terms of x :
$y=54-2 x$
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+6$
Since x is the youngest and an adult is at least 18 years old, $\mathrm{x} \geq 18$. We can use this information to find possible integer values for $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z that satisfy the given conditions:
Case 1: $\mathrm{x}=18$
$\mathrm{y}=54-2(18)=54-36=18$
$\mathrm{z}=18+6=24$
In this case, the ages are 18,18 , and 24 .
Case 2: $\mathrm{x}=19$
$\mathrm{y}=54-2(19)=54-38=16$ (not valid, as y must be at least 18)
From this, we can see that the only valid solution is when the ages of the three adults are 18,18 , and 24 .

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A04.3

Let's use algebra to solve this problem. Let $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z represent the ages of the three adults. We are given that the sum of their ages is 60 :
$x+y+z=60$
We are also given that the oldest is 6 years older than the youngest. Let's assume x is the youngest and z is the oldest:
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+6$
Now we have two equations with three variables:
$x+y+z=60$
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+6$
We can use substitution to eliminate one of the variables. Let's solve equation (2) for $z$ and substitute it into equation (1):
$x+y+(x+6)=60$
Combine like terms:
$2 x+y+6=60$
Now, we need to consider the constraint that the youngest adult ( x ) must be at least 18 years old. Let's assume $\mathrm{x}=18$ :
$2(18)+y+6=60$
Solve for y :
$36+y+6=60$
$y=60-36-6$
$y=18$
Now we have the ages of the youngest ( x ) and the middle adult ( y ). Let's find the age of the oldest adult ( z :
$\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}+6$
$\mathrm{z}=18+6$
$\mathrm{z}=24$
So, the ages of the three adults are 18,18 , and 24 .
Correct

## Bard - Response A04.1

The youngest is $x$ years old.
The middle is $x+3$ years old.
The oldest is $x+6$ years old.
$x+(x+3)+(x+6)=60$
$3 x+9=60$
$3 \mathrm{x}=51$
$\mathrm{x}=17$

## Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

```
The youngest is }17\mathrm{ years old.
The middle is 20 years old.
The oldest is 23 years old.
However, since the youngest is 17 years old, which is not at least 18 years old, the given conditions are not satisfied. Therefore, there is no solution to thi
problem.
NOT Correct
```

Bard - Response A04.2
The youngest is $x$ years old.
The middle is $x+3$ years old.
The oldest is $x+6$ years old.
$\mathrm{x}+(\mathrm{x}+3)+(\mathrm{x}+6)=60$
$3 \mathrm{x}+9=60$
$3 \mathrm{x}=51$
$\mathrm{x}=17$
The youngest is 17 years old.
The middle is 20 years old.
The oldest is 23 years old.
But, since the youngest is less than 18 years old, we can add 3 to all of their ages, making the youngest 20 years old, the middle 23 years old, and the oldest 26
years old.
NOT Correct
Bard - Response A04.3

The sum of three adults' ages is 60 . The oldest of them is 6 years older than the youngest. What is the age of each one of them? Assume that an adult is at least 18 years old.
The youngest is x years old.
The middle is $x+3$ years old.
The oldest is $x+6$ years old.
$\mathrm{x}+(\mathrm{x}+3)+(\mathrm{x}+6)=60$
$3 \mathrm{x}+9=60$
$3 \mathrm{x}=51$
$\mathrm{x}=17$
The youngest is 17 years old.
The middle is 20 years old.
The oldest is 23 years old.
However, since we are assuming that an adult is at least 18 years old, the youngest cannot be 17 years old. Therefore, the youngest is 18 years old, the middle is 21 years old, and the oldest is 24 years old.

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

A decade ago, the population of a city was 55,182 people. Now, it is $170 \%$ larger. What is the city's current population?

Correct answer: 148,991 people. The calculation is: $55,182+170 / 100 * 55,182=148,991.4$. By rounding we get 148,991 people

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A05.1

To find the current population of the city, we need to add $170 \%$ of the population a decade ago to the population a decade ago
$170 \%$ of 55,182 is:
$170 / 100 \times 55,182=93,809.4$
So the current population of the city is:
$55,182+93,809.4=148,991.4$
Rounding to the nearest whole number, the city's current population is approximately 148,991.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A05.2

To find the city's current population, we need to first calculate what $170 \%$ of its population was a decade ago, and then add that amount to the population a decade ago.

To find $170 \%$ of the population a decade ago, we can multiply the population by 1.70 :
$170 \%=170 / 100=1.70$
Population now $=$ Population a decade ago $+(170 \%$ of Population a decade ago $)$
Population now $=55,182+(1.70 * 55,182)$
Population now $=55,182+93,809.4$
Population now $=149,991.4$
Therefore, the city's current population is $149,991.4$ people (rounded to the nearest person).

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A05.3

To find the current population of the city, we need to add $170 \%$ of the population from a decade ago to the population from a decade ago.
$170 \%$ of 55,182 is:
$(170 / 100) \times 55,182=93,807.4$
So the city's current population is:
$55,182+93,807.4=148,989.4$
Rounding this to the nearest whole number, we can say that the city's current population is approximately 148,989 people.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A05.1

To find the current population, we first need to determine the increase in population. Since the population is now $170 \%$ larger, we can calculate the increase by multiplying the original population by 1.70 ( $170 \%$ as a decimal):
$55,182 * 1.70=93,809.4$
Since we can't have a fraction of a person, we'll round this number to the nearest whole number:
93,809
Now, we can add the increase to the original population to find the current population:
$55,182+93,809=148,991$
So, the city's current population is 148,991 people.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A05.2

If the population of a city is $170 \%$ larger than it was a decade ago, you can calculate the current population by first finding the increase in the population and then adding it to the original population.
Increase in population $=$ original population $* 170 \%$ (as a decimal: 1.70 )
$55,182 * 1.70=93,809.4$
Since you can't have a fraction of a person, we'll round this to the nearest whole number, which is 93,809 .
Now add the increase in population to the original population:
55,182 (original population) $+93,809$ (increase) $=148,991$
The city's current population is 148,991 people.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A05.3

To find the current population of the city, first determine the increase in population.
Since the city's population is now $170 \%$ larger, that means it has grown by $170 \%$ of the population a decade ago:

## Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

```
Increase in population =55,182* 170% = 55,182* 1.70=93,809.4
However, population must be a whole number. So, we can round the increase in population to the nearest whole number:
93,809.4 \approx 93,809
Now add the increase in population to the population a decade ago
Current population = 55,182 (a decade ago) + 93,809 (increase) = 148,991
The city's current population is approximately 148,991 people.
Correct
```


## Bard - Response A05.1

The city's current population is $93,809.4$.
We know that the population of the city was 55,182 people a decade ago, and that it is now $170 \%$ larger. This means that the population has increased by $170 \%$, or 1.7 times. To find the current population, we multiply the population from a decade ago by 1.7.
$55,182 * 1.7=93,809.4$
Therefore, the city's current population is $93,809.4$ people.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A05.2

To find the current population of the city, we first need to find the increase in population. We do this by multiplying the population a decade ago by $170 \%$. This gives us an increase of $93,809.4$ people. We then add this increase to the population a decade ago to get the current population of $148,991.4$ people.

## Correct

Bard - Response A05.3
The city's current population is $93,809.4$ people.
We know that the city's population a decade ago was 55,182 people. We also know that it is now $170 \%$ larger. This means that the city's population has increased by $170 \%$. To find the city's current population, we need to multiply the population from a decade ago by 1.7 . This gives us $55,182 * 1.7=93,809.4$. Therefore, the city's current population is $93,809.4$ people.
NOT Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

What is the precise sum of $523,654,123$ and $7,652,432,852,136$ ?
Correct answer: 7,652,956,506,259.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A06.1

The precise sum of $523,654,123$ and $7,652,432,852,136$ is:
7,652,956,506,259
To arrive at this answer, you can simply add the two numbers digit by digit, carrying over any excess values to the next digit as needed. Here's how you can do it:

$$
523,654,123
$$

7,652,432,852,136
$+$
7,652,956,506,259
Correct
ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A06.2
The precise sum of $523,654,123$ and $7,652,432,852,136$ is $7,653,956,506,259$.
NOT Correct
ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A06.3
The precise sum of $523,654,123$ and $7,652,432,852,136$ is $7,653,956,506,259$.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A06.1

The precise sum of $523,654,123$ and $7,652,432,852,136$ is $7,652,956,506,259$
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A06.2

The precise sum of $523,654,123$ and $7,652,432,852,136$ is $7,652,956,506,259$.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A06.3

The precise sum of $523,654,123$ and $7,652,432,852,136$ is $7,652,956,506,259$.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A06.1

The precise sum of $523,654,123$ and $7,652,432,852,136$ is $7,652,956,515,259$.
I hope this helps! Let me know if you have other requests or questions.

## Not Correct

## Bard - Response A06.2

The precise sum of $523,654,123$ and $7,652,432,852,136$ is $7,652,956,505,259$.
Is there anything else I can help you with?
NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A06.3

The precise sum of $523,654,123$ and $7,652,432,852,136$ is $7,652,956,505,259$.
I hope this answers your question. Let me know if you have other requests or questions.

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

| Y | You decide to make a road-trip with your new car. The distance between City A and City B <br> is 120 km . When you travel from A to B, your average speed is slow, $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. When you <br> travel from B to A, your average speed is high, $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. What is the average speed for the <br> whole trip A to B to A (with return to City A)? <br> Correct answer: $\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{~ k m} / \mathbf{h}$. When you go, you spend 2 h . When you come back to A, you spend 1 <br> h . The total time is therefore 3 h . In 3 h you travel $120+120=240 \mathrm{~km}$. As a result, the average speed is <br> $240 / 3=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. |
| :--- | :--- |

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A07.1

Let's start by calculating the time it takes to travel from City A to City B at a speed of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ :
time $=$ distance $\div$ speed $=120 \mathrm{~km} \div 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=2$ hours
Now let's calculate the time it takes to travel from City B to City A at a speed of $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ :
time $=$ distance $\div$ speed $=120 \mathrm{~km} \div 120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=1$ hour
So the total time for the round-trip is:
2 hours ( A to B ) +1 hour $(\mathrm{B}$ to A$)=3$ hours
The total distance for the round-trip is:
$120 \mathrm{~km}(\mathrm{~A}$ to B$)+120 \mathrm{~km}(\mathrm{~B}$ to A$)=240 \mathrm{~km}$
Now we can calculate the average speed for the round-trip:
average speed $=$ total distance $\div$ total time $=240 \mathrm{~km} \div 3$ hours $=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
Therefore, the average speed for the whole trip from City A to City B and back to City A is $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A07.2

We can use the formula for average speed, which is:
average speed $=$ total distance $\div$ total time
Let's call the distance between City A and City B "d".
When traveling from $A$ to $B$ at an average speed of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, the time it takes to cover the distance $d$ is:
time $\mathrm{AB}=\mathrm{d} \div 60$
When traveling from $B$ to $A$ at an average speed of $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, the time it takes to cover the same distance $d$ is:
time $B A=d \div 120$
The total distance traveled for the round-trip is:
total distance $=2 \mathrm{~d}$
The total time for the round-trip is the sum of the time $A B$ and the time $B A$ :
total time $=$ time $\mathrm{AB}+$ time BA
Substituting the expressions we derived for time AB and time BA:
total time $=(\mathrm{d} \div 60)+(\mathrm{d} \div 120)$
Simplifying:
total time $=(3 \mathrm{~d} \div 120)+(\mathrm{d} \div 120)=4 \mathrm{~d} \div 120=\mathrm{d} \div 30$
Now we can use the formula for average speed again:
average speed $=$ total distance $\div$ total time
Substituting the expressions we derived for total distance and total time:
average speed $=2 \mathrm{~d} \div(\mathrm{d} \div 30)=60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
Therefore, the average speed for the whole round-trip from City A to City B and back to City A is $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A07.3

We can start by calculating the time it takes to travel from City A to City B at $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ :

## time $=$ distance $\div$ speed

time $=120 \mathrm{~km} \div 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=2$ hours
Now we can calculate the time it takes to travel from City B to City A at $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ :
time $=$ distance $\div$ speed
time $=120 \mathrm{~km} \div 120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=1$ hour
So the total time for the round-trip is:
2 hours $(A$ to $B)+1$ hour $(B$ to $A)=3$ hours
To find the average speed for the whole trip, we can use the formula:
average speed $=$ total distance $\div$ total time
The total distance of the round-trip is:
$120 \mathrm{~km}(\mathrm{~A}$ to B$)+120 \mathrm{~km}(\mathrm{~B}$ to A$)=240 \mathrm{~km}$
Substituting the values:

## Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

```
average speed =240 km }\div3\mathrm{ hours =80 km/h
Therefore, the average speed for the whole trip (A to B to A) is 80 km/h
Correct
```


## ChatGPT-4 - Response A07.1

To calculate the average speed for the entire round-trip, we need to consider the total distance traveled and the total time taken for the trip

1. Distance traveled from City A to City B: 120 km
2. Distance traveled from City B to City A: 120 km Total distance traveled: $120 \mathrm{~km}+120 \mathrm{~km}=240 \mathrm{~km}$

Now, let's calculate the time taken for each leg of the trip:

1. Time taken from City A to City B: Distance / Speed $=120 \mathrm{~km} / 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=2$ hours
2. Time taken from City B to City A: Distance $/$ Speed $=120 \mathrm{~km} / 120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=1$ hour Total time taken: 2 hours +1 hour $=3$ hours

Now, we can calculate the average speed for the entire trip:
Average speed $=$ Total distance $/$ Total time $=240 \mathrm{~km} / 3$ hours $=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
So, the average speed for the whole trip (A to B to A) is $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A07.2

To calculate the average speed for the entire round trip, we need to consider the total distance traveled and the total time taken.
The distance from City A to City B is 120 km , so the round trip distance (A to B to A) is $2 * 120 \mathrm{~km}=240 \mathrm{~km}$.
Now, let's calculate the time taken for each leg of the trip:

1. From City A to City B: Time = Distance $/$ Speed $=120 \mathrm{~km} / 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=2$ hours
2. From City B to City A: Time = Distance $/$ Speed $=120 \mathrm{~km} / 120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=1$ hour

The total time for the round trip is 2 hours +1 hour $=3$ hours.
Now, we can find the average speed for the entire round trip
Average Speed $=$ Total Distance $/$ Total Time $=240 \mathrm{~km} / 3$ hours $=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
So, the average speed for the whole trip (A to B to $A$ ) is $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A07.3

To calculate the average speed for the whole trip, we can use the harmonic mean of the two average speeds. The harmonic mean of two numbers x and y is given by:
Harmonic Mean $=2 x y /(x+y)$
In this case, the average speeds for the two trips are $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Plugging these values into the formula, we get:
Harmonic Mean $=2 *(60 * 120) /(60+120)$
Harmonic Mean $=(14400) /(180)$
Harmonic Mean $=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
The average speed for the entire round trip (A to B to A) is $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A07. 1

The average speed is not just the average of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. You have to take into account the distance traveled at each speed.
The total distance traveled is $240 \mathrm{~km}(120 \mathrm{~km}$ from A to B and 120 km from B to A). The time taken to travel from A to B is $120 \mathrm{~km} / 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=2 \mathrm{hours}$. The time taken to travel from B to A is $120 \mathrm{~km} / 120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=1$ hour. The total time taken for the trip is 3 hours

Therefore, the average speed for the whole trip is $240 \mathrm{~km} / 3$ hours $=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A07.2

The average speed for the whole trip is not just the average of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. This is because you spent more time traveling at $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ than you did at 120 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$.

To find the average speed, we need to find the total distance traveled and the total time taken. The total distance traveled is $120 \mathrm{~km}+120 \mathrm{~km}=240 \mathrm{~km}$. The tota time taken is $120 \mathrm{~km} / 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}+120 \mathrm{~km} / 120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=2$ hours +1 hour $=3$ hours.

The average speed is therefore $240 \mathrm{~km} / 3$ hours $=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A07.3

The average speed for the whole trip is not just the average of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. This is because you traveled for a longer time at the slower speed. To calculate the average speed, we need to take into account the distance traveled and the time taken

The distance traveled from A to B is 120 km , and the time taken is $120 \mathrm{~km} / 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=2$ hours. The distance traveled from B to A is also 120 km , and the time taken is $120 \mathrm{~km} / 120 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=1$ hour

The total distance traveled is $120 \mathrm{~km}+120 \mathrm{~km}=240 \mathrm{~km}$. The total time taken is 2 hours +1 hour $=3$ hours.
The average speed for the whole trip is $240 \mathrm{~km} / 3$ hours $=80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

## Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

If Tom has 35 marbles and I have 12 marbles, and then Tom gives me 9 marbles, how many more marbles does Tom have than I?

Correct answer: $\underline{\mathbf{5}}$. Tom has $35-9=26$ and $I$ have $12+9=21.26-21=5$.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A08.1

Initially, Tom has 35 marbles, and you have 12 marbles. When Tom gives you 9 marbles, you will have a total of $12+9=21$ marbles.
To calculate the difference in the number of marbles Tom has compared to you, we subtract your total (21) from Tom's total (35):
$35-21=14$
Tom has 14 more marbles than you.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A08.2

Initially, Tom has 35 marbles, and you have 12 marbles. After Tom gives you 9 marbles, you would have $12+9=21$ marbles.
To calculate how many more marbles Tom has than you, we subtract your number of marbles from Tom's number of marbles:
Tom's marbles - Your marbles $=35-21=14$
Therefore, Tom has 14 more marbles than you.

## NOT Correct

ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A08.3
Before Tom gives you 9 marbles, he has 35 marbles. After giving you 9 marbles, Tom will have 35-9=26 marbles.
You initially had 12 marbles, and Tom gave you 9 marbles, so you now have $12+9=21$ marbles
To calculate how many more marbles Tom has than you, we subtract the number of marbles you have from the number of marbles Tom has: $26-21=5$ Therefore, Tom has 5 more marbles than you.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A08.1

Initially, Tom has 35 marbles and you have 12 marbles.
After Tom gives you 9 marbles, he will have 35-9 = 26 marbles left.
You, on the other hand, will have $12+9=21$ marbles.
So, Tom has 26-21=5 more marbles than you.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A08.2

After Tom gives you 9 marbles, he will have $35-9=26$ marbles. You will then have $12+9=21$ marbles. Tom will have $26-21=5$ marbles more than you

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A08.3

Let's start with how many marbles each person has initially. Tom has 35 marbles and you have 12 marbles.
Then Tom gives you 9 marbles. This means Tom now has $35-9=26$ marbles and you have $12+9=21$ marbles.
So, Tom has 26-21 $=5$ more marbles than you.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A08.1

After Tom gives me 9 marbles, I will have $12+9=21$ marbles.
Tom will have $35-9=26$ marbles.
Therefore, Tom will have 26-21 $=5$ more marbles than I.
Correct

## Bard - Response A08.2

Tom has 35 marbles and I have 12 marbles. Tom gives me 9 marbles. I now have $12+9=21$ marbles. Tom now has $35-9=26$ marbles. Tom has $26-21=5$ more marbles than I.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A08.3

## After Tom gives you 9 marbles, you will have $12+9=21$ marbles.

Tom has 35 marbles and gives away 9 , so Tom will have 35-9=26 marbles.
Therefore, Tom has 26-21=5 more marbles than you.

## Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

Tom's father has three children. The younger child's name is Erica. The middle child's name is Sam. What is the name of the older child?

Correct answer: Tom.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A09.1

The name of the older child is most likely "Tom," as the question states that "Tom's father has three children" and two of the children are already named Erica and Sam.

## Correct

ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A09.2
The name of the older child is most likely "Tom," as the first sentence states "Tom's father has three children," implying that Tom is one of the children. Therefore, the answer is "Tom."

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A09.3

The older child's name is Tom, as the question states that "Tom's father has three children" and then proceeds to give the names of the younger and middle child.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A09.1

The question provides information about the younger and middle children but does not give any information about the older child's name. However, since the question starts with "Tom's father," it is reasonable to assume that Tom is the older child.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A09. 2

The name of the older child is Tom, as stated in the question "Tom's father has three children."

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A09.3

The older child's name is Tom. The question states that Tom's father has three children, and we are given the names of the younger and middle children, Erica and Sam. Since Tom is also a child of the same father, he must be the older child.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A09.1

The older child is Tom.

- Erica is the youngest child.
- Sam is the middle child.
- Tom is the oldest child.

Correct
Bard - Response A09.2
The older child is Tom.
The question states that Tom's father has three children. The younger child's name is Erica. The middle child's name is Sam. This means that the older child must be Tom.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A09.3

The older child is Tom.
Since Tom's father has three children, and the younger child is Erica and the middle child is Sam, then Tom must be the older child. Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

\#A10

A woodworker normally makes a certain number of parts in 11 days. He was able to increase his productivity by 3 parts per day, and so he not only finished the job 2 days earlier, but in addition he made 9 extra parts. How many parts does the woodworker normally make per day?

Correct answer: $\underline{\mathbf{9}}$. He normally makes 9 per day. The job is $11 * 9=99$ parts. With increased productivity he makes $9+3=12$ per day. He finished the job 2 days earlier, i.e. in 11-2=9 days. In these 9 days he makes $12 * 9=108$ parts which is indeed 9 more than the original 99 parts.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A10.1

Let's call the number of parts the woodworker normally makes per day "x".
According to the problem, the woodworker was able to increase his productivity by 3 parts per day, so he made ( $x+3$ ) parts per day.
We also know that he finished the job 2 days earlier than usual, and made 9 extra parts.
So we can set up two equations:
$(x+3)$ parts/day $\times 9$ days $=(x$ parts/day $) \times 11$ days +9 extra parts
Simplifying:
$9 x+27=11 x+9$
Subtracting $9 x$ from both sides:
$27=2 x+9$
Subtracting 9 from both sides:
$18=2 \mathrm{x}$
Dividing both sides by 2 :
$\mathrm{x}=9$
Therefore, the woodworker normally makes 9 parts per day.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A10.2

Let's call the number of parts the woodworker normally makes per day "x".
According to the problem, the woodworker was able to increase his productivity by 3 parts per day, so he made $(x+3)$ parts per day.
We also know that he finished the job in 11-2 = 9 days instead of 11 days, and made 9 extra parts.
So we can set up two equations:
$(\mathrm{x}+3)$ parts/day x 9 days $=(\mathrm{x}$ parts/day $) \times 11$ days +9 extra parts
Simplifying:
$9 x+27=11 x+9$
Subtracting 9 x from both sides:
$27=2 x+9$
Subtracting 9 from both sides:
$18=2 \mathrm{x}$
Dividing both sides by 2 :
$\mathrm{x}=9$
Therefore, the woodworker normally makes 9 parts per day.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A10.3

Let's call the number of parts the woodworker normally makes per day "x".
According to the problem, the woodworker was able to increase his productivity by 3 parts per day, so he made $(x+3)$ parts per day.
We also know that he finished the job in 11-2 = 9 days instead of 11 days, and made 9 extra parts.
So we can set up two equations:
$(x+3)$ parts/day x 9 days $=(x$ parts/day $) \times 11$ days +9 extra parts
Simplifying:
$9 x+27=11 x+9$
Subtracting 9 x from both sides:
$27=2 x+9$
Subtracting 9 from both sides:
$18=2 \mathrm{x}$
Dividing both sides by 2 :
$\mathrm{x}=9$
Therefore, the woodworker normally makes 9 parts per day.
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A10.1

Let x be the number of parts the woodworker normally makes per day. Then, in 11 days, he would make 11 x parts.
When he increases his productivity by 3 parts per day, he makes $(x+3)$ parts per day. Since he finished the job 2 days earlier, he took $11-2=9$ days. In 9 days, he made $9(x+3)$ parts. He also made 9 extra parts, so the total number of parts he made is:
$9(x+3)=11 x+9$
Expanding and simplifying the equation:
$9 x+27=11 x+9$
Subtracting $9 x$ from both sides:
$27=2 \mathrm{x}+9$
Subtracting 9 from both sides
$18=2 \mathrm{x}$
Dividing both sides by 2
$\mathrm{x}=9$
So, the woodworker normally makes 9 parts per day
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A10.2

Let x be the number of parts the woodworker normally makes per day. Then, in 11 days, he would make 11x parts.
When he increases his productivity by 3 parts per day, he makes $(x+3)$ parts per day. He finished the job 2 days earlier, so he worked for 9 days, making $9(x+3)$ parts. He also made 9 extra parts, so the total number of parts he made is:
$9(x+3)=11 x+9$
Expanding and simplifying the equation:
$9 x+27=11 x+9$
Subtracting $9 x$ from both sides:
$27=2 \mathrm{x}+9$
Subtracting 9 from both sides
$18=2 \mathrm{x}$
Dividing both sides by 2 :
$\mathrm{x}=9$
So, the woodworker normally makes 9 parts per day

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A10.3

Let x be the number of parts the woodworker normally makes per day. Then, in 11 days, he would make 11 x parts.
When he increases his productivity by 3 parts per day, he makes $(x+3)$ parts per day. He finished the job 2 days earlier, so he worked for $11-2=9$ days. In 9 days, he made $9(x+3)$ parts. He also made 9 extra parts, so the total number of parts he made is:
$9(x+3)=11 x+9$
Expanding and simplifying the equation:
$9 x+27=11 x+9$
Subtracting $9 x$ from both sides:
$27=2 \mathrm{x}+9$
Subtracting 9 from both sides:
$18=2 x$
Dividing both sides by 2
$\mathrm{x}=9$
So, the woodworker normally makes 9 parts per day

## Correct

## Bard - Response A10.1

Let $x$ be the number of parts the woodworker normally makes per day. We know that he was able to increase his productivity by 3 parts per day, and so he not only finished the job in only 9 days but also he made 9 extra parts. This means that he made $\mathrm{x}+9$ parts in 9 days.
We can set up an equation to solve for x :
$\mathrm{x} / 11=(\mathrm{x}+9) / 9$
$\mathrm{x}=11^{*}(\mathrm{x}+9) / 9=11 \mathrm{x}+99$
$0=10 x+99$
$\mathrm{x}=-99 / 10=-99 / 10$
This is obviously not a valid answer, since the number of parts the woodworker makes cannot be negative. The problem must be that the woodworker did not actually finish the job 2 days earlier. Instead, he must have finished the job 1 day earlier.

With this correction, we can set up a new equation to solve for x :
$\mathrm{x} / 11=(\mathrm{x}+9) / 10$
$x=11^{*}(x+9) / 10=11 / 10 * x+99 / 10$
$\mathrm{x} / 10=99 / 10$

## Bard - Response A10.2

Let $x$ be the number of parts the woodworker normally makes per day. We know that he was able to increase his productivity by 3 parts per day, and so he not only finished the job 2 days earlier, but in addition he made 9 extra parts. This means that he made $x+9$ parts in 11-2=9 days.
We can set up an equation to solve for x :
$\mathrm{x} / 11=(\mathrm{x}+9) / 9$
$9 x=11 x+99$
$2 \mathrm{x}=99$
$\mathrm{x}=49.5$
Therefore, the woodworker normally makes 49.5 parts per day.

## NOT Correct

Bard - Response A10.3
Let $x$ be the number of parts the woodworker normally makes per day. We know that he was able to increase his productivity by 3 parts per day, and so he not only finished the job 2 days earlier, but in addition he made 9 extra parts. This means that he made $x+9$ parts in 9 days.
We can set up an equation to solve for $x$ :
$\mathrm{x} / 11=(\mathrm{x}+9) / 9$
$9 x=11 x+99$
$2 x=99$
$x=49.5$
Therefore, the woodworker normally makes 49.5 parts per day
NOT Correct
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\#A11
Think of a number. Add 5, double the result, then subtract 12, then take half of the result and finally subtract the initial number. What is the result?

Correct answer: $\underline{\mathbf{1}}$. We always get -1 as a result, no matter what the original number was.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A11.1

Let's go through the steps one by one:

```
Start with the initial number
3. Double the result obtained from step 2
4. Subtract }12\mathrm{ from the result obtained from step 3.
5. Take half of the result obtained from step 4.
6. Finally, subtract the initial number.
Let's represent the initial number as 'x' and calculate the result step by step
1. Initial number: }\textrm{x
    Add 5: x + 5
    Double the result: 2(x+5)=2x+10
    Subtract 12: 2x+10-12=2x-2
    Take half: (1/2)(2x-2)=x-1
    Subtract the initial number: x-(x-1)=x-x+1=1
Therefore, the final result is 1
```

NOT Correct
ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A11.2
Let's work through the steps:

1. Think of a number: Let's call it "x."
2. Add 5: The result is $x+5$.
3. Double the result: $2 *(x+5)=2 x+10$.
4. $\quad$ Subtract $12: 2 \mathrm{x}+10-12=2 \mathrm{x}-2$.
5. Take half of the result: $(1 / 2) *(2 x-2)=x-1$.
6. Finally, subtract the initial number: $(x-1)-x=-1$.
Therefore, the result is -1 .
Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A11.3

Let's go step by step through the given instructions:

1. Think of a number. Let's assume the number you're thinking of is represented by "x."
2. Add 5. The result would be $\mathrm{x}+5$
3. Double the result. Doubling $x+5$ gives us $2 *(x+5)=2 x+10$
4. Subtract 12 . Subtracting 12 from $2 x+10$ gives us $2 x+10-12=2 x-2$.
5. Take half of the result. Taking half of $2 x-2$ gives us $(1 / 2)(2 x-2)=x-1$.
6. Subtract the initial number. Subtracting the initial number " x " from $\mathrm{x}-1$ gives us $(\mathrm{x}-1)-\mathrm{x}=-1$.

Therefore, the result of these operations is -1 .

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A11.1

Let's denote the initial number as x .
Think of a number: $x$
Add 5: $\mathrm{x}+5$
Double the result: $2 *(x+5)=2 x+10$
Subtract 12: $(2 \mathrm{x}+10)-12=2 \mathrm{x}-2$
Take half of the result: $(2 x-2) / 2=x-1$
Subtract the initial number: $(x-1)-x=-1$
So, no matter what number you start with, the final result will always be -1 .

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A11.2

Let's denote the initial number as x .

1. Think of a number: x

| 2. | Add $5: x+5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3. | Double the result: $2 *(x+5)=2 x+10$ |
| 4. | Subtract $12:(2 x+10)-12=2 x-2$ |
| 5. | Take half of the result: $(2 x-2) / 2=x-1$ |
| 6. | Subtract the initial number: $(x-1)-x=-1$ |
| So, no matter what number you start with, the final result will always be -1. |  |
| Correct |  |

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A11.3

Let's denote the initial number as x .

1. Think of a number: x
2. Add 5: $\mathrm{x}+5$
3. Double the result: $2 *(x+5)=2 x+10$
4. $\quad$ Subtract $12:(2 x+10)-12=2 x-2$
5. Take half of the result: $(2 x-2) / 2=x-1$
6. Subtract the initial number: $(x-1)-x=-1$

So, no matter what number you start with, the final result will always be -1 .
Correct

## Bard - Response A11.1

Let $x$ be the number you thought of. Then,
Code snippet
$(\mathrm{x}+5) * 2-12=\mathrm{x}+10-12=\mathrm{x}-2$
Therefore, the result is always 2 less than the number you thought of.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A11.2

Let x be the number you think of.

- $x+5=y$
- $2 y-12=z$
- $\quad \mathrm{z} / 2=\mathrm{w}$
- $\quad w-x=1$

Therefore, the result is always 1 , no matter what number you think of
This is a classic math trick called a "magic square." The trick works because the steps are designed to cancel out the original number, leaving you with 1.
NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A11.3

Let $x$ be the number you think of.

- $\quad x+5=y$
- $2 y-12=z$
- $\quad z / 2=w$
- $w-x=1$

Therefore, the final result is always 1 , no matter what number you think of. This is because the steps in the problem are designed to cancel out the original number. NOT Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A12.1

If one and a half hens lay one and a half eggs in one and a half days, we can determine the rate at which hens lay eggs.
From the given information, we can deduce that:

- One hen lays one egg in one and a half days.
- Therefore, the rate of egg-laying for one hen is $1 \mathrm{egg} / 1.5$ days $=2 / 3$ eggs per day.

Now, to find out how many eggs 9 hens would lay in 9 days, we can calculate the total rate of egg-laying for the 9 hens and multiply it by the number of days: Total rate of egg-laying for 9 hens $=($ Rate per hen $) *($ Number of hens $)=(2 / 3$ eggs per day $) *(9$ hens $)=6$ eggs per day .
Number of eggs laid by 9 hens in 9 days $=$ (Total rate of egg-laying for 9 hens $) *($ Number of days $)=(6$ eggs per day $) *(9$ days $)=54$ eggs.
Therefore, 9 hens would lay 54 eggs in 9 days.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A12.2

If we have 1.5 hens that lay 1.5 eggs in 1.5 days, we can assume that each hen lays 1 egg in 1.5 days. This means that each hen lays:
$1 \mathrm{egg} / 1.5$ days $=2 / 3$ of an egg per day.
Now, let's consider 9 hens. Since each hen lays $2 / 3$ of an egg per day, 9 hens would lay:
$(2 / 3) * 9=6$ eggs per day.
Therefore, 9 hens would lay 6 eggs in 1 day.
If we want to know how many eggs they lay in 9 days, we simply multiply the number of eggs laid in 1 day by 9 :
$6 \mathrm{eggs} / \mathrm{day} * 9$ days $=54$ eggs.
Therefore, 9 hens would lay 54 eggs in 9 days.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A12.3

If we know that one and a half hens lay one and a half eggs in one and a half days, we can use this information to determine the number of eggs laid by 9 hens in 9 days.
Let's break down the given information:
1.5 hens lay 1.5 eggs in 1.5 days.

To find out the number of eggs laid by 9 hens in 9 days, we need to determine the ratio between the number of hens, the number of eggs, and the number of days. If 1.5 hens lay 1.5 eggs in 1.5 days, it means that each hen lays 1 egg in 1.5 days.
To calculate how many eggs 9 hens would lay in 9 days, we can scale up the ratio by multiplying both the number of hens and the number of days by 9/1.5:
9 hens * $(9 / 1.5)=54$ hens.
9 days $*(9 / 1.5)=54$ days.
So, 54 hens would lay the same number of eggs in 54 days.
Since each hen lays 1 egg in 1.5 days, 54 hens would lay:
54 hens * $1 \mathrm{egg} / \mathrm{hen}=54$ eggs.
Therefore, 9 hens would lay 54 eggs in 9 days.
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A12.1

From the problem, we know that each hen lays 1 egg in 1.5 days.
This means that each hen lays $1 /(1.5)=2 / 3$ of an egg per day.
In 9 days, each hen would therefore lay $(2 / 3) * 9=6$ eggs.
If we have 9 hens, all laying at this same rate, they would lay $9 * 6=54$ eggs in 9 days.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A12.2

Let's first determine the number of eggs one hen can lay in one day.
We know that one and a half hens ( 1.5 hens) lay one and a half eggs ( 1.5 eggs ) in one and a half days ( 1.5 days). So, we can set up a proportion to find out how many eggs one hen lays in one day:
$(1.5$ hens $) /(1$ hen $)=(1.5$ eggs $) /(x$ eggs in 1 day $)$
Cross-multiplying gives:
$1.5 * x=1 * 1.5$
$\mathrm{x}=1.5$
So, one hen lays 1.5 eggs in one day.
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```
Now we need to find out how many eggs 9 hens can lay in 9 days. Since one hen lays 1.5 eggs in one day, then 9 hens would lay
1.5 eggs/hen/day * 9 hens = 13.5 eggs/day
Now, we can find out how many eggs 9 hens lay in 9 days:
13.5 eggs/day * 9 days = 121.5 eggs
Since hens can't lay half an egg, we'll round down to the nearest whole number
9 hens can lay 121 eggs in 9 days.
```


## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A12.3

If one and a half hens lay one and a half eggs in one and a half days, it means that one hen lays one egg in one and a half days.
So, in 9 days, one hen would lay $9 / 1.5=6$ eggs (because 9 days is six times longer than 1.5 days).
Therefore, 9 hens would lay $9 * 6=54$ eggs in 9 days.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A12.1

If 1.5 hens lay 1.5 eggs in 1.5 days, then 1 hen lays 1 egg in 1.5 days. Therefore, 1 hen lays $2 / 3$ of an egg in 1 day. 9 hens lay $92 / 3=6$ eggs in 1 day. In 9 days, 9 hens lay $96=54$ eggs.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A12.2

If one and a half hens lay one and a half eggs in one and a half days, then one hen lays one egg in one and a half days. This means that one hen lays $2 / 3$ of an egg in one day. Therefore, nine hens lay $9 * 2 / 3=6$ eggs in one day. In nine days, nine hens lay $6 * 9=54$ eggs.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A12.3

If one and a half hens lay one and a half eggs in one and a half days, then one hen lays one egg in one and a half days. This means that one hen lays two-thirds of an egg in one day. Therefore, nine hens lay nine times two-thirds of an egg in one day, which is six eggs. In nine days, nine hens lay nine times six eggs, which is fifty-four eggs.

## Correct

Find a 4-digit number so that the last four digits of the number squared is the number itself.
Correct answer: $\underline{\text { 9376. }} 9376^{2}=87909376$.
\#A13

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A13.1

To find a 4-digit number where the square of the number ends with the same 4 digits as the number itself, we can use a systematic approach.
Let's consider the last four digits of the number to be represented as "abcd." We can express the number as " $\mathrm{n}=10,000 \mathrm{a}+1,000 \mathrm{~b}+100 \mathrm{c}+10 \mathrm{~d}$."
When we square this number, we get:
$\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 2=(10,000 \mathrm{a}+1,000 \mathrm{~b}+100 \mathrm{c}+10 \mathrm{~d})^{\wedge} 2$.
Expanding this expression:
$n^{\wedge} 2=100,000,000 a^{\wedge} 2+10,000,000 b^{\wedge} 2+1,000,000 c^{\wedge} 2+100,000 d^{\wedge} 2+20,000 a b+2,000 a c+200 a d+2,000 b c+200 b d+20 c d$.
Now, we want the last four digits of $\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 2$ to be equal to $n$ itself, so:
$1000 a+100 b+10 c+d=100,000,000 a^{\wedge} 2+10,000,000 b^{\wedge} 2+1,000,000 c^{\wedge} 2+100,000 d^{\wedge} 2+20,000 a b+2,000 a c+200 a d+2,000 b c+200 b d+20 c d$.
Simplifying this equation, we obtain:
$100,000,000 a^{\wedge} 2+\left(10,000,000 b^{\wedge} 2+20,000 a b\right)+\left(1,000,000 c^{\wedge} 2+2,000 a c+2,000 b c\right)+\left(100,000 d^{\wedge} 2+200 a d+200 b d+20 c d\right)-(1000 a+100 b+10 c+d)=0$.
From this equation, we can deduce that the values of $a, b, c$, and $d$ must be such that the equation holds true. We can solve this equation using a computer program or a solver tool to find the appropriate values of $a, b, c$, and $d$.
After solving, we find one possible solution: 2025.
Checking the conditions:
$2025^{\wedge} 2=4,101,025$.
The last four digits, 1025, match the number itself, which is 2025 .
Therefore, the 4-digit number that satisfies the condition is 2025 .

## NOT Correct

ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A13.2
To find a 4-digit number where the square of its last four digits equals the number itself, we can try systematically checking each possible number within the range of 1000 to 9999 .
Starting from 1000 and going up to 9999 , we can iterate through each number and check if its square ends with the same four digits.
Here's a Python code snippet that can find such a number:
python
for number in range(1000, 10000):
square $=$ number ${ }^{* *} 2$
last_four_digits $=\operatorname{str}($ square $)[-4:]$
if int(last_four_digits) == number:
print("The number is:", number)
break
When running this code, we would find that the number satisfying the given condition is:
The number is: 3025
The square of 3025 is 9150625 , which ends with the number itself (3025).
Therefore, the 4-digit number that meets the given criteria is 3025 .
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A13.3

To find a 4-digit number such that the last four digits of the number squared equal the number itself, we can solve this problem through trial and error. We can systematically go through the possible values until we find a suitable number.
Let's start with the lowest 4-digit number, 1000, and work our way up:
1000 squared is $1,000,000$, which is not a 4 -digit number.
Moving on to the next number, 1001:
1001 squared is $1,002,001$, which is not a 4 -digit number.
Continuing to the next number, 1002:
1002 squared is $1,004,004$, which is not a 4 -digit number.
We keep going until we find a solution. Let's jump ahead a bit to save time:
1020 squared is $1,040,400$, which is not a 4 -digit number.
1030 squared is $1,060,900$, which is not a 4 -digit number.
1040 squared is $1,081,600$, which is not a 4 -digit number.
1050 squared is $1,102,500$, which is not a 4 -digit number.
1060 squared is $1,123,600$, which is not a 4 -digit number.
1070 squared is $1,144,900$, which is not a 4 -digit number.
1080 squared is $1,166,400$, which is not a 4 -digit number.
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1090 squared is $1,188,100$, which is not a 4-digit number.
Finally, we arrive at 1100 :
1100 squared is $1,210,000$, which is a 4 -digit number.
Therefore, the 4-digit number that satisfies the condition is 1100
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A13.1

The number you're looking for is 0625 .
If you square 0625 (which is 625 ), you get 390625 . The last four digits of the result, 0625 , are indeed the original number.
Note that 0625 is technically a 4 -digit number, although it may appear as a 3 -digit number ( 625 ) in some representations due to the leading zero.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A13.2

Such a number is called a "Kaprekar number." A 4-digit Kaprekar number is 9376 .
Let's square it and see if the last four digits of the square are the number itself:
9376 * $9376=87909376$
As you can see, the last four digits of the result ( 87909376 ) are indeed 9376 , which is the number we started with.
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A13.3

The only 4-digit number that satisfies the condition that the last four digits of the number squared is the number itself is 9376 .
Proof:
9376 * $9376=87909376$
The last four digits of 87909376 are indeed 9376 , which is the original number.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A13.1

There is no 4-digit number so that the last four digits of the number squared is the number itself.
The square of a 4-digit number has 6 digits. For example, the square of 1234 is 151296 . Therefore, it is impossible for the last four digits of a 4 -digit number squared to be the number itself.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A13.2

There is no such 4 -digit number. The square of any 4 -digit number will have 6 digits.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response A13.3

There is no 4-digit number so that the last four digits of the number squared is the number itself. This is because the last four digits of a 4 -digit number can be any number from 0000 to 9999 . When these numbers are squared, the last four digits of the squares are all different. For example, the square of 0000 is 0000 , the square of 0001 is 0001 , and so on. The only exception is the number 0 , whose square is also 0 . However, 0 is not a 4 -digit number.
I have tried all possible 4-digit numbers and none of them satisfy the given condition. Therefore, there is no 4-digit number so that the last four digits of the number squared is the number itself.

## NOT Correct
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\#A14

The number of water lilies on a lake doubles every two days. If there is initially one water lily on the lake, it takes exactly 50 days for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies. In how many days will the lake be fully covered with water lilies, if initially there were two water lilies (identical with the previous one) on it?
Correct answer: 48. We can understand this problem better if we say, for example, that it takes 5 days to cover the lake. With one water lily it will be $1^{\text {st }}$ day: $1 \rightarrow 3^{\text {rd }}$ day: $2 \rightarrow 5^{\text {th }}$ day: 4 . With 2 water lilies initially, it will be $1^{\text {st }}$ day: $2 \rightarrow 3^{\text {rd }}$ day: 4 . So it is 2 days less than before. Similarly for 50 days, it will be $50-2=48$ days.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A14.1

If the number of water lilies on the lake doubles every two days and it takes 50 days for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies starting from one water lily, we can use this information to determine how many days it would take for the lake to be fully covered if initially there were two water lilies.
Starting from one water lily, we can see that the number of water lilies on each day follows the pattern: $1,2,4,8,16,32, \ldots$
Notice that the number of water lilies doubles every two days. Therefore, after the first two days, there are two water lilies on the lake.
Now, we need to find out how many additional doublings are required to fully cover the lake. Since each doubling takes two days, the number of additional doublings needed is the same as the number of two-day periods required to cover the remaining half of the lake.
Starting from the two water lilies, we need to reach a total of $2^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}$ water lilies to fully cover the lake. Let's find the smallest value of x that satisfies this condition: $2^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}=2^{\wedge} 50$
By comparing the exponents, we can see that $\mathrm{x}=50$.
Therefore, it would take 50 additional two-day periods ( 100 days in total) for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies if initially there were two water lilies on it.

## NOT Correct

ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A14.2
If the number of water lilies on the lake doubles every two days, we can determine how many days it takes for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies by finding the number of doubling cycles needed.
We know that initially there is one water lily, and it takes 50 days for the lake to be fully covered. This means there must be 50 doubling cycles to go from 1 to the fully covered state.
To find out how many days it would take if initially there were two water lilies, we need to determine how many doubling cycles it would take to reach the fully covered state starting from 2 water lilies.
Since the number of doubling cycles is the same as the number of times we divide by 2 , we can set up the following equation:
$2 / 2^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}=1$,
where x represents the number of doubling cycles needed.
Simplifying the equation, we have:
$1 / 2^{\wedge} \mathrm{x}=1 / 2^{\wedge} 50$.
By equating the exponents, we get:
$\mathrm{x}=50$.
Therefore, starting with two water lilies, it would also take 50 doubling cycles or 50 days for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A14.3

If the number of water lilies on the lake doubles every two days and it takes exactly 50 days for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies starting from one water lily, we can use this information to determine the time it takes to cover the lake if initially there were two water lilies.
Let's analyze the pattern of water lily growth:
Day 1: 1 water lily
Day 2: 2 water lilies
Day 3: 4 water lilies
Day 4: 8 water lilies

Day $n: 2^{\wedge}(n-1)$ water lilies
To find the number of days it takes to cover the lake starting from two water lilies, we need to solve the equation $2^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)=50$.
Taking the logarithm of both sides of the equation:
$\log _{2}\left(2^{\wedge}(n-1)\right)=\log _{2}(50)$
$(\mathrm{n}-1)=\log _{2}(50)$
$\mathrm{n}=\log _{2}(50)+1$
Using a calculator, we can find that $\log _{2}(50)$ is approximately 5.6439 .
Therefore, $\mathrm{n} \approx 5.6439+1 \approx 6.6439$.
Since we're dealing with days, we round up to the nearest whole number:
$\mathrm{n}=7$.
Hence, it will take approximately 7 days for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies if initially there were two water lilies.

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A14.1

If the number of water lilies doubles every two days, starting with two water lilies instead of one means that the lake will be covered one "doubling period" sooner. Since each doubling period is two days, the lake will be fully covered with water lilies 2 days earlier than if you started with one lily.
So if it takes 50 days for the lake to be fully covered starting with one lily, it will take 50-2 = 48 days to be fully covered starting with two lilies.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A14.2

The number of water lilies on the lake doubles every two days. If there were initially two water lilies on the lake, this is effectively one doubling period ahead of when there was just one water lily. So, the lake will be fully covered in 50-2 = 48 days if initially there were two water lilies on it.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A14.3

Since the number of water lilies doubles every two days, if you started with two water lilies instead of one, it would take one doubling period less for the lake to be fully covered. Therefore, it would take 50-2 = 48 days for the lake to be fully covered if you start with two water lilies.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A14.1

49 days.
If there is initially one water lily on the lake, it takes exactly 50 days for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies. This means that the number of water lilies doubles every two days. If there were initially two water lilies on the lake, the number of water lilies would double every two days, but it would take one extra day for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies. Therefore, the lake would be fully covered with water lilies in 49 days.

## NOT Correct

Bard - Response A14.2
If there are initially two water lilies on the lake, it will take 49 days for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies.
The number of water lilies doubles every two days, so after 2 days there will be 4 water lilies, after 4 days there will be 8 water lilies, and so on. After 48 days, there will be 256 water lilies. On day 49 , the number of water lilies will double to 512 , which is enough to cover the entire lake.
If there were initially one water lily on the lake, it would take 50 days for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies. This is because the number of water lilies doubles every two days, so after 2 days there will be 2 water lilies, after 4 days there will be 4 water lilies, and so on. After 48 days, there will be 128 water lilies. On day 49 , the number of water lilies will double to 256 , which is enough to cover the entire lake.
Therefore, if there are initially two water lilies on the lake, it will take 49 days for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies.

## NOT Correct

Bard - Response A14.3
If there is initially one water lily on the lake, it takes exactly 50 days for the lake to be fully covered with water lilies. This means that the number of water lilies doubles every two days.
If there were initially two water lilies on the lake, the number of water lilies would double every two days. This means that the lake would be fully covered with water lilies in 49 days.
Therefore, the lake would be fully covered with water lilies in 49 days if there were initially two water lilies on it.

## NOT Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

\#A15

> There are 25 handball teams playing in a knockout competition (i.e. if you lose a match, you are eliminated and do not continue further). What is the minimum number of matches (in total) they need to play to decide the winner?

> Correct answer: 24. In a knockout-style competition, every team except the winner is defeated once (and once only), so the total number of matches is the number of teams minus one. In our case, it is: $25-1=24$.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A15.1

In a knockout competition, each team needs to be eliminated through a series of matches until there is only one winner left.
To determine the minimum number of matches required to decide the winner among 25 teams, we can use the concept of a binary tree. At the beginning of the competition, there are 25 teams. In the first round, each team plays a match, resulting in 12 teams advancing to the next round. In the second round, 12 teams play matches, and only 6 teams advance.
In the third round, 6 teams play matches, and 3 teams advance.
In the fourth round, 3 teams play matches, resulting in 1 team advancing to the final round.
Hence, the minimum number of matches required to decide the winner in a knockout competition with 25 teams is:
$(25$ teams -1$)+(12$ teams -1$)+(6$ teams -1$)+(3$ teams -1$)+(1$ team -1$)=24+11+5+2+0=42$ matches.
Therefore, a minimum of 42 matches is needed to decide the winner among 25 handball teams in a knockout competition.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A15.2

In a knockout competition, only one team can be declared the winner at the end. To determine the minimum number of matches required to decide the winner among 25 handball teams, we need to establish a bracket system.
In the first round, there are 25 teams, so 12 matches can be played to eliminate 12 teams. One team will have a bye and advance to the next round without playing a match.

In the second round, with 13 teams remaining (including the one that had a bye), we can arrange 6 matches, eliminating 6 more teams.
In the third round, with 7 teams remaining, 3 matches can be played, eliminating 3 more teams.
In the fourth round, with 4 teams remaining, 2 matches can be played, eliminating 2 more teams.
Finally, in the fifth round, the remaining 2 teams will compete in one match to decide the winner.
Summing up the matches played in each round:
$12+6+3+2+1=24$
Therefore, the minimum number of matches required to decide the winner among 25 handball teams is 24 matches.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response A15.3

In a knockout competition, the number of matches required to decide the winner can be determined by finding the number of matches in each round and summing them up.
In the first round, there are 25 teams competing. To determine the number of matches in the first round, we divide the number of teams by 2 since each match involves two teams:
25 teams $/ 2=12.5$ matches
Since we cannot have half a match, we need to round up to the nearest whole number. Therefore, in the first round, there will be 13 matches
After each round, half of the teams will be eliminated until there is only one team remaining. The number of teams in each subsequent round is halved.
In the second round, there will be 25 teams $/ 2=12.5$ teams. Rounded up, this gives us 13 teams.
In the third round, there will be 13 teams $/ 2=6.5$ teams. Rounded up, this gives us 7 teams.
In the fourth round, there will be 7 teams $/ 2=3.5$ teams. Rounded up, this gives us 4 teams.
In the fifth round, there will be 4 teams $/ 2=2$ teams.
Finally, in the sixth round, there will be 2 teams $/ 2=1$ team.
Therefore, to decide the winner in a knockout competition with 25 teams, a minimum of $13+6+3+2+1=25$ matches would need to be played.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response A15.1

In a knockout competition, each game eliminates one team. To find the winner (i.e., to eliminate all other teams), you need to play as many games as there are teams, minus one (because one team remains as the winner and is not eliminated).
So, with 25 teams, you would need to play $25-1=24$ matches to decide the winner.

## Correct

ChatGPT-4 - Response A15.2
In a knockout competition, every team except for the winner must lose exactly once. Since there are 25 teams, there must be 24 losers. Each match produces one loser, so the minimum number of matches required to decide the winner is 24 .
Correct
ChatGPT-4 - Response A15.3

```
In a knockout competition, each match eliminates one team. The competition continues until there is only one team left, the winner. Therefore, if there are 25
teams, there need to be 24 matches, because each match removes one team from the competition: 25 teams - 1 winner = 24 matches.
Correct
```


## Bard - Response A15.1

The minimum number of matches needed to decide a winner in a knockout competition with 25 teams is 24 .
In a knockout competition, teams are eliminated after one loss, so there must be one winner and 24 losers. Therefore, there must be 24 matches played to decide the winner
Here is one possible way to schedule the 24 matches:
Round 1: 12 matches
Round 2: 6 matches
Round 3: 3 matches
Round 4: 2 matches
Final: 1 match
In Round 1, the 25 teams are paired up and play 12 matches. The 12 winners advance to Round 2, while the 12 losers are eliminated.
In Round 2, the 12 winners from Round 1 are paired up and play 6 matches. The 6 winners advance to Round 3, while the 6 losers are eliminated.
In Round 3, the 6 winners from Round 2 are paired up and play 3 matches. The 3 winners advance to Round 4, while the 3 losers are eliminated.
In Round 4, the 3 winners from Round 3 are paired up and play 2 matches. The 2 winners advance to the Final, while the loser is eliminated.
In the Final, the 2 winners from Round 4 play one match to decide the winner of the competition.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A15.2

There are 25 handball teams playing in a knockout competition. The minimum number of matches that need to be played to decide the winner is 24 .
This is because in a knockout competition, the winner is the team that wins all of its matches. In order to win all of its matches, a team must play at least one match against each of the other teams. Therefore, the minimum number of matches that need to be played is 24 .
However, there is a way to reduce the number of matches that need to be played. This can be done by using a bye system. In a bye system, some teams are given a bye in the first round. This means that they do not have to play in the first round. The teams that are given a bye are usually the top-seeded teams.
Using a bye system, the number of matches that need to be played can be reduced to 22 . This is because the top-seeded teams do not have to play in the first round. Therefore, there are only 22 matches that need to be played in the first round.
The teams that win in the first round advance to the second round. The teams that lose in the first round are eliminated. The same process is repeated in the second round, and so on.

The final match is played between the two teams that have won all of their matches. The winner of this match is the winner of the competition.

## Correct

## Bard - Response A15.3

The minimum number of matches required to determine the winner of a knockout competition with 25 teams is 24 .
In a knockout competition, each team plays against one other team in each round. The winner of each round advances to the next round, while the loser is eliminated. The competition continues until only one team remains, which is the winner.
In a knockout competition with 25 teams, there will be 24 rounds. In the first round, there will be 12 matches, with the winners advancing to the second round. In the second round, there will be 6 matches, with the winners advancing to the third round. This pattern continues until the final round, where there will be one match to determine the winner.
Therefore, the minimum number of matches required to determine the winner of a knockout competition with 25 teams is 24 .

## Correct

## Set B. "Published" questions



## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B01.1

Yes, he should spin the chambers again before firing a second time.
Initially, there was a 1 in 6 chance that the bullet was in the chamber, and a 5 in 6 chance that the chamber was empty. When the bad guy fired the first shot and no bullet came out, this provided new information that the bullet was not in the chamber that was fired.
Now, there are two possible scenarios:

1. The bullet was in one of the remaining chambers, and the bad guy did not fire that chamber.
2. The bullet was in the chamber that was just fired, and it somehow failed to discharge.

In scenario 1 , if the bad guy were to fire again without spinning the chambers, there would still be a 1 in 6 chance that the bullet was in the chamber he selected. In scenario 2 , the bullet would not be in the chamber that was just fired, so if the bad guy were to fire again without spinning the chambers, there would be a 1 in 5 chance that the bullet was in the remaining chambers.
In either scenario, spinning the chambers again increases the chance that the bad guy will select an empty chamber and avoid firing a live bullet. Therefore, he should spin the chambers again before firing a second time.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B01.2

Yes, he should spin the chambers again.
There are two possible scenarios after the first shot:

1. The bullet is in the chamber, but the firing pin failed to hit it. In this case, if the bad guy fires without spinning the chambers again, the chance of the bullet being in the firing position is $1 / 6$. However, if he spins the chambers again, the chance of the bullet being in the firing position becomes $1 / 6 * 1 / 5=1 / 30$. Therefore, spinning the chambers again reduces the chance of getting shot.
2. The bullet is not in the chamber, and the firing pin hit an empty chamber. In this case, it doesn't matter whether the bad guy spins the chambers again or not, as there is no bullet in the revolver. However, there is no harm in spinning the chambers again, as it doesn't increase the chance of getting shot.
Therefore, spinning the chambers again is the better choice.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B01.3

The probability of firing a bullet from a six-shooter revolver in a single shot, when one bullet is loaded, is $1 / 6$. After the first shot, if no bullet came out, then the probability that the bullet is in the next chamber is $1 / 5$, because there are five chambers left and only one of them contains the bullet.
Whether or not the bad guy should spin the chambers again depends on your risk tolerance. If he spins the chambers again, the probability of firing a bullet on the second shot is still $1 / 6$. This means that the total probability of firing a bullet in either shot is:
$\mathrm{P}($ bullet $)=\mathrm{P}($ bullet on first shot $)+\mathrm{P}($ bullet on second shot $)$
$=1 / 6+(1 / 5) \times(1 / 6)$
$=7 / 180$
$\approx 0.0389$
This means that there is a very low probability (less than $4 \%$ ) of the bad guy firing a bullet if he spins the chambers again. However, if you do not want to take any chances, you could choose not to have him spin the chambers again, as this would eliminate the possibility of firing a bullet altogether. Ultimately, the decision depends on your risk tolerance and the consequences of being hit by a bullet.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B01.1

In Russian roulette, a single bullet is placed in one of the chambers of a revolver, and the cylinder is spun so that the location of the bullet is randomized. When the trigger is pulled, there is a chance the gun will fire the bullet, and a chance it will not. In this case, the revolver has six chambers, and after the first trigger pull, the bullet did not fire.
Your goal is to minimize the chance of the bullet firing on the second trigger pull. If the bad guy does not spin the chambers again, there are five remaining chambers, one of which contains the bullet. This means there is a $1 / 5$ chance $(20 \%)$ that the bullet will fire on the second pull.
If the bad guy spins the chambers again, the location of the bullet is randomized once more, and there will be a $1 / 6$ chance (approximately $16.67 \%$ ) that the bullet will fire on the second pull.
Since a $1 / 6$ chance is lower than a $1 / 5$ chance, you should ask the bad guy to spin the chambers again before firing a second time. This will slightly decrease the likelihood of the gun firing the bullet.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B01.2

In this situation, you are trying to determine the probability of the gun firing a bullet in the second shot, given that it didn't fire in the first shot.
If the bad guy does not spin the chambers again, the bullet must be in one of the remaining five chambers. Therefore, the probability of getting shot in the second round would be 1 in 5 or $1 / 5$, which is $20 \%$.
If the bad guy spins the chambers again, the bullet can be in any of the six chambers with equal probability, so the probability of getting shot would be 1 in 6 or $1 / 6$, which is approximately $16.67 \%$.
In this case, it is safer for you to ask the bad guy to spin the chambers again before firing a second time, as it lowers the probability of getting shot to about $16.67 \%$ compared to $20 \%$ if he doesn't spin the chambers.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B01.3

From a purely probabilistic standpoint, it would be advantageous for you to request that he spin the chambers again. This is because the probability of the gun firing a bullet remains constant each time the chambers are spun, whereas if the chambers are not spun, the probability of the bullet being fired increases.
When the chambers are spun, there is a $1 / 6$ chance of the bullet being in the firing chamber. If the chambers are not spun, there will be five remaining chambers, one of which contains the bullet. In this case, the probability of the bullet being in the firing chamber is $1 / 5$.
So, the probability of the gun firing a bullet if the chambers are spun again is $1 / 6$ (approximately $16.67 \%$ ), whereas the probability of the gun firing a bullet if the chambers are not spun is $1 / 5(20 \%)$. It's in your best interest to have him spin the chambers again.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B01.1

The bad guy should not spin the chambers again.
The odds of the bullet being in the same chamber twice are 1 in 6 . This means that there is a 5 in 6 chance that the bullet will be in a different chamber. Therefore, it is more likely that the bad guy will not shoot you if he does not spin the chambers again.
Here is a more detailed explanation of the logic:

- There is one bullet in the revolver.
- The chambers are spun before each shot.
- The bullet is more likely to be in a different chamber after the chambers are spun again.
- Therefore, it is more likely that the bad guy will not shoot you if he does not spin the chambers again.

It is important to note that there is still a chance that the bad guy will shoot you, even if he does not spin the chambers again. However, the odds are in your favor if he does not spin the chambers again.

## NOT Correct

Bard - Response B01.2
The bad guy should spin the chambers again before firing a second time.
There is a $5 / 6$ chance that the bullet is still in the same chamber. If the bad guy does not spin the chambers again, there is a $1 / 6$ chance that he will fire the gun again and kill you.
It is important to note that Russian roulette is a very dangerous game and should never be played. Even if the bad guy spins the chambers again, there is still a chance that he could kill you. If you are ever in a situation where someone is playing Russian roulette with you, the best thing to do is to try to get out of the situation as quickly as possible.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B01.3

The bad guy should spin the chambers again before firing a second time.
The probability of the gun firing on the second pull is still 1 in 6 . The fact that the gun did not fire on the first pull does not change the probability of it firing on the second pull.
Here is a more detailed explanation of the logic:

- There is one bullet in the gun.
- The gun is spun before each pull.
- The probability of the gun firing is 1 in 6 .


## Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

| Five people were eating apples, A finished before B, but behind C. D finished before |
| :--- | :--- |
| E, but behind B. What was the finishing order? |
| Correct answer: CABDE. Putting the first three in order, A finished in front of B but |
| behind C, so CAB. Then, we know D finished before B, so CABD. We know E finished after |
| D, so CABDE. |
| Source: [1] |

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B02.1

Based on the given information, we can infer the following order:

1. C finished first
2. A finished second (because A finished before B, but behind C)
3. B finished third (because A finished before B, and B finished before D)
4. D finished fourth (because D finished before E, but behind B)
5. E finished fifth (because $D$ finished before $E$ )

Therefore, the finishing order is: C, A, B, D, E.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B02.2

Based on the information given, we know that:

1. A finished before B, but behind C.
2. D finished before E, but behind B.

Let's start with what we know from statement 2: D finished before $E$, but behind $B$. This means that the order of $B, D$, and $E$ is either BDE or BED.
Next, we can use statement 1: A finished before B, but behind C. Since we know that B is either first or second, we can start with the cases where B is first:
Case 1: B is first If B is first, then A must be last and C must be second. So the order is: BC?A. We don't know where D and E fit in yet, but we know that they must come before A .
Case 2: B is second If B is second, then the order is either CB?A or BC?A. Again, we don't know where D and E fit in yet, but we know that they must come before A.
Now we can use statement 2 again: $D$ finished before $E$, but behind $B$. In both cases, we know that $D$ is before $E$, so we can fill in the order as follows: Case 1: B is first The order is BDECA.
Case 2: B is second The order is CBDEA or BCDEA.
Therefore, the finishing order could be either BDECA or CBDEA/BCDEA. We can't determine the exact finishing order with the information given, as there are multiple possibilities.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B02.3

The finishing order of the five people can be determined using the given information as follows:

1. A finished before $B$, but behind $C$.
2. D finished before E, but behind B.

From statement 1, we know that C finished first, followed by A, and then B. From statement 2, we know that B finished before both D and E. Therefore, the finishing order must be:

1. C
2. A

B
D
5. E

So the finishing order of the five people is C, A, B, D, and E. Based on the given information, we can infer the following order:

1. C finished first
2. A finished second (because A finished before B, but behind C)
3. B finished third (because A finished before B, and B finished before D)
4. D finished fourth (because D finished before E, but behind B)
5. E finished fifth (because D finished before E)

Therefore, the finishing order is: C, A, B, D, E.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B02.1

Let's analyze the given information:

1. A finished before B, but behind C.
2 . D finished before E , but behind B .

## Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

```
From this information, we can deduce the following order
C}>A>B>D>
So the finishing order is: C, A, B, D, E.
Correct
```

ChatGPT-4 - Response B02. 2
Let's analyze the given information:

1. A finished before B, but behind C.
2. D finished before E , but behind B .
From statement 1 , we know that C finished before A , and A finished before B . So, the order so far is $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}$.
From statement 2, we know that B finished before D, and D finished before E. So, the order so far is B > D > E
Combining both statements, we get the finishing order: $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}>\mathrm{D}>\mathrm{E}$.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B02.3

To determine the finishing order, let's analyze the given information:

1. A finished before B, but behind C.
2. D finished before $E$, but behind $B$.

From statement 1 , we can infer that C finished before A and A finished before B . So the order so far is $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}$. From statement 2 , we can infer that $B$ finished before $D$ and $D$ finished before $E$. So the order so far is $B>D>E$.

Combining the two orders, we get the following finishing order: $\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}>\mathrm{D}>\mathrm{E}$.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B02.1

The finishing order is:

1. C
2. A
3. B
4. D
5. E

We know that A finished before B, but behind C. This means that C finished first, followed by A, and then B. We also know that D finished before E but behind B . This means that B finished before D , and D finished before E . Therefore, the finishing order is:

| 1. | C |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | A |
| 3. | B |
| 4. | D |
| 5. | E |

## Correct

## Bard - Response B02.2

The finishing order of the five people eating apples is:

1. C
2. A
3. B
4. D
5. E

This is because

- A finished before B, but behind C. This means that C finished first, followed by A, and then B.
- D finished before E, but behind B. This means that B finished before D, and D finished before E.

Therefore, the finishing order is C, A, B, D, E

## Correct

## Bard - Response B02.3

The finishing order is:

| 1. | C |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | A |
| 3. | B |
| 4. | D |
| 5. | E |

We know that A finished before B, but behind C. This means that C finished first, followed by A, and then B. We also know that D finished before E, but behind B. This means that B finished before D, and D finished before E. Therefore, the finishing order is C, A, B, D, E.

## Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

\#B03

A man has 53 socks in his drawer: 21 identical blue, 15 identical black and 17 identical red. The lights are out, and he is completely in the dark. How many socks must he take out to make 100 percent certain he has at least one pair of black socks?

Correct answer: 40. If he takes out 38 socks (adding the two biggest amounts, 21 and 17), although it is very unlikely, it is possible they could all be blue and red. To make 100 percent certain that he also has a pair of black socks he must take out a further two socks.

Source: [1]

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B03.1

To ensure that he has at least one pair of black socks, he needs to keep selecting socks from his drawer until he gets the second black sock. This is because he only needs two black socks to make a pair.
Let's assume the worst-case scenario that he picks all the socks from the drawer without getting a pair of black socks. In this case, he would have selected all 21 blue socks and 17 red socks, which amounts to 38 socks. However, he still wouldn't have the second black sock, which means he would have to pick at least one more sock to guarantee a pair of black socks.
Therefore, he would need to take out 39 socks to make 100 percent certain he has at least one pair of black socks.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B03.2

To be 100 percent certain that he has at least one pair of black socks, the man must take out all the socks except for the black ones. This is because if he takes out all the blue and red socks, he will still have 15 black socks remaining, which is enough for a pair.
So the minimum number of socks the man must take out is:
21 blue socks +17 red socks $=38$ socks
Therefore, the man must take out 38 socks to be 100 percent certain he has at least one pair of black socks.

## NOT Correct <br> ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B03.3

The worst-case scenario for the man would be to keep picking socks of different colors until he has 14 blue socks and 17 red socks. At this point, he could take out one more sock and be guaranteed to have a pair of black socks. Therefore, he would need to take out 32 socks to make $100 \%$ certain he has at least one pair of black socks.
Here's the reasoning:
The man wants to be certain he has a pair of black socks. This means he needs to take out socks until he has two black socks. However, he can only see the colors of the socks he takes out, not whether they match. So he needs to take out enough socks to ensure he gets two black socks, no matter what other socks he gets.
The maximum number of socks he can take out without getting two black socks is 21 (all blue) +17 (all red) $=38$. If he has taken out 38 socks and still hasn't gotten two black socks, then the remaining 15 socks must all be black. In that case, the next sock he takes out will be a black sock and he will have a pair.
Therefore, the man needs to take out at least 38 socks plus one more to ensure he has a pair of black socks, which is a total of 39 socks.

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B03.1

To make sure he has at least one pair of black socks, the man must take out a maximum of 4 socks.
Here's the reasoning:

1. He takes out one sock, which can be any color (blue, black, or red).
2. He takes out a second sock. If this sock is black, then he already has a pair of black socks. However, if it's a different color, then he has one blue and one red sock.
3. He takes out a third sock. In the worst-case scenario, this sock is of the remaining color (in this case, blue). Now, he has one sock of each color (blue, black, and red).

He takes out a fourth sock. Since there are only three colors, this sock must match one of the colors he already has, which guarantees a
pair. If it's black, then he has a pair of black socks, as desired.
So, the man needs to take out 4 socks to be $100 \%$ certain that he has at least one pair of black socks.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B03.2

To make sure that he has at least one pair of black socks, he must take out a maximum of four socks. Here's the reasoning:

1. The first sock he takes out can be any color.
2. The second sock he takes out might be a different color than the first one, so he still might not have a pair of black socks.
3. The third sock he takes out might again be a different color, so at this point, he would have one sock of each color.

When he takes out the fourth sock, he is guaranteed to have at least one pair of socks that are the same color. In the worst-case scenario, this pair will be black, so he must take out four socks to make $100 \%$ certain he has at least one pair of black socks.

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B03.3

To make sure he has at least one pair of black socks, the man must take out a maximum of 4 socks. Here's the reasoning:
In the worst-case scenario, he first picks one blue sock, then one red sock. At this point, he has two socks of different colors. The next sock he picks, no matter the color, will guarantee that he has at least one matching pair. If it's a black sock, then he has his desired pair of black socks. If it's a blue or red sock, he will have a matching pair of blue or red socks. In either case, he is guaranteed a matching pair after picking 3 socks.
However, the goal is to make sure he has a pair of black socks. So, after picking the 3rd sock and having a matching pair of either blue or red socks, he must pick one more sock to ensure he has at least one pair of black socks. This makes a total of 4 socks.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response B03.1

To be 100 percent certain he has at least one pair of black socks, the man must take out 40 socks.
The worst case scenario is that he picks 21 blue socks and 17 red socks. Then he will have 40 socks and only the black socks will be left in the drawer. So the next sock he picks will definitely be black, and he will have a pair of black socks.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B03.2

He needs to take out 30 socks.
If he takes out 29 socks, there is a chance that they are all blue or red.
But if he takes out 30 socks, then he is guaranteed to have at least one pair of black socks.
This is because there are only 15 black socks, so if he takes out 30 socks, then he will have at least 2 black socks.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response B03.3

The man must take out 39 socks to make 100 percent certain he has at least one pair of black socks.
The worst-case scenario is that he picks 21 blue socks and 17 red socks. In this case, he will have 38 socks and no black socks. However, if he picks one more sock, it will either be a blue sock, a red sock, or a black sock. If it is a blue sock or a red sock, he will have 39 socks and no black socks. However, if it is a black sock, he will have 39 socks and at least one pair of black socks.
Therefore, the man must take out 39 socks to make 100 percent certain he has at least one pair of black socks.

## NOT Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios
Susan and Lisa decided to play tennis against each other. They bet $\$ 1$ on each game they played. Susan won three bets and Lisa won $\$ 5$. How many games did they play?

Correct answer: 11. Because Lisa lost three games to Susan, she had lost \$3 (\$1 per game). So, she had to win back that $\$ 3$ with three more games, then win another five games to win $\$ 5$. The final score of the 11 games was 8-3 in favor of Susan.

Source: [1]

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B04.1

Let's assume that Susan and Lisa played "x" games in total.
Since they bet $\$ 1$ on each game, the total amount of money bet would be $\$ x$.
Out of those "x" games, we know that Susan won three bets. So, she earned 3 dollars from Lisa.
Lisa, on the other hand, won the remaining games and earned \$5 from Susan
Therefore, we can set up the following equation:
$3+5=x$
Simplifying, we get:
$x=8$
So, they played a total of 8 games.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B04.2

Let's suppose that they played a total of ' $x$ ' games.
Since they bet $\$ 1$ on each game, Susan's winnings would be $\$ 3$. Lisa's winnings are given as $\$ 5$.
Therefore, we can write the following equation:
Susan's winnings + Lisa's winnings $=$ Total amount bet
$\$ 3+\$ 5=\$ x$
Simplifying,
$\$ \mathrm{x}=\$ 8$
So they played a total of 8 games.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B04.3

Let's assume that Susan won " $x$ " games, and therefore, Lisa won " $y$ " games. We know that they played a total of $(x+y)$ games.
According to the problem, Susan won three bets, which means she won $\$ 3$. Lisa won $\$ 5$, which means she won five more bets than Susan. Therefore, we can write:
$y=x+5$
We also know that they bet $\$ 1$ on each game. So the total amount of money they bet is equal to the total number of games they played:
$x+y=$ total number of games
Substituting $y=x+5$, we get:
$x+(x+5)=$ total number of games
$2 x+5=$ total number of games
We also know that Susan won three bets, which means she won $\$ 3$. So, the total amount of money she won is equal to the number of games she won: 3 = x
Substituting $x=3$, we get:
$2(3)+5=$ total number of games
$6+5=$ total number of games
Total number of games $=11$
Therefore, Susan and Lisa played a total of 11 games

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B04.1

Let's break down the information given:
Susan won 3 bets, which means she won $\$ 3$.
Lisa won \$5.
Since they played against each other, for every game Susan won, Lisa lost, and vice versa. So if Susan won $\$ 3$, then Lisa must have lost $\$ 3$ in those games. To find out how many games Lisa actually won, we need to add the $\$ 3$ she lost back to her winnings:
$\$ 5$ (Lisa's winnings) $+\$ 3$ (Lisa's losses) $=\$ 8$
So Lisa won 8 games.
Now, to find the total number of games they played, add the games Susan and Lisa won:

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

## 3 (Susan's wins) +8 (Lisa's wins) $=11$ games <br> They played 11 games in total. <br> Correct

ChatGPT-4 - Response B04.2
Let's consider the number of games Susan and Lisa played. If Susan won 3 games, she earned $\$ 3$. Since Lisa won $\$ 5$, she must have won 5 games. So, they played a total of $3+5=8$ games.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B04.3

Let's analyze the situation: Susan won three bets, so she earned $\$ 3$. Lisa won $\$ 5$. The total amount of money won by both players is $\$ 3+\$ 5=\$ 8$. Since each game's bet is worth $\$ 1$, they played a total of 8 games.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response B04.

Susan and Lisa played 11 games.
Susan won 3 games, so Lisa lost 3 games. Lisa then won 3 games to make up for her losses. Lisa then won 5 more games to end up with $\$ 5$.
Therefore, they played a total of 11 games.

## Correct

Bard - Response B04.2
Susan and Lisa played 11 games.
Susan won three bets, so Lisa lost three games. This means that Lisa was down $\$ 3$. In order to win $\$ 5$, Lisa had to win eight more games. This means that they played a total of 11 games.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B04.3

Susan and Lisa played 11 games.
Susan won 3 bets, so Lisa lost 3 games. Lisa then won 3 games to break even. Lisa then won 5 more games to win $\$ 5$. In total, they played $3+3+5=$ 11 games.
Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

| \#B055 | Jack is looking at Anne. Anne is looking at George. Jack is married, George is not, <br> and we don't know if Anne is married. Is a married person looking at an unmarried <br> person? <br> Correct answer: Yes. If Anne is married, then she is married and looking at George, <br> who is unmarried. If Anne is unmarried, then Jack, who is married, is looking at her. <br> Either way, the statement is correct. <br> Source: [1] |
| :--- | :--- |

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B05.1

Yes, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
Anne is looking at George, who we know is not married. Therefore, Anne could be married or unmarried. Jack is looking at Anne, so Jack is looking at someone who could be married or unmarried. However, we do know that Jack is married, so a married person (Jack) is looking at someone (Anne) who may or may not be married, but is definitely not married to George.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B05.2

Yes, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
Since we know that Jack is married and he is looking at Anne, then Jack (a married person) is looking at Anne (whose marital status is unknown). It's possible that Anne is also married, but we don't know for sure
Similarly, we know that Anne is looking at George, who is unmarried. Therefore, Anne (who may be married or unmarried) is looking at George (an unmarried person).

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B05.3

Yes, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
Since we know that Jack is married, and Jack is looking at Anne, we can conclude that Jack is looking at someone who may be either married or unmarried. Similarly, we know that George is unmarried, and Anne is looking at George, so Anne is definitely looking at an unmarried person.
Therefore, Jack (married) is looking at Anne (possibly married or unmarried) who is looking at George (unmarried), which means that a married person (Jack) is looking at an unmarried person (George).

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B05.1

Yes, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
There are two possible cases for Anne:

1. If Anne is married, then a married person (Anne) is looking at an unmarried person (George).
2. If Anne is not married, then a married person (Jack) is looking at an unmarried person (Anne).

In both cases, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B05.2

Based on the given information, we can consider two possible scenarios for Anne:

1. If Anne is married, then a married person (Anne) is looking at an unmarried person (George).
2. If Anne is not married, then a married person (Jack) is looking at an unmarried person (Anne).

In both scenarios, a married person is looking at an unmarried person. So the answer is yes, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B05.3

Yes, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
Here's why: There are two possible scenarios for Anne's marital status.

1. If Anne is married, then a married person (Anne) is looking at an unmarried person (George).
2. If Anne is not married, then a married person (Jack) is looking at an unmarried person (Anne)

In both cases, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B05.1

Yes, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
If Anne is married, then she is looking at George, who is unmarried.
If Anne is unmarried, then Jack is looking at her, who is unmarried.

## Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

In either case, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
The reason why most people get this question wrong is because they focus on Anne's marital status. However, Anne's marital status is irrelevant to the answer. The only thing that matters is that Jack is married and George is unmarried.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B05.2

Yes, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
Here's why:
Jack is married.
Anne is looking at George.
George is not married.
Therefore, a married person (Jack) is looking at an unmarried person (George).
It doesn't matter if Anne is married or not. If she is married, then she is looking at an unmarried person (George). If she is not married, then a married person (Jack) is looking at her.
So the answer is yes, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B05.3

Yes, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
We know that Jack is married and George is not. We also know that Jack is looking at Anne and Anne is looking at George. This means that either Anne is unmarried and Jack is looking at her, or Anne is married and she is looking at George. In either case, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
Here is a more detailed explanation:
Case 1: Anne is unmarried. If Anne is unmarried, then Jack is looking at her. Since Jack is married, this means that a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
Case 2: Anne is married. If Anne is married, then she is looking at George. Since George is unmarried, this means that a married person is looking at an unmarried person.
Therefore, in either case, a married person is looking at an unmarried person.

## Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

# A girl meets a lion and unicorn in the forest. The lion lies every Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday and the other days he speaks the truth. The unicorn lies on Thursdays, Fridays and Saturdays, and the other days of the week he speaks the truth. "Yesterday I was lying," the lion told the girl. "So was I," said the unicorn. What day is it? <br> Correct answer: Thursday. The only day they both tell the truth is Sunday; but today can't be Sunday because the lion also tells the truth on Saturday (yesterday). Going day by day, the only day one of them is lying and one of them is telling the truth with those two statements is Thursday. 

Source: [1]

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B06.1

f the lion was lying when he said, "Yesterday I was lying," then yesterday must have been Monday, Tuesday or Wednesday because on those days the lion lies. Let's call today Day X.
If it was Thursday, Friday, or Saturday, the lion would have been telling the truth when he said "yesterday I was lying", but he was lying, so it can't be any of those days.
Now, let's consider what the unicorn said. If the unicorn was lying when he said "So was I," then yesterday must have been Thursday, Friday, or Saturday, because those are the days when the unicorn lies. But we've already ruled out those days, so the unicorn must have been telling the truth.
Therefore, if the unicorn was telling the truth, yesterday must have been Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday. If yesterday was Sunday, then the lion would have been telling the truth, which he wasn't. So, yesterday must have been Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday.
If yesterday was Monday, then the lion was lying when he said "yesterday I was lying", which is a contradiction. Therefore, yesterday cannot be Monday.
If yesterday was Tuesday, then the lion was telling the truth when he said "yesterday I was lying", which is a contradiction. Therefore, yesterday cannot be Tuesday.

That leaves us with Wednesday. If yesterday was Wednesday, then the lion was lying when he said "yesterday I was lying", which is true because on Wednesdays the lion lies. And if yesterday was Wednesday, then the unicorn was also lying when he said "So was I," because on Wednesdays the unicorn tells the truth.

Therefore, it is Wednesday
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B06.2

If we assume that the lion and the unicorn spoke the truth while talking to the girl, then we can reason as follows:
Suppose today is Monday. Then yesterday was Sunday, and the lion spoke the truth because he only lies on Mondays, Tuesdays, and Wednesdays. Therefore, the lion's statement "Yesterday I was lying" is false. But the unicorn also said "Yesterday I was lying", which would make his statement false as well. This creates a contradiction, so we can conclude that today is not Monday.
Similarly, we can rule out Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday as possible days, because in each case either the lion or the unicorn would have been telling the truth when they said "Yesterday I was lying", which would contradict the other's statement.

If today is Saturday, then yesterday was Friday. On Fridays, the unicorn lies, so his statement "Yesterday I was lying" would be true. This means that the lion's statement "Yesterday I was lying" is also true, because it's not a day when the lion lies. Therefore, we have a consistent scenario where both the lion and the unicorn are telling the truth, and it's Saturday.
So the answer is: It is Saturday.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B06.3

If the lion says "yesterday I was lying," there are two possibilities: either yesterday was a day when the lion lies or a day when he tells the truth.
If yesterday was a day when the lion lies, then he must be telling the truth today because today is a day when he tells the truth. Therefore, yesterday must have been either Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday.
If yesterday was a day when the lion tells the truth, then he must be lying today because today is a day when he lies. Therefore, yesterday must have been either Thursday, Friday, Saturday, or Sunday.

Now let's consider what the unicorn said. If the unicorn says "yesterday I was lying," there are also two possibilities: either yesterday was a day when the unicorn lies or a day when he tells the truth.

If yesterday was a day when the unicorn lies, then he must be telling the truth today because today is a day when he tells the truth. Therefore, yesterday must have been either Thursday, Friday, or Saturday
If yesterday was a day when the unicorn tells the truth, then he must be lying today because today is a day when he lies. Therefore, yesterday must have been either Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday.
We can see that the only day that satisfies both conditions is Sunday. If yesterday was Sunday, then the lion was telling the truth when he said "yesterday I was lying" because he lies on Sunday, and the unicorn was lying when he said "yesterday I was lying" because he tells the truth on Sundays. Therefore, it must be Monday today.

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B06.1

Since the lion lies on Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday, and tells the truth on the other days, the lion's statement "Yesterday I was lying" can only be true on Sunday or Thursday, because on Sunday he would be telling the truth about lying on Saturday, and on Thursday he would be telling the truth about lying on Wednesday.

Now, let's consider the unicorn's statement "So was I." The unicorn lies on Thursdays, Fridays, and Saturdays, and tells the truth on the other days. If it is Sunday, the unicorn must be lying because he tells the truth on Sundays, so he couldn't have lied yesterday (Saturday). Therefore, it cannot be Sunday.

That leaves us with Thursday. On Thursday, the lion is telling the truth about lying on Wednesday, and the unicorn is also telling the truth about lying on the previous day, which is Wednesday as well. Thus, the day must be Thursday.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B06.2

Let's analyze the statements of the lion and the unicorn:

1. Lion: "Yesterday I was lying."
2. Unicorn: "So was I."

If the lion is telling the truth today, then yesterday he was lying. This means today can be either Thursday or Sunday. If the lion is lying today, then yesterday he was telling the truth. This means today can be Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday
If the unicorn is telling the truth today, then yesterday he was lying. This means today can be Sunday or Monday. If the unicorn is lying today, then yesterday he was telling the truth. This means today can be Thursday, Friday, or Saturday.
Comparing the possible days for both the lion and the unicorn, we can see that the only day that matches for both of them is Sunday. So, today is Sunday.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B06.3

Let's consider the statements made by both the lion and the unicorn
Lion: "Yesterday I was lying."
Unicorn: "So was I."
The lion lies on Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday, and tells the truth on Thursday, Friday, Saturday, and Sunday
The unicorn lies on Thursday, Friday, and Saturday, and tells the truth on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday.
Since both the lion and the unicorn are making the same statement, they must both be either lying or telling the truth today. They can't both be lying because there is no day when both of them lie. Therefore, they must both be telling the truth today.
If the lion is telling the truth today, it must be one of the days when he tells the truth (Thursday, Friday, Saturday, or Sunday). Since he says "Yesterday I was lying," it means that today is Thursday, as the lion lies on Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday.
If the unicorn is also telling the truth today, it must be one of the days when he tells the truth (Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday). Since the unicorn says "So was I," meaning he was lying yesterday, it confirms that today is Thursday, as the unicorn lies on Thursdays, Fridays, and Saturdays. Thus, it is Thursday

## Correct

## Bard - Response B06. 1

The answer is Thursday.
The lion is speaking the truth when he says that he was lying yesterday. This means that yesterday was a Wednesday, when the lion lies. The unicorn is also speaking the truth when he says that he was lying yesterday. This means that yesterday was a Wednesday, when the unicorn lies. Therefore, today must be Thursday
Here is a more detailed explanation:

- The lion is speaking the truth. The lion says that he was lying yesterday. This means that yesterday was a day when the lion lies. The only days when the lion lies are Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday. Therefore, yesterday must have been Wednesday.
- The unicorn is speaking the truth. The unicorn says that he was lying yesterday. This means that yesterday was a day when the unicorn lies. The only days when the unicorn lies are Thursday, Friday, and Saturday. Therefore, yesterday must have been Wednesday.
- Today must be Thursday. Since yesterday was Wednesday, and today is one day after yesterday, today must be Thursday.


## Correct

## Bard - Response B06.2

The answer is Thursday.
The lion is telling the truth when he says that he was lying yesterday. This is because yesterday was a Wednesday, and the lion lies on Mondays,
Tuesdays, and Wednesdays. The unicorn is lying when he says that he was also lying yesterday. This is because yesterday was a Wednesday, and the unicorn tells the truth on Wednesdays.
Therefore, the day of the week is Thursday

## Correct

## Bard - Response B06.3

The answer is Thursday.
The lion is telling the truth when he says that he was lying yesterday. This is because the lion lies on Mondays, Tuesdays, and Wednesdays, and yesterday was not one of those days. The unicorn is lying when he says that he was lying yesterday. This is because the unicorn lies on Thursdays, Fridays, and Saturdays, and yesterday was not one of those days
Therefore, the day of the week is Thursday.

## Correct

Three men are lined up behind each other. The tallest man is in the back and can see the heads of the two in front of him; the middle man can see the one man in front of him; the man in front can't see anyone. They are blindfolded and hats are placed on their heads, picked from three black hats and two white hats. The extra two hats are hidden and the blindfolds removed. The tallest man is asked if he knows what color hat he's wearing; he doesn't. The middle man is asked if he knows; he doesn't. But the man in front, who can't see anyone, says he knows. How does he know, and what color hat is he wearing?

Correct answer: Black. The man in front knew he and the middle man aren't both wearing white hats or the man in the back would have known he had a black hat (since there are only two white hats). The man in front also knows the middle man didn't see him with a white hat because if he did, based on the tallest man's answer, the middle man would have known he himself was wearing a black hat. So, the man in front knows his hat must be black.

Source: [1]

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B07.1

The man in front knows the color of his hat because he can deduce it from the answers of the other two men. If the two hats in front of him were both black or both white, the middle man would have seen a hat of the opposite color in front of him and would have known that his own hat must be the remaining color. However, since the middle man doesn't know the color of his hat, this means that the two hats in front of him must be different colors. The tallest man, who can see the hats of the two men in front of him, still doesn't know the color of his own hat. This means that the two hats in front of him must be the same color, and that color must be different from the color of the hat in front of the man in front.
Therefore, the man in front must be wearing the hat of the opposite color to the two hats in front of him. For example, if the two hats in front of him are black, and the hat in front of the tallest man is white, then the man in front must be wearing a white hat.

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B07.2

The man in the front knows the color of his hat because he can reason about the hats that the other two men are wearing. Since the tallest man, who is standing behind him, cannot see the color of his own hat, he must be looking at the colors of the hats of the two men in front of him. If both of those hats were white, the tallest man would immediately know that he was wearing a black hat, since there are only two black hats in total. However, since he does not immediately know the color of his own hat, we know that at least one of the hats in front of him is black.
The middle man cannot see the hat of the man in front of him, but he can see the hat of the tallest man. If the tallest man were wearing a white hat, the middle man would immediately know that he himself was wearing a black hat, since there are only two white hats in total. However, since he does not immediately know the color of his own hat, we know that the tallest man must be wearing a black hat.
Therefore, the man in front knows that there is one black hat and one white hat left. Since he knows that at least one of the hats in front of him is black and he can see that the tallest man is wearing a black hat, he must conclude that he himself is wearing a white hat.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B07.3

The man in the front knows that he is wearing a white hat. Here's why:
Assume that the tallest man is wearing a black hat. The middle man sees one black hat in front of him (the tallest man's hat) and one hidden hat. Since the middle man can't see his own hat, there are two possibilities: either he is wearing the other black hat, or he is wearing a white hat.
Now consider what the man in front would be thinking. Since he can't see anyone, he must base his answer on the information provided by the other two. If he was wearing a black hat, both the tallest and middle man would see at least one black hat and would not be able to deduce the color of their own hat. Since the man in the front knows that the middle man did not immediately know the color of his hat, he can conclude that the middle man saw a white hat in front of him. Therefore, the man in front concludes that he must be wearing the remaining white hat.
Thus, the man in front is wearing a white hat.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B07. 1

The man in front is using the information from the other two men's answers to deduce the color of his own hat. Let's analyze the situation step by step: If the tallest man saw two white hats in front of him, he would know for sure that he was wearing a black hat (since there are only two white hats available). But he says he doesn't know, so the two men in front of him must be wearing at least one black hat.
Now, the middle man knows that there is at least one black hat between him and the man in front. If he saw a white hat on the man in front, he could conclude that he must be wearing a black hat (since there must be at least one black hat between the two of them). However, the middle man also says he doesn't know the color of his own hat, which means he must see a black hat on the man in front.
Given this information, the man in front can deduce that he is wearing a black hat. The other two men's inability to determine their hat colors provided the necessary information for him to make this conclusion.

## Correct

ChatGPT-4 - Response B07.2
The man in front can deduce the color of his hat based on the answers given by the other two men. Let's break it down step by step:

## Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

The tallest man sees the two heads in front of him. If he saw two white hats, he would be able to deduce that he must be wearing a black hat because there are only two white hats available. However, he says he doesn't know the color of his hat, which means he must see at least one black hat.
The middle man knows that the tallest man saw at least one black hat. So, if the middle man saw a white hat on the man in front, he could deduce that he must be wearing a black hat, because the tallest man couldn't see two white hats. However, the middle man also says he doesn't know the color of his hat, which means he sees a black hat on the man in front.
The man in front hears both the tallest and the middle man say they don't know the color of their hats. Based on the middle man's response, the man in front deduces that he must be wearing a black hat. If he were wearing a white hat, the middle man would have been able to deduce his own hat color.

Thus, the man in front is wearing a black hat, and he knows this based on the logic and the responses of the other two men.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B07.3

The man in front knows the color of his hat through the process of elimination based on the responses of the tallest and middle men.
When the tallest man (in the back) is asked, he sees the hats of the other two men. If he saw two white hats, he would know he must be wearing a black hat because there are only two white hats available. But he says he doesn't know, so the other two men must be wearing at least one black hat.
The middle man, knowing that there must be at least one black hat among the two of them (himself and the man in front), listens to the tallest man's answer. If the middle man saw a white hat on the man in front, he could deduce that he must be wearing a black hat, because if the man in front was wearing a white hat, the tallest man would have seen two white hats and known that he was wearing a black hat. However, the middle man also says he doesn't know, which means he sees a black hat on the man in front.
Therefore, the man in front deduces that he must be wearing a black hat, based on the answers given by the other two men.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B07.1

The man in front knows he is wearing a white hat.
The tallest man can see the hats of the two men in front of him. If he saw two black hats, he would know that he was wearing a white hat. But he doesn't know what color hat he's wearing, so he must see one black hat and one white hat.
The middle man can see the hat of the man in front of him. If he saw a black hat, he would know that he was wearing a white hat. But he doesn't know what color hat he's wearing, so he must see a white hat.
The man in front can't see anyone, but he knows that the tallest man can see two hats and the middle man can see one hat. He also knows that there are three black hats and two white hats. So he knows that the tallest man must see one black hat and one white hat, and the middle man must see a white hat. This means that the man in front must be wearing the other white hat.

## NOT Correct

Bard - Response B07.2
The man in front knows he is wearing a white hat.
The tallest man can see the hats of the two men in front of him. If he saw two black hats, he would know that he was wearing a white hat. However, he does not know what color hat he is wearing, which means that he saw one black hat and one white hat.
The middle man can see the hat of the man in front of him. If he saw a black hat, he would know that he was wearing a white hat. However, he does not know what color hat he is wearing, which means that he saw a white hat.
The man in front cannot see any hats. However, he knows that the tallest man saw one black hat and one white hat, and that the middle man saw a white hat. This means that the only possible combination of hats is one black hat and two white hats. Since the tallest man and the middle man do not know what color hat they are wearing, the man in front knows that he is wearing the white hat.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response B07.3

The man in front knows he is wearing a black hat.
The tallest man can see the hats of the two men in front of him. If he saw two white hats, he would know that he was wearing a black hat. But he doesn't know what color hat he is wearing, so he must see one white hat and one black hat.
The middle man can see the hat of the man in front of him. If he saw a white hat, he would know that he was wearing a black hat. But he doesn't know what color hat he is wearing, so he must see a black hat.
The man in front can't see anyone, but he knows that the tallest man can see two hats and the middle man can see one hat. He also knows that there are three black hats and two white hats. So he knows that the tallest man must see one white hat and one black hat, and the middle man must see a black hat. This means that the only hat left is a black hat, and he must be wearing it.

## Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios


#### Abstract

A teacher writes six words on a board: "cat dog has max dim tag." She gives three students, Albert, Bernard and Cheryl each a piece of paper with one letter from one of the words. Then she asks, "Albert, do you know the word?" Albert immediately replies yes. She asks, "Bernard, do you know the word?" He thinks for a moment and replies yes. Then she asks Cheryl the same question. She thinks and then replies yes. What is the word?

Correct answer: Dog. Albert knows right away because he has one of the unique letters that only appear once in all the words: c o h s x i. So, we know the word is not "tag." All of these unique letters appear in different words, except for " $h$ " and " s " in "has," and Bernard can figure out what the word is from the unique letters that are left: $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{s}$. This eliminates "max" and "dim." Cheryl can then narrow it down the same way. Because there is only one unique letter left, the letter "d," the word must be "dog."

Source: [1]


## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B08.1

The word is "dim."
To solve this puzzle, we can use logical deduction.
First, we can observe that the words on the board have different lengths, and "dim" is the only three-letter word among them. Therefore, the word must be "cat," "dog," "has," "max," "dim," or "tag."

Next, we can consider Albert's response. He knows the word, which means that the letter he received is unique to that word. The only letters that appear in only one of the six words are "c" (in "cat"), "o" (in "dog"), "i" (in "dim"), and "g" (in "tag"). However, if Albert had the letter "c" or "o," he would not know the word immediately, since both "cat" and "dog" are valid options. Therefore, Albert must have the letter "i."
Now we can consider Bernard's response. He knows the word, which means that the letter he received must help him eliminate all but one of the remaining words. The only letters that appear in exactly two of the words are "a" (in "cat" and "has"), "x" (in "max" and "tax"), and "t" (in "cat" and "tag"). If Bernard had the letter " a " or " x ," he would not know the word immediately, since both "has" and "max" (or "tax") are still possibilities. Therefore, Bernard must have the letter "t."
Finally, we consider Cheryl's response. She knows the word, which means that the letter she received must help her eliminate all but one of the remaining words. The only letter that appears in exactly one of the remaining words is " d " (in "dim"). Therefore, Cheryl must have the letter " d ," and the word is "dim."

## NOT Correct <br> ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B08.2

This is a classic puzzle known as the "Cheryl's Birthday" puzzle, but with different words.
Let's label the six words as follows:
Word 1: cat
Word 2: dog
Word 3: has
Word 4: max
Word 5: dim
Word 6: tag
Since Albert knows the word immediately after seeing his letter, we know that his letter is unique to one word, and that word must be "cat" or "dog," because those are the only words with distinct first letters
Let's say Albert's letter is "c." Then Bernard must have either "a" or "t," because those are the only letters that appear in both "cat" and "has" (which Bernard could have).
If Bernard had "a," then he would not be able to determine the word, because both "cat" and "has" contain "a." Therefore, Bernard must have "t," and his word must be "cat."
Now we know that Cheryl has one of the remaining four letters: "d," "o," "g," or "s." Since Bernard knows the word, we know that "t" cannot be the last letter of the word, which means Cheryl must have "d," "o," or "g."
If Cheryl had "d," then her word would have to be "dim," because "max" already contains an "a" and "s." But this would mean that Bernard could not have known the word, since " d " does not appear in "cat." Therefore, Cheryl does not have "d."
If Cheryl had "o," then her word would have to be "dog." This works, because Bernard knows the word, and Albert's letter "c" appears in "cat" but not "dog." Therefore, the word is "dog."

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B08.3

This puzzle is a variant of the famous "Einstein's riddle," also known as the "Zebra puzzle." The solution requires logical reasoning and deduction.
First, we need to identify which letters each student has. Let's call the words W1, W2, W3, W4, W5, and W6, and the students A, B, and C. We can represent the information given in a table:

| Letter | Word | A knows | B knows | C knows |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | W1 |  |  |  |
| c | W1 |  |  |  |
| d | W2 |  |  |  |


| g | W 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| h | W 3 |
| i | W 4 |
| m | W5 |
| n | W2 |
| s | W6 |
| t | W3 |
| x | W4 |

We know that each student has one letter from one of the words, and we also know that Albert, Bernard, and Cheryl know the word. This means that the letter they have is unique to that word, and there is only one word that contains those letters.
Let's start with Albert. He knows the word, which means he has one of the unique letters. The only letters that appear once are "d" (from W2), "i" (from W4), " $m$ " (from W5), and " $n$ " (from W2). Since Bernard also knows the word, he must have one of the other unique letters. This means Bernard has either "a" (from W1), "c" (from W1), "g" (from W1), or "s" (from W6).
Let's consider Cheryl next. She knows the word, which means she also has one of the unique letters. If Bernard had "s," then Cheryl would not know the word because "s" appears in two words (W3 and W6). Therefore, Bernard does not have "s." This means Cheryl has one of the other unique letters: "a" (from W1), "c" (from W1), "g" (from W1), or "s" (from W6).
Now, let's consider Bernard. He knows the word, so he must have the remaining unique letter that neither Albert nor Cheryl have. This means Bernard has "s" (from W6).
We now have enough information to determine the word. Albert has either "d," "i," "m," or "n," and Bernard has "s." Cheryl has either "a," "c," "g," or "s." There is only one word that contains "s" and one of "d," "i," "m," or "n," and also one of "a," "c," or " g ": it is "dim." Therefore, "dim" is the word that the teacher wrote on the board.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B08.1

Let's break down the information given and reason through the process.

1. There are six words: cat, dog, has, max, dim, tag.
2. Albert, Bernard, and Cheryl each have one letter from one of the words.

When the teacher asks Albert if he knows the word, he says yes. This means that Albert must have a unique letter that only exists in one of the words. The words with unique letters are:

- $\quad$ cat ( $c$ is unique)
- $\quad \max$ ( $x$ is unique)
- $\quad \operatorname{dim}$ (i is unique)

So, the word must be one of these three: cat, max, or dim.
Next, the teacher asks Bernard, and after some thought, he also says yes. This means that Bernard must have a letter that uniquely identifies one of the remaining possible words. Since Bernard had to think, it also implies that the letter he has might not be unique among all six words, but it's unique among the remaining options after Albert's response.
For the remaining possibilities:

- cat (a is not unique among all six words, but it is unique among cat, max, and dim)
- max ( $m$ is not unique among all six words, but it is unique among cat, max, and dim)
- $\quad \operatorname{dim}$ ( $d$ is not unique among all six words, but it is unique among cat, max, and dim)

So, Bernard's letter could be any one of these three: $a, m$, or $d$.
Lastly, Cheryl is asked and she also answers yes after some thought. This means that the letter she has must uniquely identify the word among the remaining possibilities, taking into account the knowledge that Albert and Bernard also know the word.

Let's consider the remaining possibilities based on Bernard's potential letters:

- If Bernard has " a ", the word is cat (since max and dim don't have "a"). In this case, Cheryl must have the letter " t ", which is unique among the remaining options.
- If Bernard has " $m$ ", the word is max (since cat and dim don't have " $m$ "). In this case, Cheryl must have the letter " $x$ ", which is unique among the remaining options.
- If Bernard has " d ", the word is dim (since cat and max don't have " d "). In this case, Cheryl must have the letter " i ", which is unique among the remaining options.
Since all three students are sure of the word, and there is no contradiction in their answers, we cannot uniquely determine the word based on the information given. It could be any one of the three words: cat, max, or dim.


## NOT Correct

ChatGPT-4 - Response B08.2
Let's analyze the given information step by step

1. Albert knows the word just by looking at the first letter. This means that the first letter of the word must be unique among the six words. The words and their first letters are:

- cat (c)
- $\quad \operatorname{dog}(\mathrm{d})$
- has (h)
- $\quad \max (\mathrm{m})$
- $\quad \operatorname{dim}(d)$
- $\quad \operatorname{tag}(\mathrm{t})$

From the first letters, only " c ", " h ", and " m " are unique. So, the possible words are cat, has, and max.
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2. Bernard knows the word after Albert's answer. This means that the second letter of the word must be unique among the remaining possible words. The second letters are:

- cat (a)
- has (a)
- $\quad \max (a)$

Since all the second letters are the same (a), Bernard would not have been able to tell which word it was. There must be some mistake in the question or the given information.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B08.3

Let's analyze the given information step by step:

1. The teacher writes six words: cat, dog, has, max, dim, tag
2. Albert, Bernard, and Cheryl each get a letter from one of the words.

Now, let's look at the words and their unique letters:

1. cat -a, t
dog - d, o, g
has - h, s
max - m, x
dim - i
tag - no unique letters
When the teacher asks Albert if he knows the word, he replies "yes." This means that Albert must have a unique letter from one of the words. This narrows it down to:
2. cat
3. dog
4. has
5. $\max$
6. dim

Now, when the teacher asks Bernard if he knows the word, he thinks for a moment and then replies "yes." This means that Bernard must also have a unique letter from one of the remaining words:

1. cat - t
2. $\quad \operatorname{dog}-\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{g}$
3. has -h, s
4. $\quad \max -\mathrm{x}$
5. $\operatorname{dim}-\mathrm{i}$

Finally, the teacher asks Cheryl if she knows the word. She thinks and then replies "yes." This means that Cheryl must also have a unique letter from one of the remaining words:

| 1. | cat -t |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | $\operatorname{dog}-\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{g}$ |
| 3. | has $-\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{s}$ |
| 4. | $\max -\mathrm{x}$ |
| 5. | $\operatorname{dim}-\mathrm{i}$ |

The only word in the list that has three unique letters is "dog." Albert must have received the letter 'd,' Bernard the letter 'o,' and Cheryl the letter 'g.' So, the word is "dog."

## Correct

## Bard - Response B08.1

The answer is dog.
Albert knows the word immediately because he has the letter o. This letter is only in the words cat and dog. Since Albert knows the word, we can eliminate cat from the list of possible words.
Bernard thinks for a moment before answering yes. This means that he has the letter g. This letter is only in the words dog and has. Since Bernard knows the word, we can eliminate has from the list of possible words.
Cheryl thinks for a moment before answering yes. This means that she has the letter d . This letter is only in the word dog. Therefore, the word must be dog.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B08.2

The answer is dog.
Albert knows the word immediately because he has the letter o. This letter is only in the words cat and dog, so he knows that the word must be one of those two.

Bernard thinks for a moment because he has the letter g. This letter is in the words dog and has, so he doesn't know which word it is. However, he knows that Albert knows the word, so he knows that the word cannot be cat. This is because Albert would have been able to figure out the word if it was cat.
Cheryl thinks for a moment because she has the letter d. This letter is in the words cat, dog, and has. However, she knows that Bernard knows the word, so she knows that the word cannot be cat or has. This is because Bernard would have been able to figure out the word if it was cat or has.
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## Therefore, the only word that is left is dog. <br> Correct

## Bard - Response B08. 3

The answer is dog.
We can deduce the answer by using logic. We know that Albert knows the word immediately, so it must be a word that can be spelled with one letter. The only words that can be spelled with one letter are $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}$, and x . We can eliminate $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{and} \mathrm{x}$ because they are also letters in other words on the board. This leaves $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{d}$, and t .
We know that Bernard takes a moment to think, so it must be a word that can be spelled with two letters. The only words that can be spelled with two letters are ha, da, he, di, ht, and dx. We can eliminate ha, da, he, and di because they are also letters in other words on the board. This leaves ht and dx. We know that Cheryl takes a moment to think, so it must be a word that can be spelled with three letters. The only words that can be spelled with three letters are dog and dx. We can eliminate dx because it is not a word on the board. This leaves dog.
Therefore, the word is dog.

## Correct
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There are three people (Alex, Ben and Cody), one of whom is a knight, one a knave, and one a spy. The knight always tells the truth, the knave always lies, and the spy can either lie or tell the truth. Alex says: "Cody is a knave." Ben says: "Alex is a knight." Cody says: "I am the spy." Who is the knight, who the knave, and who the spy?
Correct answer: Alex: knight, Ben: spy, Cody: knave. We know Ben isn't the knight, because a knight is always telling the truth and if he was, there would be two knights; so Ben could be either the knave or the spy. Cody also can't be the knight, because then his statement would be a lie. So that must mean Alex is the knight. Ben, therefore, must be the spy, since the spy sometimes tells the truth; leaving Cody as the knave.

Source: [1]


#### Abstract

ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B09.1 To solve this puzzle, we need to analyze the statements made by each person and determine whether they are true or false based on the assumptions given about knights, knaves, and spies. Let's start with Alex's statement: "Cody is a knave." If Alex is the knight, then this statement must be true, which means Cody is indeed a knave. However, if Alex is the knave or the spy, then this statement must be false, which means Cody is not a knave. So we can conclude that if Alex is the knight, then Cody is the knave, and if Alex is the knave or the spy, then Cody is not the knave. Next, let's look at Ben's statement: "Alex is a knight." If Ben is the knight, then this statement must be true, which means Alex is indeed a knight. However, if Ben is the knave or the spy, then this statement must be false, which means Alex is not a knight. So we can conclude that if Ben is the knight, then Alex is the knight, and if Ben is the knave or the spy, then Alex is not the knight. Finally, let's consider Cody's statement: "I am the spy." If Cody is the knight or the knave, then this statement must be false, which means Cody is not the spy. However, if Cody is the spy, then this statement can be either true or false, since the spy can lie or tell the truth. So we cannot determine for sure whether Cody is the spy or not based on this statement alone. Now let's put all of this information together. If Alex is the knight, then Cody is the knave and Ben is either the spy or the knave. If Ben is the knight, then Alex is the knight and Cody is either the spy or the knave. If Cody is the spy, then we cannot determine for sure whether Alex or Ben is the knight and whether Cody is the knave or not. Therefore, we cannot conclusively determine who is the knight, who is the knave, and who is the spy based on the information provided.

\section*{NOT Correct}

\section*{ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B09.2}

Let's assume that Alex is speaking the truth. Then, Cody must be a knave because if Cody were the knight or the spy, he would also say that Alex is a knight. Since the knave always lies, he would say the opposite, which is that Alex is a knave. Now let's assume that Alex is lying. This means that Cody is not a knave, and since the knave always lies, Cody must be either the knight or the spy. If Cody were the knight, he would say that Alex is a knave, which contradicts Ben's statement. Therefore, Cody must be the spy, and he can choose to tell the truth or lie. If he is telling the truth, then Alex is a knave and Ben is also a knave. However, if Cody is lying, then Alex is the knight and Ben is the knave. So, the possible scenarios are: Alex is telling the truth, Cody is the knave, and Ben is the spy. Alex is lying, Cody is the spy (lying), and either Alex (knight) or Ben (knave) could be telling the truth. Therefore, we cannot determine for sure who the knight, knave, and spy are without additional information.


## NOT Correct

ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B09.3
Let's start by assuming that Alex is the knight, Ben is the knave, and Cody is the spy.
If Alex is the knight, then his statement "Cody is a knave" must be true. This means that Cody cannot be the knight since the knight always tells the truth. If Cody is the knave, then his statement "I am the spy" must be false, but this contradicts the fact that the knave always lies. Therefore, Cody must be the spy, who can either lie or tell the truth.
Now, let's look at Ben's statement "Alex is a knight." If Ben is the knave, then his statement must be false, which means that Alex is not a knight. This contradicts our initial assumption that Alex is the knight, so we can conclude that Ben is not the knave. This means that Ben is either the knight or the spy.
If Ben is the spy, then his statement "Alex is a knight" could be either true or false, as the spy can either lie or tell the truth. This means that we cannot determine whether Alex is a knight or not, which contradicts the fact that there is only one knight. Therefore, Ben must be the knight, and Alex must be the knave.
To summarize:

- Alex is the knave
- Ben is the knight
- Cody is the spy

NOT Correct
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```
Let's analyze each statement:
1. If Alex is the knight (always telling the truth), then Cody would indeed be a knave. Ben, who says "Alex is a knight," would also be telling
the truth, but there can be only one knight, so this scenario isn't possible
2. If Alex is the knave (always lying), then Cody cannot be a knave since Alex's statement "Cody is a knave" would be a lie. This would
mean Cody is either the knight or the spy. But since the knight always tells the truth and Cody says "I am the spy," Cody cannot be the knight. So,
Cody would have to be the spy. In this case, Ben, who says "Alex is a knight," would be lying, so Ben would be the knave. This scenario is consistent
with the given information.
3. If Alex is the spy, he could be lying or telling the truth, but since there can be only one spy, this scenario isn't possible either.
So, the only possible scenario is:
- Alex is the knave (always lies).
- Ben is the knight (always tells the truth)
Cody is the spy (can lie or tell the truth)
NOT Correct
```


## ChatGPT-4 - Response B09.2

Let's examine each person's statement:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Alex says: "Cody is a knave." } \\
& \text { Ben says: "Alex is a knight." } \\
& \text { Cody says: "I am the spy." }
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let's analyze the possibilities:
If Alex is the knight (always tells the truth), then Cody must be the knave, and Ben would be the spy. This scenario is consistent with Ben's statement. However, if Cody is indeed the knave, his statement "I am the spy" would be a lie, which creates a contradiction as the knave cannot claim to be a spy.
If Ben is the knight (always tells the truth), then Alex must be the spy, and Cody must be the knave. This situation works with all three statements, as Alex, being a spy, can tell the truth about Cody being the knave, and Cody's claim to be the spy would be a lie, which is consistent with him being a knave

If Cody is the knight (always tells the truth), this would mean that his statement "I am the spy" is true, which is a contradiction since a knight cannot claim to be a spy.
So, the only consistent possibility is that Ben is the knight, Alex is the spy, and Cody is the knave

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B09.3

Let's consider the statements made by each person:

1. Alex says: "Cody is a knave."
2. Ben says: "Alex is a knight."
3. Cody says: "I am the spy."

We'll analyze each possibility:
Case 1: If Alex is the knight, then his statement "Cody is a knave" would be true. This would make Ben the spy, as he tells the truth about Alex being the knight. However, this would contradict Cody's statement, since the spy's statement should not necessarily be false, but Cody's statement would be false in this case. This scenario is not possible.
Case 2: If Ben is the knight, then his statement "Alex is a knight" would be true, which is a contradiction since there can only be one knight. This scenario is not possible.
Case 3: If Cody is the knight, then his statement "I am the spy" would be false, which contradicts the fact that the knight always tells the truth. This scenario is not possible.
Since none of the above cases work, we must reconsider our approach. Let's assume that the spy is making a true statement:
Case 4: If Alex is the spy and tells the truth, then his statement "Cody is a knave" would be true. This would make Ben the knight, as he tells the truth about Alex being the spy. Cody would be the knave, which aligns with Alex's statement. This scenario is consistent and possible.
Therefore, based on the analysis, we can conclude that Alex is the spy, Ben is the knight, and Cody is the knave.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response B09.1

The answer is:

| - | Knight: Alex |
| :--- | :--- |
| - | Knave: Cody |
| - | Spy: Ben |

Explanation:

- Alex says: "Cody is a knave."
- Ben says: "Alex is a knight."
- Cody says: "I am the spy."

If Alex is a knight, then his statement about Cody must be true. This means that Cody is a knave.
If Ben is a knight, then his statement about Alex must be true. This means that Alex is a knight, which is a contradiction.
If Cody is a knight, then his statement about himself must be true. This means that he is not a spy, which is also a contradiction.
Therefore, Alex must be the knight, Cody must be the knave, and Ben must be the spy.
Here is a more detailed explanation of the logic:

- Knight: Always tells the truth.
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```
Correct
Bard - Response B09.2
Alex is the knight, Cody is the knave, and Ben is the spy.
- If Alex is a knight, then his statement "Cody is a knave" is true.
- If Ben is a knight, then his statement "Alex is a knight" is true, which would mean that there are two knights. This is impossible, so Ben cannot be a knight.
- If Cody is a knight, then his statement "I am the spy" is false, which means that he is not a knight.
Therefore, Alex is the knight, Cody is the knave, and Ben is the spy.
```


## Correct

## Bard - Response B09.3

Alex is the knight, Cody is the knave, and Ben is the spy.

- Alex says that Cody is a knave. This could be true or false.
- Ben says that Alex is a knight. This could be true or false.
- Cody says that he is the spy. This could be true or false.

We can eliminate Ben as the knight, because if he was the knight, then his statement about Alex would be true, which would mean that there would be two knights. This is impossible, so Ben must be either the knave or the spy.
We can also eliminate Cody as the knight, because if he was the knight, then his statement about himself would be true, which would mean that he is not a spy. This is a contradiction, so Cody must be either the knave or the spy.
Therefore, Alex must be the knight, Cody must be the knave, and Ben must be the spy.
Here is a more detailed explanation of the logic:

- Alex says that Cody is a knave. This could be true or false.
- Ben says that Alex is a knight. This could be true or false.
- Cody says that he is the spy. This could be true or false.
- If Ben is the knight, then his statement about Alex would be true. This would mean that there would be two knights. This is impossible, so Ben must be either the knave or the spy.
- If Cody is the knight, then his statement about himself would be true. This would mean that he is not a spy. This is a contradiction, so Cody must be either the knave or the spy.
- Therefore, Alex must be the knight, Cody must be the knave, and Ben must be the spy.

Correct
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Source: [2]

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B10.1

In a round-robin tournament with three players, each game involves two players, so the total number of games played is: (number of games with Kenny) + (number of games with Abby) + (number of games with Ned) $=8+12+14=34$
Each game has a winner and a loser. Since Abby played 12 games and won the last 7 games, she must have won 5 games before that. This means she lost 7 games earlier in the tournament. Similarly, Kenny won 8 games, so he lost $26-8=18$ games earlier in the tournament, and Ned lost 14-2=12 games earlier in the tournament.
Now, let's consider the 7 games that Abby won at the end of the tournament. She played against the player who sat out each time, so she played against each of the other two players twice during this sequence. The only way she could have played the last 7 games is if she won the 4th game, which means she beat Ned, who had played the previous game against Kenny. Therefore, Abby won the fourth game against Ned.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B10.2

Let's start by finding the total number of games played.
Each game involves two players, so the total number of games played will be half the total number of times they played.
Kenny played 8 games, Abby played 12 games, and Ned played 14 games, so the total number of games played was:
$(8+12+14) / 2=17$
There were 17 games played, and each player played a different number of games, so there must have been one game in which Abby, Kenny, and Ned all played.

Abby played the last 7 games, so the game in which all three players played must have been the 10 th game.
Now we can work backwards to figure out who played in the 4th game.
In the 9th game, Abby played against the winner of the 8th game. Since Abby won the 9th game, we know she did not play in the 8th game, so Kenny and Ned must have played in the 8th game.
In the 7th game, Abby played against the winner of the 6th game. Since Abby won the 7th game, we know she did not play in the 6th game, so the person who won the 6th game (let's call them Player A) must have played against Ned in the 5th game.
In the 4th game, Player A played against Kenny.
So the answer is that Player A won the fourth game against Kenny.
NOT Correct
ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B10.3
Let's assume that Abby won the first game, so she would play in every game after that until the end, as she played the last seven straight games.
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So Abby played 12 games in total, which means she won 11 games (since she won the first game).
Kenny played eight games, so he won seven games.
Ned played 14 games, so he won 13 games.
We know that each game has a winner and a loser. So the total number of wins must equal the total number of losses.
The total number of wins is:
$11($ Abby $)+7($ Kenny $)+13($ Ned $)=31$
The total number of losses is:
$12($ Abby $)+8($ Kenny $)+14($ Ned $)=34$
So there were 34 losses, which means there were 34 winners.
If we assume that Abby won the first game, then she would have won games $1,3,5,7,9,11$, and 13 . That accounts for seven of the 34 wins.

Kenny won seven games, which means he would have won games $2,4,6,8,10,12$, and one more game.
Ned won 13 games, which means he would have won games 14 and six more games.
To account for all 34 wins, the only possibility is that Kenny won the fourth game against Ned.
So the fourth game was played between Kenny and Ned, and Kenny won that game.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B10.1

To determine who won the fourth game and against whom, we'll first find out the order of the games played. We know that:
Kenny played 8 games.
Abby played 12 games.
Ned played 14 games
Since Abby played the last 7 straight games and played 12 games in total, she must have played 5 games before her 7 -game streak. We can also determine the games played by Kenny and Ned before Abby's streak
Kenny played 3 games before Abby's streak ( 8 games total - 5 games during Abby's streak).
Ned played 7 games before Abby's streak ( 14 games total -7 games during Abby's streak).
The order of games before Abby's streak can be determined by alternating winners, as the winner stays on the court:
Kenny vs. Abby (Kenny wins)
Kenny vs. Ned (Kenny wins)
Kenny vs. Abby (Abby wins)
Abby vs. Ned
Since we know that Abby won the fourth game against Ned, that means the fourth game was played between Abby and Ned, with Abby as the winner.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B10.2

We know that in a round-robin format, the winner stays on after each game to play the person who sat out that game. To reach the total number of games played, we can add the games played by each person: $8+12+14=34$. Since there are two players in each game, we divide 34 by 2 to find the total number of games played: $34 / 2=17$.
Abby played the last seven games, meaning there were 17-7=10 games played before she went on her streak. Abby played 12 games in total, so she must have played 12-7=5 games before her streak started.
The game count for each player before Abby's streak is as follows:
Kenny: 8 games
Abby: 5 games
Ned: 10-5 = 5 games
Now let's determine who played the fourth game:
Kenny vs. Abby (Abby wins)
Abby vs. Ned (Ned wins)
Ned vs. Kenny (Kenny wins)
Kenny vs. Abby
So, in the fourth game, Kenny played against Abby.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B10.3

Let's use K for Kenny, A for Abby, and N for Ned. We know the following
Kenny played 8 games.
Abby played 12 games.
Ned played 14 games.

## Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

We also know that Abby played the last 7 straight games, meaning that in those last 7 games, the loser must have alternated between Kenny and Ned.
Since Kenny played 8 games, he must have played one game before those last 7 games, which means he played in the first game.
Now, since Abby played 12 games, she must have played 5 games before those last 7 games. So, she played games $1,3,4,6$, and 7 . Ned played 14 games, which means he played 7 games before those last 7 games. So, Ned played games 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 .
Now, let's determine who won each game:
Game 1: Kenny vs. Abby
Game 2: Winner of Game 1 vs. Ned
Game 3: Winner of Game 2 vs. Abby
Game 4: Winner of Game 3 vs. Ned
Game 5: Winner of Game 4 vs. Abby
Game 6: Winner of Game 5 vs. Ned
Game 7: Winner of Game 6 vs. Abby
Game 8: Winner of Game 7 vs. Ned
Since Abby played 12 games, she must have won Game 7 against Ned. Therefore, she played Ned again in Game 8. Since Abby played the last 7 straight games, we can conclude that she won Game 8 as well.
Now, we can work backward to determine the winner of Game 4:
Game 8: Abby won against Ned
Game 7: Abby won against Ned
Game 6: Abby won against Ned
Game 5: Abby won against Ned
Game 4: Abby won against Ned
So, Abby won the fourth game against Ned.
NOT Correct

## Bard - Response B10.1

We know that Abby played the last seven straight games, so we can account for all 12 of her games:
Game 1: Abby vs. Ned
Game 2: Abby vs. Kenny
Game 3: Ned vs. Kenny
Game 4: Abby vs. Ned
Game 5: Abby vs. Kenny
Game 6: Ned vs. Kenny
Game 7: Abby vs. Ned
Game 8: Abby vs. Kenny
Game 9: Ned vs. Kenny
Game 10: Abby vs. Ned
Game 11: Abby vs. Kenny
Game 12: Ned vs. Kenny
Since Abby played the last seven games, we know that Ned and Kenny played each other in the first three games. We also know that Kenny played eight games total, so he must have lost all of his games. Therefore, Ned won all of his games against Kenny, including the fourth game.
Therefore, Ned won the fourth game against Kenny
Correct

## Bard - Response B10.2

We know that Abby played the last seven straight games, so she must have played games $12,13,14,15,16,17$, and 18 . This means that she also played games $1,3,5,7,9$, and 11 , since she played every other game. This accounts for all 12 of her games.

Ned played 14 games, so he must have played games $2,4,6,8,10$, and 12 . He also played games $13,14,15,16,17$, and 18 .
Kenny played 8 games, so he must have played games $1,3,5,7,9,11,13$, and 15 .
Therefore, Ned won the fourth game against Kenny.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B10.3

Since Abby played the last seven straight games, we know that she played games $12,13,14,15,16,17$, and 18 . This means that she also played games $1,3,5,7,9$, and 11, since she played every other game. This accounts for all 12 of her games.
We know that Ned played 14 games, so he must have played games $2,4,6,8,10$, and 12 , as well as the first three games of the second round.
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\#B11

The distance between two towns is 380 km . At the same moment, a passenger car and a truck start moving towards each other from different towns. They meet 4 hours later. If the car drives $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ faster than the truck, what are their speeds?

Correct answer: Truck's speed: 45 km/hr, Car's speed: 50 km/hr. The main idea used in this kind of problems is that the distance equals speed multiplied by time, $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{t}$. Let the truck speed be $x(k m / h r)$. Then the car speed is $x+5$. Both take 4 hr time. The distance for the car is $4^{*}(x+5)$ and for the truck it is $4 * x$. As a result, $4(x+5)+4 x=380=>x=45$.

Source: [3]

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B11.1

Let's call the speed of the truck "s" km/h.
We know from the problem that the car drives $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ faster than the truck, so its speed is "s +5 " $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$.
We also know that they start from different towns and travel towards each other, so the total distance they cover is 380 km .
We can use the formula:
distance $=$ rate x time
To find their speeds, we need to solve for " $s$ " in the equation:
$380 \mathrm{~km}=(\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{s}+5) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h} \times 4$ hours
Simplifying:
$380 \mathrm{~km}=(2 \mathrm{~s}+5) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h} x 4$ hours
Dividing both sides by 4 :
$95 \mathrm{~km}=2 \mathrm{~s}+5$
Subtracting 5 from both sides:
$90 \mathrm{~km}=2 \mathrm{~s}$
Dividing both sides by 2 :
$\mathrm{s}=45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
So the speed of the truck is $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and the speed of the car is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (since it's $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ faster).
Therefore, the truck's speed is $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and the car's speed is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B11.2

## Let's call the speed of the truck "x" km/hr.

We know from the problem that the car drives $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ faster than the truck, so the speed of the car is " $\mathrm{x}+5$ " $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$.
When they start moving towards each other, the total distance they need to cover is 380 km . We can use the formula:
distance $=$ rate $\times$ time
Let's assume that they meet at a point " P " that is " d " km from the starting point of the truck.
Then, we can write two equations:
distance covered by the truck:
$\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{x} * \mathrm{t}$
distance covered by the car:
$380-\mathrm{d}=(\mathrm{x}+5) * \mathrm{t}$
where " t " is the time they traveled until they met.
We know from the problem that they meet after 4 hours, so we can substitute $t=4$ into the equations:
$\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{x}$
$380-d=4(x+5)$
Simplifying:
$\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{x}$
$380-\mathrm{d}=4 \mathrm{x}+20$
Adding the two equations:
$380=8 \mathrm{x}+20$
Solving for x :
$8 \mathrm{x}=360$
$\mathrm{x}=45$
So the speed of the truck is $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.
The speed of the car is $x+5=45+5=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.
Therefore, the truck's speed is $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and the car's speed is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.

## Correct

ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B11.3

## Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

We know from the problem that the car drives $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ faster than the truck, so the speed of the car is "x +5 " $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$.
We also know that the two vehicles travel towards each other, so their combined speed is the sum of their individual speeds.
We can use the formula:
distance $=$ speed x time
to set up an equation for the total distance between the two towns:
$380 \mathrm{~km}=(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{x}+5) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h} \mathrm{x} 4$ hours
Simplifying:
$380 \mathrm{~km}=(2 \mathrm{x}+5) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h} \times 4$ hours
Dividing both sides by 4 :
$95 \mathrm{~km}=(2 \mathrm{x}+5) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$
Simplifying:
$2 x+5=95$
Subtracting 5 from both sides:
$2 \mathrm{x}=90$
Dividing both sides by 2 :
$\mathrm{x}=45$
So the speed of the truck is $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
The speed of the car is $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ faster, so:
$\mathrm{x}+5=45+5=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
Therefore, the speed of the car is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
Thus, the truck and the car travel towards each other with speeds of $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, respectively.
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B11.1

Let's denote the speed of the truck as $x \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and the speed of the car as $x+5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, since the car is $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ faster than the truck.
They travel towards each other, and they meet after 4 hours. The sum of the distances they cover is equal to the distance between the two towns, which is 380 km .
So, the truck travels $4 * x \mathrm{~km}$, and the car travels $4 *(x+5) \mathrm{km}$. The sum of these distances is equal to 380 km :
$4 x+4(x+5)=380$
Expanding the equation, we get:
$4 x+4 x+20=380$
Combining the terms, we get:
$8 x=360$
Now, we can solve for $x$ :
$\mathrm{x}=360 / 8$
$x=45$
The speed of the truck is $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Since the car is $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ faster than the truck, the speed of the car is:
$x+5=45+5=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
So, the car's speed is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and the truck's speed is $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B11.2

Let's denote the speed of the car as " $c$ " and the speed of the truck as " t ". We are given that the car drives $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ faster than the truck, so we can write this relationship as:
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{t}+5$
We know that they meet after 4 hours, and the total distance between the two towns is 380 km . The sum of the distances covered by the car and the truck in those 4 hours is equal to the distance between the two towns:
$4 \mathrm{c}+4 \mathrm{t}=380$
Now, we can substitute the value of $c$ from the first equation into the second equation:
$4(t+5)+4 t=380$
Expanding and simplifying, we get:
$4 t+20+4 t=380$
$8 \mathrm{t}=360$
Now, we can solve for t :
$\mathrm{t}=360 / 8$
$\mathrm{t}=45$
Now that we know the speed of the truck, we can find the speed of the car:
$\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{t}+5=45+5=50$
So, the car's speed is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, and the truck's speed is $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B11.3

```
Let's use the variables c and t for the speed of the car and the truck, respectively. We know that the car is driving 5 km/h faster than the truck, so
c}=\textrm{t}+
They are driving towards each other, so their combined speed is the sum of their individual speeds:
combined speed = c+t
They meet after 4 hours. We can use the formula distance = speed }\times\mathrm{ time to find the combined speed:
distance = 380 km
time = 4 hours
combined speed = 380 km / 4 hours = 95 km/h
Now, we have:
c}+\textrm{t}=9
c=t+5
We can substitute the value of c from the second equation into the first equation:
t+5+t=95
Combining the terms, we get
2t=90
Now, we can solve for t:
t= 90 / 2
t=45 km/h
Now that we know the speed of the truck, we can find the speed of the car:
c}=\textrm{t}+5=45+5=50\textrm{km}/\textrm{h
So, the speed of the car is 50 km/h, and the speed of the truck is }45\textrm{km}/\textrm{h}\mathrm{ .
```

Correct

## Bard - Response B11.1

Let $x$ be the speed of the truck. Then the speed of the car is $x+5$. The two vehicles travel a total of 380 km in 4 hours, so their combined speed is 380 $\mathrm{km} / 4$ hours $=95 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. This can be expressed in the following equation:
$x+(x+5)=95$
Combining like terms, we get $2 x+5=95$. Subtracting 5 from both sides, we get $2 x=90$. Dividing both sides by 2 , we get $x=45$.
Therefore, the speed of the truck is $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and the speed of the car is $45+5=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B11.2

The car and truck are moving towards each other, so their speeds add together. They meet 4 hours later, so they have traveled a total of 380 km in 4 hours. This means that their combined speed is $380 \mathrm{~km} / 4$ hours $=95 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.
The car is driving $5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ faster than the truck, so the car's speed is $95 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}+5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The truck's speed is $95 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}-5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}=90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Therefore, the car's speed is $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and the truck's speed is $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response B11.3

Let $x$ be the speed of the truck. Then the speed of the car is $x+5$. The two vehicles travel a total of 380 km in 4 hours, so we can write the equation: $x+(x+5)=380 / 4=95$
Combining like terms, we get $2 x+5=95$. Subtracting 5 from both sides, we get $2 x=90$. Dividing both sides by 2 , we get $x=45$.
Therefore, the speed of the truck is $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, and the speed of the car is $45+5=50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.

## Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

# A biker covered half the distance between two towns in 2 hr 30 min . After that he increased his speed by $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. He covered the second half of the distance in 2 hr 20 min. Find the distance between the two towns. <br> Correct answer: $\underline{140 \mathrm{~km}}$. Let $\mathrm{xkm} / \mathrm{hr}$ be the initial speed of the biker, then his speed during the second part of the trip is $x+2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. Half the distance between two cities equals $2 * 30 / 60 * x$ km and $2 * 20 / 60 *(x+2) \mathrm{km}$. From the equation: $2 * 30 / 60 * x=2 * 20 / 60 *(x+2)$ we get $\mathrm{x}=28 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. The initial speed of the biker is $28 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Half the distance between the two towns is $2 \mathrm{~h} 30 \mathrm{~min} * 28=2.5 * 28=70$. So, the distance is $2 * 70=140 \mathrm{~km}$. <br> Source: [3] 

```
ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B12.1
Let's call the total distance between the two towns "D".
We know from the problem that the biker covered half the distance in 2 hours and 30 minutes, or 2.5 hours.
Let's call the speed of the biker during this time "x" km/h.
Using the formula:
distance \(=\) speed x time
we can set up an equation for the distance covered by the biker during the first 2.5 hours:
\(0.5 \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{x} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h} \times 2.5\) hours
Simplifying:
\(0.5 \mathrm{D}=2.5 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{km}\)
Dividing both sides by 0.5 :
D \(=5 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{km}\)
Now we know that the biker increased his speed by \(2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}\) for the second half of the distance.
Let's call the new speed of the biker " \(x+2\) " km/h.
We also know from the problem that the biker covered the second half of the distance in 2 hours and 20 minutes, or 2.33 hours.
Using the formula:
distance \(=\) speed x time
we can set up an equation for the distance covered by the biker during the second 2.33 hours:
\(0.5 \mathrm{D}=(\mathrm{x}+2) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h} \times 2.33\) hours
Simplifying:
\(0.5 \mathrm{D}=2.33 \mathrm{x}+4.66 \mathrm{~km}\)
Now we can combine the two equations by substituting \(\mathrm{D}=5 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{km}\) from the first equation into the second equation:
\(5 \mathrm{x} / 2=2.33 \mathrm{x}+4.66\)
Simplifying:
\(2.67 x=4.66\)
Dividing both sides by 2.67 :
\(\mathrm{x} \approx 1.746\)
Now we can find the distance between the two towns:
\(\mathrm{D}=5 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{km} \approx 8.73 \mathrm{~km}\)
Therefore, the distance between the two towns is approximately 8.73 km .
```


## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B12.2

Let's call the distance between the two towns "d" km.
The biker covered half the distance in 2 hours and 30 minutes, which is 2.5 hours.
We can use the formula:
distance $=$ speed x time
to set up an equation for the first half of the distance:
$0.5 \mathrm{~d}=$ speed $\times 2.5$
Simplifying:
$0.5 \mathrm{~d}=2.5$ speed
Dividing both sides by 2.5 :
$0.2 \mathrm{~d}=$ speed
Now we know that the speed of the biker for the second half of the distance is $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ faster than the speed for the first half, so the speed for the second half is:
$0.2 \mathrm{~d}+2$
The biker covered the second half of the distance in 2 hours and 20 minutes, which is 2.333 hours.
We can set up another equation for the second half of the distance:
$0.5 \mathrm{~d}=(0.2 \mathrm{~d}+2) \times 2.333$

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios
Simplifying:
$0.5 \mathrm{~d}=0.4666 \mathrm{~d}+4.666$
Subtracting 0.4666 d from both sides:
$0.0334 \mathrm{~d}=4.666$
Dividing both sides by 0.0334 :
$\mathrm{d} \approx 139.521 \mathrm{~km}$
Therefore, the distance between the two towns is approximately 139.521 km
Correct*
*There are some rounding errors, but the methodology is correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B12.3

Let's call the distance between the two towns "d" km.
According to the problem, the biker covered half the distance in 2 hours 30 minutes, which is 2.5 hours:
distance $=$ speed $x$ time
$0.5 \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{s} 1 \times 2.5$
We don't know the speed of the biker for the first half of the distance, so we'll call it "s1".
Next, the biker increased his speed by $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and covered the second half of the distance in 2 hours 20 minutes, which is 2.33 hours:
distance $=$ speed $x$ time
$0.5 \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{s} 2 \times 2.33$
We don't know the speed of the biker for the second half of the distance, so we'll call it "s2".
We can set up a system of equations with these two equations:
$0.5 \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{s} 1 \times 2.5$
$0.5 \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{s} 2 \times 2.33$
We want to find the value of " d ", so we need to eliminate " s 1 " and " s 2 " from these equations.
From the first equation, we can solve for " $s 1$ ":
$\mathrm{s} 1=0.5 \mathrm{~d} \div 2.5=0.2 \mathrm{~d}$
From the second equation, we can solve for " s 2 ":
$\mathrm{s} 2=0.5 \mathrm{~d} \div 2.33 \approx 0.214 \mathrm{~d}$
Now we can use the fact that the biker increased his speed by $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to set up another equation:
$\mathrm{s} 2=\mathrm{s} 1+2$
Substituting the values of "s1" and "s2":
$0.214 d=0.2 d+2$
Simplifying:
$0.014 \mathrm{~d}=2$
Dividing both sides by 0.014 :
$\mathrm{d} \approx 142.86$
Therefore, the distance between the two towns is approximately 142.86 km .

## Correct*

*There are some rounding errors, but the methodology is correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B12.1

Let's use the variables d1 and d2 for the distances covered in the first half and the second half, respectively. Since the biker covered half the distance in each part of the trip, we know that:
$\mathrm{d} 1=\mathrm{d} 2$
Let s be the speed of the biker during the first half of the distance. Then, the biker's speed during the second half of the distance is $(\mathrm{s}+2) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$.
Using the formula distance $=$ speed $\times$ time, we can find the distance covered in each part of the trip:
$\mathrm{d} 1=\mathrm{s} \times 2.5$ hours (since 2 hr 30 min is equal to 2.5 hours)
$\mathrm{d} 2=(\mathrm{s}+2) \times 2.333$ hours (since 2 hr 20 min is equal to 2.333 hours)
Since $\mathrm{d} 1=\mathrm{d} 2$, we can set these two expressions equal to each other:
$\mathrm{s} \times 2.5=(\mathrm{s}+2) \times 2.333$
Expanding the expression on the right side, we get:
$2.5 \mathrm{~s}=2.333 \mathrm{~s}+4.666$
Subtracting 2.333 s from both sides, we get:
$0.167 \mathrm{~s}=4.666$
Now, we can solve for s :
$\mathrm{s} \approx 28 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
Now that we know the biker's speed during the first half of the distance, we can find d1:
$\mathrm{d} 1=28 \times 2.5=70 \mathrm{~km}$
Since $\mathrm{d} 1=\mathrm{d} 2$, the total distance between the two towns is:
Total distance $=\mathrm{d} 1+\mathrm{d} 2=70+70=140 \mathrm{~km}$
So, the distance between the two towns is 140 km .

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B12.2

Let the distance between the two towns be D km . The biker covered half the distance in 2 hr 30 min , so the first half of the distance is $\mathrm{D} / 2 \mathrm{~km}$. The time he took to cover the first half is 2 hr 30 min , which is equivalent to 2.5 hours.
Let his initial speed be $S \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. Using the formula distance $=$ speed $\times$ time, we can write the equation for the first half of the distance:
$\mathrm{D} / 2=\mathrm{S}$ * 2.5
After covering the first half, the biker increased his speed by $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. So, his new speed is $(\mathrm{S}+2) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$. He covered the second half of the distance (also $\mathrm{D} / 2 \mathrm{~km}$ ) in 2 hr 20 min , which is equivalent to 2.33 hours ( 20 minutes $=20 / 60=1 / 3$ hour). Using the distance formula again
$\mathrm{D} / 2=(\mathrm{S}+2) * 2.33$
Now we have two equations:
$\mathrm{D} / 2=2.5 \mathrm{~S}$
$\mathrm{D} / 2=2.33(\mathrm{~S}+2)$
We can first solve Equation 1 for D:
D $=5 \mathrm{~S}$
Now, substitute the value of D in Equation 2:
$5 \mathrm{~S} / 2=2.33(\mathrm{~S}+2)$
Multiply both sides by 2 to get rid of the fraction:
$5 \mathrm{~S}=4.66(\mathrm{~S}+2)$
Expand the equation:
$5 \mathrm{~S}=4.66 \mathrm{~S}+9.32$
Subtract 4.66S from both sides:
$0.34 \mathrm{~S}=9.32$
Now, we can solve for S :
$\mathrm{S}=9.32 / 0.34$
$\mathrm{S} \approx 27.41 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$
Now that we know the initial speed, we can find the distance using $\mathrm{D}=5 \mathrm{~S}$ :
$\mathrm{D} \approx 5$ * 27.41
D $\approx 137.05 \mathrm{~km}$
So, the distance between the two towns is approximately 137.05 km .
Correct*
*There are some rounding errors, but the methodology is correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B12.3

Let's denote the biker's initial speed as $\mathrm{xkm} / \mathrm{hr}$. Then, after increasing the speed by $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, the biker's speed becomes $\mathrm{x}+2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.
For the first half of the distance, the biker took 2 hours and 30 minutes, which is equal to 2.5 hours. We know that distance $=$ speed $\times$ time, so for the first half, we have:
First_half_distance $=x \times 2.5$
For the second half of the distance, the biker took 2 hours and 20 minutes, which is equal to $2+(20 / 60)=2.333$ hours. Similarly, for the second half, we have:
Second_half_distance $=(x+2) \times 2.333$
Since the first half and the second half of the distance are equal, we can set these two expressions equal to each other:
$\mathrm{x} \times 2.5=(\mathrm{x}+2) \times 2.333$
Now, we can solve for x :
$2.5 \mathrm{x}=2.333 \mathrm{x}+2 \times 2.333$
Subtracting 2.333 x from both sides:
$0.167 x=2 \times 2.333$
Dividing both sides by 0.167 :
$\mathrm{x} \approx 28 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$
Now we have the initial speed of the biker, and we can find the distance of the first half:
First_half_distance $=\mathrm{x} \times 2.5=28 \times 2.5=70 \mathrm{~km}$
Since the first half and the second half are equal, the total distance between the two towns is:
Total_distance $=2 \times$ First_half_distance $=2 \times 70=140 \mathrm{~km}$
So, the distance between the two towns is 140 km .

## Correct

## Bard - Response B12.1

## The distance between the two towns is 140 km .

The biker covered half the distance in 2 hr 30 min , which is 2.5 hours. He then increased his speed by $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and covered the second half of the distance in 2 hr 20 min , which is 2.33 hours.
The average speed of the biker for the first half of the journey was $28 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The average speed of the biker for the second half of the journey was 30 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

The total distance traveled by the biker is 140 km . This is calculated by multiplying the average speed of the biker for the first half of the journey by the time taken to cover the first half of the journey, and then adding the average speed of the biker for the second half of the journey by the time taken to cover the second half of the journey.
The total distance traveled by the biker is therefore 140 km .

## Correct

## Bard - Response B12.2

The biker covered half the distance in $2 \mathrm{hr} 30 \mathrm{~min}=2.5 \mathrm{hr}$.
Let his initial speed be $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$.
Then, $\mathrm{x} * 2.5=\mathrm{d} / 2$
or, $x=d / 5$
After that, he increased his speed by $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.
So, his new speed $=(x+2) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}$.
He covered the second half of the distance in $2 \mathrm{hr} 20 \mathrm{~min}=2.33 \mathrm{hr}$.
Then, $(x+2) * 2.33=\mathrm{d} / 2$
or, $x+2=\mathrm{d} / 4.66$
or, $x=d / 4.66-2$
Substituting the value of $x$ in the first equation, we get
$\mathrm{d} / 5=\mathrm{d} / 4.66-2$
or, $\mathrm{d} / 4.66-\mathrm{d} / 5=2$
or, $0.34 \mathrm{~d}=2 * 4.66 * 5$
or, $\mathrm{d}=2 * 4.66 * 5 / 0.34$
or, $\mathrm{d}=133 \mathrm{~km}$
Therefore, the distance between the two towns is 133 km .

## Correct*

*There are some rounding errors, but the methodology is correct

## Bard - Response B12.3

The biker covered half the distance between two towns in 2 hr 30 min , or 2.5 hours. Let x be the distance between the two towns, so he covered $\mathrm{x} / 2$ in 2.5 hours. His speed for the first half of the journey was therefore $(x / 2) / 2.5=\mathrm{x} / 5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.

He then increased his speed by $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, so his speed for the second half of the journey was $\mathrm{x} / 5+2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. He covered the second half of the distance in 2 hr 20 min , or 2.33 hours. Therefore, he covered $\mathrm{x} / 2$ in 2.33 hours at a speed of $\mathrm{x} / 5+2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$
We can set up an equation to solve for $x$ :
$\mathrm{x} / 2=(\mathrm{x} / 5+2) * 2.33$
$\mathrm{x} / 2=2.33 * \mathrm{x} / 5+4.66$
$x=4.66^{*} 10=46.6$
Therefore, the distance between the two towns is 46.6 km
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Rhonda has 12 marbles more than Douglas. Douglas has 6 marbles more than Bertha. Rhonda has twice as many marbles as Bertha has. How many marbles does Douglas have?

Correct answer: $\underline{\mathbf{2 4}}$. Douglas has 24, Bertha has 18, and Rhonda has 36 .
Source: [4]

```
ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B13.1
Let's represent the number of marbles that Bertha has as "B". Then we can use the information in the problem to write equations that relate the number
of marbles that Rhonda, Douglas, and Bertha have:
Rhonda = Douglas + 12
Douglas = Bertha + 6
Rhonda = 2*B
We can use the second equation to substitute for "Douglas" in the first equation:
Rhonda = (Bertha + 6) + 12
Rhonda = Bertha + 18
We can then substitute this expression for "Rhonda" in the third equation:
Bertha +18=2*B
Solving for B, we get:
B = 18
So Bertha has }18\mathrm{ marbles. Using the second equation, we can find that Douglas has:
Douglas = Bertha +6=18+6=24
Therefore, Douglas has 24 marbles.
```


## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B13.2

Let's use variables to represent the number of marbles each person has:
Let $x$ be the number of marbles that Bertha has.
Then, Douglas has 6 more marbles than Bertha, so Douglas has $x+6$ marbles.
And Rhonda has 12 more marbles than Douglas, so Rhonda has $x+6+12=x+18$ marbles.
Finally, Rhonda has twice as many marbles as Bertha, so $\mathrm{x}+18=2 \mathrm{x}$.
Now we can solve for x :
$\mathrm{x}+18=2 \mathrm{x}$
$18=\mathrm{x}$
Therefore, Bertha has $x=18$ marbles, Douglas has $x+6=18+6=24$ marbles, and Rhonda has $x+18=18+18=36$ marbles.
So, Douglas has 24 marbles.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B13.3

Let's use variables to represent the number of marbles each person has:
Let $x$ be the number of marbles that Bertha has.
Then, Douglas has $x+6$ marbles.
And Rhonda has $x+6+12=x+18$ marbles (since she has 12 more than Douglas).
We also know that Rhonda has twice as many marbles as Bertha, so $\mathrm{x}+18=2 \mathrm{x}$.
To solve for x , we can substitute the second equation into the fourth equation:
$x+18=2(x+6)$
$x+18=2 x+12$
$\mathrm{x}=6$
So Bertha has $\mathrm{x}=6$ marbles, Douglas has $\mathrm{x}+6=12$ marbles, and Rhonda has $\mathrm{x}+18=24$ marbles. Therefore, Douglas has 12 marbles.

```
NOT Correct
```


## ChatGPT-4 - Response B13.1

Let R, D, and B represent the number of marbles Rhonda, Douglas, and Bertha have, respectively.
We are given the following information:
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{D}+12$ (Rhonda has 12 marbles more than Douglas)
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{B}+6$ (Douglas has 6 marbles more than Bertha)

```
R =2B (Rhonda has twice as many marbles as Bertha)
Now, we can use these equations to solve for Douglas's marbles (D).
First, we can substitute equation (2) into equation (1) to eliminate D:
R=(B+6)+12
R=B+18
```

Next, we can substitute equation (3) into this new equation to eliminate $R$ :
$2 \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{B}+18$
Now we can solve for B:
B $=18$
Using equation (2), we can now find $D$ :
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{B}+6$
D $=18+6$
D $=24$
Douglas has 24 marbles.
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B13.2

Let's denote the number of marbles Rhonda, Douglas, and Bertha have as R, D, and B, respectively. We are given:
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{D}+12$
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{B}+6$
$\mathrm{R}=2$ * B
We need to find the value of D. First, let's substitute equation (2) into equation (1) to eliminate D:
$\mathrm{R}=(\mathrm{B}+6)+12$
Now, let's substitute equation (3) into the modified equation (1) to eliminate R:
$2 * B=B+6+12$
This simplifies to:
B $=18$
Now, we can find the number of marbles Douglas has by substituting the value of $B$ back into equation (2):
D $=18+6=24$
So, Douglas has 24 marbles.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B13.3

Let's use algebra to solve this problem. Let R, D, and B represent the number of marbles Rhonda, Douglas, and Bertha have, respectively.
We have three equations:
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{D}+12$
$D=B+6$
$\mathrm{R}=2 \mathrm{~B}$
From equation (2), we can rewrite equation (1) in terms of $B$ :
$R=(B+6)+12$
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{B}+18$
Now, we can substitute equation (3) into the equation above:
$2 \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{B}+18$
Subtract B from both sides:
B $=18$
Now that we know Bertha has 18 marbles, we can find the number of marbles Douglas has using equation (2):
$D=B+6$
D $=18+6$
D $=24$
Douglas has 24 marbles.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B13.1*

Rhonda has 12 marbles more than Douglas.
Douglas has 6 marbles more than Bertha.
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Rhonda has twice as many marbles as Bertha has.
Let $x$ be the number of marbles that Douglas has.
Then Rhonda has $x+12$ marbles and Bertha has $x-6$ marbles.
Since Rhonda has twice as many marbles as Bertha, we have $x+12=2(x-6)$.
Solving for x , we find that $\mathrm{x}=18$.
Therefore, Douglas has 18 marbles.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response B13.2

Let's start with what we know. Rhonda has 12 marbles more than Douglas. Douglas has 6 marbles more than Bertha. Rhonda has twice as many marbles as Bertha has. We can use this information to create a system of equations.
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{D}+12$
$D=B+6$
$R=2 B$
We can solve for D by first substituting the equation for R into the equation for D . This gives us:
$D=(2 B)+6$
We can then solve for $B$ by substituting the equation for $R$ into the equation for $B$. This gives us:
$\mathrm{B}=(\mathrm{R} / 2)-6$
We can then substitute the equation for $B$ into the equation for $D$. This gives us:
$\mathrm{D}=(2$ * ((R/2) - 6) $)+6$
We can then simplify this equation to:
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{R}-6$
We know that $R=12$, so we can substitute this value into the equation for $D$. This gives us:
D $=12-6$
D $=6$
Therefore, Douglas has 6 marbles.

## NOT Correct

## Bard - Response B13.3

Rhonda has 12 marbles more than Douglas. Douglas has 6 marbles more than Bertha. Rhonda has twice as many marbles as Bertha has. How many marbles does Douglas have?
Let $r$ be the number of marbles Rhonda has, $d$ be the number of marbles Douglas has, and $b$ be the number of marbles Bertha has. We know that $r=2 b, d=b+6$, and $r=d+12$. Substituting the first equation into the third equation, we $g e t 2 b=d+12$. Substituting the second equation into this equation, we get $2 b=(b+6)+12$. Simplifying, we get $b=18$. Substituting this value into the second equation, we get $\mathrm{d}=18+6=24$.
Therefore, Douglas has 24 marbles.

## Correct

* In the first try, Bard replied:
"I do not have enough information about that person to help with your request. I am a large language model, and I am able to communicate and generate human-like text in response to a wide range of prompts and questions, but my knowledge about this person is limited. Is there anything else I can do to help you with this request?"
After this, we tried again, and it gave the above three answers.
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15 workers are needed to build a wall in 12 days. How long would it take to 10 workers to build the same wall?

Correct answer: $\mathbf{1 8}$ days. If 15 workers require 12 days then it takes 180 work days ( $12 \times 15$ ) to build the wall. If it takes 180 work days to build the wall and you have 10 workers then it would take 18 days to build the wall ( $180 / 10$ ).

Source: [5] (We modified slightly the wording of the question)

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B14.1

We can use the formula:
workers $\times$ time $=$ constant
This means that the number of workers multiplied by the time they work is a constant value. Let's call this constant "K".
If 15 workers can build the wall in 12 days, we have:
$15 \times 12=\mathrm{K}$
$\mathrm{K}=180$
So the constant value for this wall is 180 .
Now we can use this constant value to find how long it would take for 10 workers to build the same wall:
$10 \times$ time $=180$
time $=180 / 10$
time $=18$
Therefore, it would take 10 workers 18 days to build the same wall.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B14.2

We can use the following formula to solve this problem:
(Number of workers) $\times$ (Number of days) $\times($ Efficiency $)=$ Constant
The constant represents the amount of work that needs to be done, which is the same for both scenarios (building the wall with 15 workers in 12 days and building the same wall with 10 workers in an unknown number of days).
Let's plug in the values we know:
For the first scenario: $15 \times 12 \times$ Efficiency $=$ Constant
For the second scenario: 10 x Days x Efficiency $=$ Constant
Since the constant is the same in both equations, we can set them equal to each other and solve for Days:
$15 \times 12 \times$ Efficiency $=10 \times$ Days $\times$ Efficiency
Simplifying the equation by dividing both sides by Efficiency:
$15 \times 12=10 \times$ Days
$180=10 \times$ Days
Dividing both sides by 10 :
$18=$ Days
Therefore, it would take 18 days for 10 workers to build the same wall.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B14.3

We can use the concept of "man-days" to solve this problem. "Man-days" is a measure of the amount of work done by one person in one day. For example, if one person works for one day, they would have completed one man-day of work.
Let's first calculate the total amount of work required to build the wall. If 15 workers can build the wall in 12 days, then the total man-days of work required would be:
15 workers x 12 days = 180 man-days
Now, we can use this to find out how long it would take 10 workers to build the same wall. Let's call the number of days it would take 10 workers to build the wall " d ". Using the concept of man-days, we can write:
10 workers x d days $=180$ man-days
Solving for " d ", we get:
$\mathrm{d}=180$ man-days $/ 10$ workers $=18$ days
Therefore, it would take 10 workers 18 days to build the same wall that 15 workers can build in 12 days.
Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B14.1

Let's use the concept of work here. If 15 workers can build the wall in 12 days, we can represent the total work done as:
Total work $=$ (number of workers) $*$ (number of days)
Total work $=15$ workers * 12 days
Now, we need to find out how many days it would take for 10 workers to do the same amount of work. We can set up a proportion to solve this:
$(15$ workers $* 12$ days $)=(10$ workers $* x$ days $)$
Now, we can solve for x :
180 days $=10$ workers $* x$ days
$\mathrm{x}=180$ days $/ 10$ workers
$\mathrm{x}=18$ days
So, it would take 10 workers 18 days to build the same wall.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B14.2

Let's use the formula for work, which is Work $=$ Number of Workers $\times$ Time taken. In this case, the amount of work done is the same for both groups of workers, so we can set up the following equation:
15 workers $\times 12$ days $=10$ workers $\times \mathrm{X}$ days
Now we need to solve for X :
180 days $=10$ workers $\times \mathrm{X}$ days
$\mathrm{X}=180$ days $/ 10$ workers
$\mathrm{X}=18$ days
It would take 10 workers 18 days to build the same wall.

## Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B14.3

Let's solve this problem using the concept of work. We can set up a proportion to find the time it would take for 10 workers to build the same wall.
Let $x$ be the number of days it would take for 10 workers to build the wall. We can set up the following equation:
(Number of workers $1 *$ Days 1$) /($ Number of workers $2 *$ Days 2$)=$ Work done (which is constant)
$(15$ workers $* 12$ days $) /(10$ workers $* x$ days $)=1$
Solving for x :
180/10x = 1
$180=10 x$
$\mathrm{x}=18$
So, it would take 10 workers 18 days to build the same wall.
Correct

## Bard - Response B14.1

It would take 18 days for 10 workers to build the same wall.
We can use the following formula to solve this problem:
$\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}=\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}$
where:

- $\quad \mathrm{M}_{1}$ is the number of workers in the first case
- $\quad \mathrm{D}_{1}$ is the number of days in the first case
- $\quad \mathrm{M}_{2}$ is the number of workers in the second case
- $\quad \mathrm{D}_{2}$ is the number of days in the second case

In this problem, we know that $M_{1}=15, D_{1}=12$, and $M_{2}=10$. We can plug these values into the formula and solve for $D_{2}$ :
$15 \times 12=10 \times \mathrm{D}_{2}$
$\mathrm{D}_{2}=15 \times 12 / 10=18$
Therefore, it would take 18 days for 10 workers to build the same wall.

## Correct

Bard - Response B14.2
If 15 workers are needed to build a wall in 12 days, then it takes 180 work days ( $12 \times 15$ ) to build the wall. If it takes 180 work days to build the wall and you have 10 workers then it would take 18 days to build the wall (180/10).
However, there's a hidden assumption in this question and others like it. The assumption is that work scales linearly. The questioner assumes that if you have twice as many workers, the work takes half the time. This is not always the case. There are many factors
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that can affect the time it takes to complete a task, such as the complexity of the task, the experience of the workers, and the availability of resources.
In the case of building a wall, it is likely that the work would not scale linearly. For example, if you have twice as many workers, you may need to spend more time coordinating their efforts. Additionally, some tasks, such as laying the foundation, may require a certain number of workers regardless of the overall size of the workforce.
Therefore, it is impossible to say for sure how long it would take 10 workers to build the wall without more information about the specific task and the workers involved.

## Correct

Bard - Response B14.3
15 workers can build a wall in 12 days. This means that the wall requires 180 man-days of work to build ( 15 workers $* 12$ days $=$ 180 man-days). If we have 10 workers, then it will take 18 days to build the wall ( 180 man-days / 10 workers = 18 days).
However, this is just a rough estimate. The actual time it takes to build the wall may vary depending on a number of factors, such as the experience of the workers, the availability of materials, and the weather.

## Correct

Vagelis Plevris, George Papazafeiropoulos and Alejandro Jiménez Rios

| A hen and a half lay an egg and a half in a day and a half. How many eggs does one |
| :--- | :--- |
| hen lay in one day? |
| Correct answer: $2 / 3$ of an egg. If 1.5 hens lay 1.5 eggs in 1.5 days, it must be that one hen |
| would lay one egg in the same time period: 1.5 days. Now, if one hen lays one egg in 1.5 |
| days, it means that one hen would lay only $2 / 3$ of an egg in one day. So the answer is $2 / 3$ of an |
| egg. |
| Source: [2] |

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B15.1

If a hen and a half lay an egg and a half in a day and a half, we can simplify this information by dividing both sides by 1.5 (which is the number of hens and the number of days). This gives us:

1 hen lays 1 egg in 1 day
So, one hen can lay one egg in one day.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B15.2

Let's assume that x is the number of eggs that one hen lays in one day.
According to the problem, we know that:
$1.5(\mathrm{x}+1 / 2)=1.5$
Simplifying the equation, we get:
$1.5 \mathrm{x}+0.75=1.5$
Subtracting 0.75 from both sides, we get:
$1.5 \mathrm{x}=0.75$
Dividing both sides by 1.5 , we get:
$\mathrm{x}=0.5$
Therefore, one hen lays 0.5 eggs in one day.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-3.5 - Response B15.3

Let's assume that one hen can lay x eggs in one day.
According to the problem, we know that a hen and a half (1.5) can lay an egg and a half (1.5) in a day and a half (1.5 days).
So we can set up a proportion:
1.5 hens $/ 1.5$ days $=1.5$ eggs
$x$ hens / 1 day = y eggs (what we want to find)
To solve for $y$, we can use cross-multiplication:
1.5 hens $* y$ eggs $=1.5$ days $* x$ hens
$y=(1.5$ days $* x$ hens $) / 1.5$ hens
Simplifying this expression, we can cancel out the 1.5 hens:
$y=x$ eggs per day
Therefore, one hen lays one egg per day.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B15.1

Let's break down the given information:
A hen and a half ( 1.5 hens) lay an egg and a half ( 1.5 eggs ) in a day and a half ( 1.5 days).
Now, we need to find out how many eggs one hen lays in one day.
To do that, we can set up a proportion:
$(1.5$ hens $) /(1.5$ eggs $)=(1$ hen $) /(x$ eggs $)$
We want to solve for x , which represents the number of eggs one hen lays in one day.
Now, we can cross-multiply:
1.5 hens $* x$ eggs $=1.5$ eggs $* 1$ hen
$1.5 \mathrm{x}=1.5$
Now, divide both sides by 1.5 to solve for x :
$\mathrm{x}=1$
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So, one hen lays one egg in one day.
NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B15.2

Let's break down the information given:
A hen and a half ( 1.5 hens) lay an egg and a half ( 1.5 eggs) in a day and a half ( 1.5 days).
Now, we need to determine the number of eggs one hen lays in one day.
To find the number of eggs per hen per day, we can set up a proportion:
$(1.5$ hens $) /(1.5$ eggs $)=(1$ hen $) /(x$ eggs $)$
Now, we can solve for x :
1.5 hens $* x$ eggs $=1.5$ eggs $* 1$ hen

Divide both sides by 1.5 hens:
x eggs $=1 \mathrm{egg}$
So, one hen lays one egg in one day.

## NOT Correct

## ChatGPT-4 - Response B15.3

Let's break down the given information:
A hen and a half ( 1.5 hens) lay an egg and a half ( 1.5 eggs ) in a day and a half ( 1.5 days).
We want to find out how many eggs one hen lays in one day.
To do this, first find out how many eggs 1.5 hens lay in one day. We can set up a proportion:
1.5 hens / 1.5 days $=x$ eggs $/ 1$ day

Solving for x , we get:
$x=(1.5$ hens $* 1 \mathrm{egg}) / 1.5$ days
$\mathrm{x}=1.5 \mathrm{egg} \mathrm{s} /$ day
Now, we know that 1.5 hens lay 1.5 eggs in one day. To find out how many eggs one hen lays in one day, we can again set up a proportion:
1.5 hens $/ 1.5$ eggs $=1$ hen $/ \mathrm{y}$ eggs

Solving for $y$, we get:
$y=(1$ hen * 1.5 eggs$) / 1.5$ hens
$y=1 \mathrm{egg} /$ day
So, one hen lays one egg in one day.
NOT Correct

## Bard - Response B15.1

One hen lays $2 / 3$ of an egg in one day.
We can solve this problem by dimensional analysis. The number of hens multiplied by the number of days multiplied by the rate is equal to the number of eggs. This means the rate is equal to the number of eggs divided by the number of hens multiplied by the number of days. So if 1.5 eggs are produced by 1.5 hens multiplied by 1.5 days, then the rate is 1.5 eggs divided by 1.5 hens multiplied by 1.5 days, which is equal to $2 / 3$ eggs per hen per day.
Therefore, one hen lays $2 / 3$ of an egg in one day.

## Correct

## Bard - Response B15.2

1.5 hens lay 1.5 eggs in 1.5 days. Therefore, 1 hen lays 1 egg in 1.5 days. This means that 1 hen lays $2 / 3$ of an egg in 1 day. Correct

## Bard - Response B15.3

A hen and a half lay an egg and a half in a day and a half. This means that 1.5 hens lay 1.5 eggs in 1.5 days. To find out how many eggs one hen lays in one day, we can divide the number of eggs by the number of hens and the number of days. This gives us 1.5 eggs $/(1.5$ hens $* 1.5$ days $)=2 / 3$ eggs per hen per day.

## Correct
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[^0]:    This means that Kenny played games $2,4,6,8,10$, and 12 , and lost all of them. Therefore, Ned won the fourth game against Kenny Correct

